#	Торіс	Provision	Comments / Recommendations	Agency Response
1.	Alternate	SPS 361.51 (8)	The Department received several comments in support of the proposed rule	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Standards re:		provision that allows the use of a more recent model code than the adopted	rule revisions. The Department believes the
	Use of Recent	Section 59	standards and allows flexibility for owners and designers.	proposed rules provide options and flexibility for
	Model Codes	Pg. 31		owners and allow municipalities to contract with a
			Some commenters cite possible hardships for the municipalities' inability to	third-party inspection agency if they are unable to
			provide training to local inspectors on the newer codes and standards and	provide local inspection.
	-		have concerns regarding the expense of acquiring the newer codes.	
2.	Use of Recent	SPS 361.51 (8)	The commenter states that the change to this section does not include the	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Model Codes		Wisconsin Administrative code sections SPS 361-366 and that the proposed	rule revisions. State statutes give the Department
	re: statute	Section 59	code change should mention the existence of state statutes that are applicable	the general authority to promulgate rules. The
	references	Pg. 31	regardless of the use of adopted codes or alternate codes.	Department further determined that the rules as
				proposed include sufficient references to applicable
2	Durandanan			statutes.
3.	Precedence	SPS 361.51	The commenter recommends including previously-approved interpretations by	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Variances		the Department to provide for the consistent interpretation by plan reviewers and to eliminate the variance process for previously approved variances.	rule revisions. The Department believes its existing variance review process reflects appropriate
			and to emmate the variance process for previously approved variances.	administrative and regulatory practices.
4.	Alternate	SPS 361.51 (8)	Several commenters requested additional authority for local building and fire	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
4.	Standards Plan	JF J JUL.JI (8)	officials in approving a plan based on a more recent national model code than	rule revisions. The Department believes its existing
	Review re:	Section 59	what is adopted by the Department.	plan review process reflects appropriate
	local building	Pg. 31		administrative and regulatory practices.
	& fire officials	18.51		administrative and regulatory practices.
5.	Design vs.	361.51(8)(a)	Several commenters propose changing the term "supervising professional" to	Changes were made to the proposed rule revisions
	Supervising		"design professional" in section 361.51 (8) citing such reference would mesh	to incorporate the commenters' recommendations.
	Professional	Section 59	with the requirements of section SPS 361.20 (2), and state law.	
		Pg. 31		
6.	Special	SPS 362.1700	The commenter requests the adoption of IBC Chapter 17 (Special Inspections	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Inspections &		and Tests) citing that chapter 17 will provide an additional layer of supervision	rule revisions. The proposed rule only amends
	Tests	Section 138	and inspection on critical life safety elements and components of a building.	existing rule to coincide with the renumbering of
		Pg. 50		sections in the 2015 IBC. Further, the adoption of
				Chapter 17 would result in unnecessary and costly
				inspections.

·				
7.	Wisconsin Amendments	General Comment	Several commenters recommend the adoption of the 2015 International Codes without Wisconsin amendments, citing many of the "Wisconsinism" code sections are written based on current code requirements and adoption of the most current version of the International Codes would reduce the need for special Wisconsin-specific amendments.	No resulting changes were made to the proposed rule revisions. The Department believes Wisconsin amendments are essential to provide a good balance between modernization and safety, as well as provide flexibility and alleviate financial burdens for
8.	Sprinkler Requirements for Multifamily Dwellings	SPS 362.0903 (5) (b) Section 83 Pg. 39	Several commenters request the removal of fire sprinkler protection threshold from 3 to 20 dwelling units citing safety for firefighters and occupants. Several commenters support the proposed rule citing cost-savings for homeowners and builders.	owners, builders, and small businesses. No resulting changes were made to the proposed rule relating to this provision. The Department requested an opinion from the Attorney General's office relating to the statutory authority as provided under s. 101.14 (4m) (b) 2., Stats. The Attorney General concluded that the current "Sprinkler Rule" contains a requirement that is more restrictive and exceeds explicit authority.
9.	Adoption of 2015 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)	SPS 363	Several commenters support the proposed rule containing modifications to the 2015 IECC, citing alleviating financial burdens on owners and home builders, in addition to the wider availability of cost- effective options and energy efficient technology. Several commenters support the adoption of the 2015 IECC without Wisconsin amendments, citing support for the energy efficient, commissioning, and compliance provisions, in addition to maintaining competitive and compatible with surrounding states.	No resulting changes were made to the proposed rule revisions. The proposed rules give owners and designers the ability to voluntarily exceed the current adopted code and implement 2015 ICC model standards. The Department believes Wisconsin amendments are essential to provide a good balance between modernization, safety, and alleviating financial burdens for owners, builders, and small businesses.
10.	Total Building Performance Compliance	SPS 363.0401 (5) Section 180 Pg. 57	The commenter feels the intention is unclear regarding the proposed "note" in Section 180 relating to the requirements for using the total building performance compliance path. Further, the use of section C407 "requires the total building energy cost to be equal to or less than the standard reference design building, as required under IECC section C401.2 item 3." The commenter suggests revising the language to read the same as the language under IECC section C401.2 item 3.	No resulting changes were made to the proposed rule revisions. The commenter references a previous version of the proposed rule and not the public hearing version. Amendments were made from the previous draft to provide clearer directives.

