ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis 2. Date Ø Original Updated Corrected 9/5/17 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Atministrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) 4. Subject Swine Animal Disease Control 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 0. PT PED PRO PRS 5.6 Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 0. PR PED PRO PRS 5.6 Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 0. Intermine Decrease Existing Revenues Increase Cost Codd Absort Within Agency's Budget 0. Intermine Decrease Existing Revenues Specific Businesses/Sectors Decrease Cost 0. State's Economy Specific Businesses (for the Code, complete Attachment A) 9. 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Public Utility Rate Payers 0. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesse, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Associtation and the Wisconsin Pork Association <			
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Ch. ATCP 10 4. Subject Swine Animal Disease Control 5. Fund Sources Affected GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG- 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule □ hor Fiscal Effect in Increase Existing Revenues □ Clear Association Generase Existing Revenues □ Clear Association Generase Existing Revenues □ Clear Rule Willin Agency's Budget □ Clear Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy □ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers □ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Nore 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Bentfy the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be nourced) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units, using sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule Promeering the Rule Provers, businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule Province reproductive and Attenative(s) to Implementing the Rule Province reproductive sing the State Sconomy (PERS) has here designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing Irrestock producers in North America S600 million annually			
Ch. ATCP 10 4. Subject Swine Animal Disease Control 5. Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS State Fector of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Specific Businesses/Sectors Swald Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identity the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be locured). Covernmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be locured). 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units			
Swine Animal Disease Control 5. Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected State: Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs Increase Cost 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State: Scoud Absorb Within Agency's Budget Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Increase Costs Increase Costs 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? 9. 9. Yes No No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin: None 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Impleme			
5. Fund Sources Affected 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule Increase Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Usinesses (If checked, complete Attachment A) 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific Businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, coxing livestock produce	4. Subject		
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG SEG 7. Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget B. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Specific Businesses/Sectors B. Coal Government Units Decrease Cost 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Pee No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local dovernmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be hourred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initital Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more informat	Swine Animal Disease Control		
□ No Fiscal Effect □ hcrease Existing Revenues □ hcrease Costs □ hdeterminate □ bcrease Existing Revenues □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget □ hdeterminate □ bcrease Cost 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) □ bcrease Cost 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) □ bcrease Cost 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ bcrease Cost 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ bcrease Cost 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ bcrease Cost 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ bcrease Cost 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this ElA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local gove		6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget □ Decrease Cost 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) ○ State's Economy □ Decrease Cost □ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers □ Call Blusinesses, (if checked, complete Attachment A) 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ Yes ⊠ No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this ElA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Pororine repr	7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
□ Decrease Cost 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy □ Local Government Units □ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ Yes ⊠ No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million a	□ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues	□ Increase Costs	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) ☑ State's Economy □ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers □ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ Yes □ No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality	□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
State's Economy □ Public Utility Rate Payers □ Cacl Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers □ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ Yes ○ No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRR		Decrease Cost	
□ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers □ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ○ Yes ○ No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it	8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
□ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ Yes □ No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefitis of mplementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of	State's Economy	fic Businesses/Sectors	
 9. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes ⊠ No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Atternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 			
 ☐ Yes ☑ No 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 	🗌 Smal	Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
 10. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 			
None 11. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America S600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be mi			
 may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 			
 12. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 			
 None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred). There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 	Wisconsin Show Pig Association and the Wisconsin Pork Association		
 None. 13. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred). There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 	12 Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA		
 Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 			
 Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 	Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be		
 and the state's economy. 14. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 	There is no fiscal impact on public utility rate payers and costs to local governmental units will be minimal. See the		
Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry.			
Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been designated the most economically significant disease for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry.	14 Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Impleme	nting the Rule	
for swine, costing livestock producers in North America \$600 million annually from deaths and medical treatments. Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry.			
 Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets. This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry. 			
infectious, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality in piglets.This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv.There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry.	Similar to PRRS, the Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) has a substantial economic burden given that it is highly		
This rule will have a generally positive impact on business and will save Wisconsin swine producers from the devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry.			
devastating effects of PRRS and PEDv. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry.	mieensus, resuming in significant morenexy and moranty in		
the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry.			
Alternatives are to do nothing in rule and hope that Wisconsin swine producers do not unknowingly import or move			

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

swine infected with these diseases as disease symptoms are not always present.

15. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The goal of the rule is to control the spread of PRRS and PEDv in Wisconsin.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Current federal regulations require reporting of swine enteric corona diseases, including PED. When a positive herd is reported, the herd is also required to work with a veterinarian to develop a herd plan to control the disease.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Similar to other Midwestern states, Wisconsin has established programs for historically important diseases, such as tuberculosis, brucellosis and chronic wasting disease. Wisconsin would be the first to establish testing requirements for PRRS and PEDv when moving swine in order to prevent and control these diseases. Surrounding states have no PRRS or PEDv testing requirements in place for moving swine.

PRRS and PEDv have proven to be costly, highly contagious diseases among pigs and can result in tremendous production losses for swine producers.

18. Contact Name	19. Contact Phone Number
Darlene Konkle, DVM, Assistant State Veterinarian	608-224-4902

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The majority of the costs associated with this proposed rule will be the testing of swine for PRRS and PED prior to movement. If the producer moves swine on a regular basis, at most, such testing would be done on a quarterly basis (testing must be done within 90 days before movement). The movement of and number of swine in the herd will be the greatest factors in determining cost. Minimum costs to test a small herd (less than 150 swine) for PRRSv and SECD would be \$72.25 and a large herd (150 or more swine) would be \$182.75. See the Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for more information on the fiscal effect on small businesses.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

Department staff met several times with the Wisconsin Pork Association's PRRS/PEDv Eradication Task Force to develop the contents of this proposed rule. The task force is comprised of veterinarians, pork producers representing a variety of Wisconsin farms, and other industry representatives. Many of the small business fiscal effects were discussed and determined at those meetings. Meetings were also held with the Wisconsin Show Pig Association and Wisconsin Pork Association.

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

 \boxtimes Other, describe:

Many of the businesses affected by this rule are "small businesses." For the most part, this rule does not make special exceptions for small business, because disease does not differentiate or respect business size. There will be some costs to producers to test their herds for these diseases, but such costs will be minimal compared to the substantial economic burden of these highly infectious diseases to Wisconsin's \$136 million swine industry.

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

N/A

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

If certain disease testing requirements are not met and/or veterinarian statements are not included when swine are moved:

• A person importing swine to Wisconsin must get an import permit from the Department.

• The Department will quarantine a swine herd. To release the quarantine, the owner will be required to have a veterinarian develop a herd plan. Certain information must be included in the herd plan and the Department will provide

sample herd plans to assist veterinarians in herd plan development.

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) $\hfill Yes \hfill Yes \hfill No$