

Report From Agency

**STATE OF WISCONSIN
RADIOGRAPHY EXAMINING BOARD**

**IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING :
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE : REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
RADIOGRAPHY EXAMINING : CR 17-082
BOARD :
:**

I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS:

N/A

III. FISCAL ESTIMATE AND EIA:

The Fiscal Estimate and EIA is attached.

IV. DETAILED STATEMENT EXPLAINING THE BASIS AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING HOW THE PROPOSED RULE ADVANCES RELEVANT STATUTORY GOALS OR PURPOSES:

Current rules define the scope of practice for radiographers and limited X-ray machine operators by reference to the standards set by the American Society of Radiologic Technologists (ASRT) in 2010. ASRT periodically updates its standards, most recently in 2016. To provide up-to-date scope of practice standards as well as increased clarity and convenience, the proposed rules reproduce the 2016 ASRT standards in full in ss. RAD 4.01 and 4.02 and make other changes to conform with current administrative rule drafting standards.

V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE BOARD’S RESPONSES, EXPLANATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED RULES PROMPTED BY PUBLIC COMMENTS:

The Radiography Examining Board held a public hearing on December 13, 2017. The Board did not receive any written or verbal comments.

VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Comment 2.c.:

As the incorporated standards are being reproduced in full in ss. RAD 4.01 (2) and 4.02 (2), under the provisions of s. 227.21 (2) (a), Stats., consent from the Attorney General is not needed.

Comment 5.a.:

The proposed rules make no substantive changes to the standards set forth by the ASRT. As the proposed rules indicate the standards under ss. RAD 4.01 (2) and 4.02 (2) are “as defined in the Limited X-ray Machine Operator Practice Standards, 2016 American Society of Radiologic Technologists,” no further specification is needed.

All other Legislative Council recommendations have been incorporated into the proposed rules.

VII. REPORT FROM THE SBRRB AND FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

N/A