

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

CSB 4

3. Subject

Operation of Prescription Drug Monitoring Program

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.165(1)(g) and (1)(hg)

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

This rule implements Acts 266, 267 and 268 and makes other changes resulting from the implementation of those acts.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

None

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Any economic impact resulting from the requirements are a result of the statutory requirements created by 2015 Acts 266, 267 and 268 and not the impact of the rule.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The rule modifies the operation of the prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) as directed by the legislature in Acts 266, 267 and 268. The benefit is the PDMP containing the mandated statutory requirements implemented into the program.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication is practitioners and dispensers having current and complete knowledge of the controlled substances being prescribed and dispensed which will result in better and safer health care for Wisconsin citizens.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Illinois requires reporting to the drug monitoring program within one day. Illinois does not require mandatory use of the drug monitoring program. Illinois does not provide access to mental health, substance abuse professionals or law enforcement.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa: Iowa requires reporting to the drug monitoring program within one week. Iowa does not require mandatory use of the drug monitoring program. Iowa does not provide access to mental health, substance abuse professionals or law enforcement.

Michigan: Michigan requires reporting to the drug monitoring program within one day if reporting online and within one week if reporting by mail. Michigan does not require mandatory use of the drug monitoring program. Michigan does not provide access to mental health, substance abuse professionals or law enforcement.

Minnesota: Minnesota requires reporting to the drug monitoring program within one day. Minnesota does require mandatory use of the drug monitoring program. Minnesota does provide access to mental health and substance abuse professionals. Minnesota does not provide access to law enforcement.

17. Contact Name

Sharon Henes

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-