

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis<br/><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original   <input type="checkbox"/> Updated   <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>   | <p>2. Date<br/>14 March 2019</p>                     |
| <p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)<br/>Phar 7.21</p>   |  |
| <p>4. Subject<br/>Delegate check delegate</p>  |  |
| <p>5. Fund Sources Affected<br/><input type="checkbox"/> GPR   <input type="checkbox"/> FED   <input type="checkbox"/> PRO   <input type="checkbox"/> PRS   <input type="checkbox"/> SEG   <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>  | <p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected</p> |
| <p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule<br/><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect   <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues   <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs   <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate   <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues   <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>  |  |
| <p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)<br/><input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy   <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units   <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b></p>   |  |
| <p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).<br/>\$0.00</p>   |  |
| <p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?<br/><input type="checkbox"/> Yes   <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>   |  |
| <p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule<br/>This rule allows for a delegate to complete the product verification. The Pharmacy Examining Board initiated a pilot program on October 1, 2016 and has determined that the procedures utilized in the pilot program were sufficient for the safety of the public and increases the availability of a pharmacist for involvement in other patient care activities.</p>                                     |  |
| <p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.<br/>This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.</p>   |  |
| <p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.<br/>None.</p>   |  |
| <p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)<br/>This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's Economy as a Whole.</p> |  |
| <p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule<br/>The benefit of the implementing this rule is to allow for the pharmacist to be involved in other patient care activities.</p>   |  |
| <p>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule<br/>The long range implication is delegates may complete product verifications and pharmacists will be able to focus on other patient care activities.</p>   |  |
| <p>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government<br/>None</p>   |  |
| <p>18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)<br/>Iowa allows for technician-check-technician. The technician must have active Iowa registration, hold national technician certification, have experience as a technician and trained in technician-check-technician (including medication errors).</p>   |  |

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

There shall be a supervising pharmacist. The pharmacy is required to have policies and procedures in place and maintain records. The drug utilization review must be performed by a pharmacist. The medication checked by a technician must be checked by a licensed health care practitioner prior to administration. Illinois, Michigan and Minnesota do not allow for technician-check-technician.

---

19. Contact Name

Sharon Henes

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-2377

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes     No
-