ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS			
Type of Estimate and Analysis			
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected			
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number			
Chapter Tax 2 and 11 – Penalty for Failure to Produce Records			
Subject			
Penalty for Failure to Produce Records			
Fund Sources Affected         Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected			
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG SEG-S			
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule         Image: No Fiscal Effect       Increase Existing Revenues			
□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget □ Decrease Costs			
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)			
State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors         Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers			
Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?			
🗌 Yes 🖾 No			
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule			
The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect current law and department policy.			
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)	b		
As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of all statutory changes was included in the fiscal estimate for the bill under which the change was made, and therefore the rule as it relates to those sections has no fiscal effect. There is no fiscal effect for the sections of the rule relating to form redesigns or clarifications of examples.			
No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation.			
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule			
Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.			
If the rule is not implemented, Chapters Tax 2 and 11 will be incomplete in that they will not reflect current law or department policy.			
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule			
No long-range implications are anticipated.			
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government			
N/A			
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)			
N/A			

## FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

		LRB #		
		INTRODUCTION #		
		Admin. Rule # to be assigned		
Amending, Repea	f the Department of Revenue ling And Recreating, And Cre		ring, Renumbering And Amending,	
Fiscal Effect State: ☑ No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation			□ Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget □ Yes □ No	
<ul> <li>Increase Existing Appropriation</li> <li>Increase Existing Revenues</li> <li>Decrease Existing Appropriation</li> <li>Decrease Existing Revenues</li> </ul>				
Create New Appropriation			Decrease Costs	
Local: X No Local Gover	mment Costs			
1. 🗌 Increase Costs	3. 🗌 Increase	Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:	
🗆 Permissive 🗆 N	Mandatory 🛛 Perm	issive 🗌 Mandatory	🗆 Towns 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities	
2. Decrease Costs	4. 🗌 Decreas	e Revenues	Counties Cothers	
🗌 Permissive 🗌 M	Mandatory Derm	Permissive      Mandatory     School Districts     WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected         Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations				
GPR FED PR	O 🗌 PRS 🗌 SEG 🗌 SEG	i-S		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

2015 Wisconsin Act 218 requires the DOR issue a summons seeking records and documents from a taxpayer before the department may impose a penalty for failure to produce records. The proposed rule makes the following changes:

- Defines a "summons request" in accordance with 2015 Wisconsin Act 218
- Updates references and examples related to the failure to produce records so that they account for summons requests.

These changes reflect current law enacted during the 2015-2016 Legislative session. The rules are intended to reflect and clarify existing law and do not impose any additional fiscal impact.

(continued on page two)

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773 2017 Session

## FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

$\boxtimes$	ORIGINAL
-------------	----------

□ CORRECTED

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

2017 Session

	UPDATED
SUF	PPLEMENTAL

LRB # INTRODUCTION # Admin. Rule # to be assigned

## Subject

Proposed Order of the Department of Revenue Repealing, Renumbering, Renumbering And Amending, Amending, Repealing And Recreating, And Creating Rules

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs: Annualized Fis		cal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category State Operations – Salaries and Fringe	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations-Other Costs		-	
Local Assistance		-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-	
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$ -	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR	\$	\$ -	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes	\$	\$ -	
GPR Earned		-	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S		-	
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -	

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT						
	STATE		LOCAL			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ O	\$0				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ 0	\$0				
Agency/Prepared by: Wisconsin Department of Revenue Brad Caruth (608) 261-8984	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773		Date 1-13-2017			