STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis		2. Date		
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		19 December 2018		
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) SPS 4				
4. Subject				
Predetermination of conviction record prior to submitting a full application for licensure				
5. Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	6. Chapter 2	20, Stats. Appropriations Affected		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues	□ Inorocco	Conta		
☑ No Fiscal Effect☐ Increase Existing Revenues☐ Indeterminate☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase☐ Could Ab	Costs		
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)				
☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors				
	= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
☐ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)				
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0.00				
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ☐ No				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule				
2017 Act 278 allows an individual to obtain a predetermination from a state licensing agency regarding whether he or				
she would be disqualified from obtaining a license due to a prior conviction before submitting a full license application.				
This proposed rule will establish uniform procedures and set fees for persons to obtain a predetermination from the				
department or any of the attached examining and affiliated credentialing boards				
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.				
This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.				
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact. The rule creates an option for applicants rather than a requirement.				
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule				
The benefit of implementing the rule is to have uniform procedures for obtaining a predetermination.				
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication is uniform procedures in rule.				
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None				
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Our neighboring states do not have predetermination procedures.				

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No