

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

MPSW 14

3. Subject

Internship and courses in equivalency program

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The rule clarifies the requirements for the supervised internship counseling experience is similar to the supervised practicum counseling experience in that that the experience includes group supervision that is provided on a regular schedule throughout the internship by a program faculty member or a student supervisor. The current rule allows for courses to be taken outside of the master's program to reach the program equivalency. However, CR 13-009, which goes into effect on September 1, 2018, removes this provision. This will create a barrier for some people to come into the profession. Therefore, this proposed rule is necessary to ensure the provision is maintained.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

None

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This rule does not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

This rule addresses stakeholders concerns regarding CR 13-009 which goes into effect on September 1, 2018.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication is a rule which has clear provisions. In addition it allows for people to enter the profession even if their original degree did not contain all the required courses by allowing them to take the additional course.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Illinois requires accredited programs unless approved by the board, but does not include the equivalency program standards in rule.

Iowa recognizes equivalent programs to a program accredited. The internship is required to have 1½ hours per week of group supervision by a program faculty member. Iowa does not indicate whether courses can be obtained outside of the degree program.

Michigan requirements are to meet the 2009 Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Education Programs of the Council on Rehabilitation standards.

Minnesota requires a supervised field experience but does not indicate the required level of supervision. Minnesota does allow 20 credits to be completed postdegree for purposes of meeting the coursework requirements.

17. Contact Name

Sharon Henes

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-