

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p> | <p>2. Date 20180711</p> |
| <p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) NHA 1 to 4</p> | |
| <p>4. Subject Requirements for licensure, examinations, and approval of educational programs</p> | |
| <p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p> | <p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g)</p> |
| <p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p> | |
| <p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</p> | |
| <p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0</p> | |
| <p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> | |
| <p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The Nursing Home Administrators Examining Board conducted a comprehensive evaluation and update of chs. NHA 1 to 4 to ensure its rules relating to requirements for licensure, examinations, and approval of educational programs are consistent with current professional, academic, licensing, and examination practices and standards and applicable Wisconsin statutes. As a result, the following updates have been made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Section NHA 2.01 is revised to reflect a change in July 2017 to the structure of the national examination administered by NAB. The examination for nursing home administrators now consists of 2 parts, the Core of Knowledge Examination for Long Term Care Administrators and the National Nursing Home Administrators Line of Service Examination.• Sections NHA 4.01 (1) (b) and (d) 4.03 (1) are revised to allow active certification as a health services executive granted by NAB to satisfy an applicant's education, experience, and national examination requirements for licensure. An applicant with NAB certification is still required to pass an examination on laws and administrative rules of this state governing nursing home administration.• Section NHA 3.02 (4) is revised to comply with s. 440.03 (4m), Stats., as created by 2017 Wisconsin Act 59. Under this provision, the Board may require a credential holder to submit proof of completing continuing education programs or courses only if a complaint is made against the credential holder.• Other provisions throughout chs. NHA 1 to 4 have been revised to update notes, provide clarity, and conform to current drafting standards. | |
| <p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.</p> | |
| <p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.</p> | |

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.

The Department estimates one-time administrative costs of \$158.62. These costs may be absorbed in the agency budget.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit to implementing the rule is providing clarity and reflecting current professional, academic, licensing, and examination practices and standards and applicable Wisconsin statutes. If the rule is not implemented, it will continue to contain outdated references.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarity, updated references, and conformity with the Wisconsin Statutes.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Rules of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation address the practice of nursing home administration in Illinois (68 Ill. Adm. Code 1310). The rules include provisions concerning examinations (68 Ill. Adm. Code 1310.30 and 1310.60), approved nursing home administration courses (68 Ill. Adm. Code 1310.40), and qualifying experience (68 Ill. Adm. Code Section 1310.50). The rules do not explicitly allow certification as a health services executive granted by NAB to satisfy any requirements for licensure.

Iowa: Rules of the Iowa Board of Nursing Home Administrators address the practice of nursing home administration in Iowa (645 IAC 141 to 144). The rules include provisions for licensure requirements (645 IAC 141.2), examination requirements (645 IAC 141.3), and educational qualifications (645 IAC 141.4). The rules do not explicitly allow certification as a health services executive granted by NAB to satisfy any requirements for licensure.

Michigan: Rules of the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs address the practice of nursing home administration in Michigan (Mich Admin Code, R 339.14001 to R 339.14035). The rules include approval of courses of instruction and training (Mich Admin Code, R 339.14007), application requirements (Mich Admin Code, R 339.14008), and examinations (Mich Admin Code, R 339.14009 and R 339.14011). The rules do not explicitly allow certification as a health services executive granted by NAB to satisfy any requirements for licensure.

Minnesota: Rules of the Minnesota Board of Examiners for Nursing Home Administrators address the practice of nursing home administration in Minnesota (Minnesota Rules, chapter 6400). The rules include licensure requirements (Minnesota Rules, Part 6400.6000), applying for licensure (Minnesota Rules, Part 6400.6100), and course requirements (Minnesota Rules, Parts 6400.6400 to 6400.6660). The rules do not explicitly allow certification as a health services executive granted by NAB to satisfy any requirements for licensure.

19. Contact Name

Dale Kleven

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-