11.	Buildings without Access to Municipal Water Supply	SPS 362.0903 (18) Section 87 Pg. 40	Several commenters supported the proposed rule to exempt fire sprinklers for certain building structures without access to a municipal water supply citing cost savings for small business owners. Some commenters opposed the elimination of fire sprinklers citing responder and occupant safety, additional safeguards are needed for Group R and larger Group A occupancies, in addition to the availability of alternative water source options.	The Department believes the rule as proposed is necessary to alleviate financial burdens for small businesses, owners, and builders of rural occupancies. However, revisions were made to the proposed rule to provide clarity.
			A commenter testified that the proposed language in this section is long, complicated, and difficult to decipher, and recommended allowing local communities to determine equivalencies based upon their infrastructure and resources and if it is necessary to modify these requirements through local ordinances.	
			A commenter recommended expanding the limitation of 180 days and increasing the occupancy load limit for repurposed A-2 occupancies located on a farm premise.	
12.	Fair Housing Law Requirements for Existing Buildings	Ch. SPS 366	The commenter feels the rule contains federal Fair Housing Law building code requirements for existing buildings (IEBC) but lacks Wisconsin requirements for accessibility and equal rights.	No resulting changes were made to the proposed rule revisions. The Department believes the proposed rule meets statutory Fair Housing requirements.
13.	Plan Review for spaces less than 100,000 cu. ft.	SPS 361.60(5)(c)3 Section 53 Pg. 32	Some commenters recommend eliminating any changes to this section or increasing the building volume that would maintain the existing authority given to delegated municipalities citing plan reviews are best handled at the local level for spaces less than 100,000 cubic feet, the change will increase the number of plans submitted to the Department resulting in unnecessary delays, and greatly reduces the ability of delegated municipality to preform plan reviews.	No resulting changes were made to the proposed rule revisions. The Department believes the proposed rule is necessary to align with statute.

14.	Assembly	SPS 362.0903 (16)	Some commenters oppose the Wisconsin amendment to exclude roof	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Occupancies	a a=	structure occupancy requirements citing rooftop occupancies at greater risk	rule revisions. The Department believes other
	on Roof	Section 87	for loss of life, and feel the rule should include occupancy, height, and	sections of the rule trigger safety measures for roof
	Structures	Pg. 39	construction limits, guarding or edge protection, sprinklers, and audible alarm	structures intended for occupancy, such as guard
			system to alert occupants to a possible fire.	rails and exit requirements.
15.	Spaces Below	SPS 362.1029	Some commenters oppose the Wisconsin amendment to exclude provisions	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Bleachers		for spaces under bleachers citing that these spaces need to have separation as	rule revisions. The Department believes a Wisconsin
			they are frequently used as occupancies, storage and create hazardous	amendment is necessary in this section to alleviate
			conditions.	financial burdens for owners, builders, and small
				businesses.
16.	Wood-pellet	SPS 364	One commenter proposed the allowance of wood-pellet heating as primary	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Heating		heat source for commercial buildings (similar to the Uniform Dwelling Code).	rule revisions. The Department believes no code
				change is required since certain sections of the
				adopted International Mechanical Code (IMC)
				permit heating by any solid fuel appliance (including
				wood) provided it is listed and tested for such use.
17.	Elevator	SPS 362.0907	The commenter feels that language should be included to alleviate any	Changes were made to the proposed rule revisions
	Emergency		inconsistencies with SPS 318 and other referenced standards relating to	to incorporate the commenters' recommendations.
	Operation		elevator firefighter emergency operations.	
18.	Standardized	SPS 362.3003	The commenter recommends a subsection should be included to address	Changes were made to the proposed rule revisions
	Fire Service		standardized fire service elevator keys as more restrictive code language in	to incorporate the commenters' recommendations.
	Elevator Keys		other standards (not adopted by Wisconsin) may lead to confusion and	
			unnecessary costs to building owners. This provision is consistent with SPS 318.	
19.	NFPA 72 -	SPS 362	The commenter recommends deleting 2013 NFPA 72 codes that would require	Changes were made to the proposed rule revisions
	Smoke or Heat		smoke or heat detectors for fire fighter emergency operation in elevator pits	to incorporate the commenters' recommendations.
	Detectors in		where associated with elevator pit sprinklers. This proposal would alleviate a	
	Elevators		costly requirement.	
20.	Storm Shelters	SPS 362.0423	The Department received comments both supporting and opposing the	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	in Group E		exclusion of the provision requiring a dedicated space for a storm shelter for	rule revisions. Local schools may voluntarily choose
	Occupancies	Section 65	Group E occupancies (schools).	to include storm shelters. The Department believes
		Pg. 36		a Wisconsin amendment is necessary in this section
				to alleviate financial burdens for owners and
				builders.

				
21.	Sprinkler	SPS 362.0903 (12)	The Department received comments both supporting and opposing the	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Requirements		exclusion of the sprinkler system requirements for occupancies containing	rule revisions. The Department believes a Wisconsin
	re:	Section 87	upholstered furniture.	amendment is necessary in this section to alleviate
	Upholstered	Pg. 39		financial burdens for owners, builders, and small
	Furniture			businesses.
22.	Limited Area	SPS 362.0903 (17)	The Department received comments both supporting and opposing the	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Sprinkler		exclusion of the limited area sprinkler system requirements.	rule revisions. The Department believes a Wisconsin
	Systems	Section 87		amendment is necessary in this section to alleviate
		Pg. 39		financial burdens for owners, builders, and small
				businesses.
23.	Temporary	SPS 366.0101	The commenter contends that temporary permits are not being issued by local	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Use Permit	(3)	municipal building inspectors or fire chiefs and lack citing a reason. The	rule revisions. The Department believes its existing
			commenter recommends changing the term to "Seasonal Use Permit" to avoid	process for issuing temporary permits reflects
		Section 242 & 243	a misinterpretation of the intention of the temporary use permits.	appropriate administrative and regulatory practices.
		Pg. 76		
24.	Smoke	SPS 364.0607	The commenter supports the proposal that allows the elimination of duct	Changes were made to the proposed rule revisions
	Damper	(1m)	smoke detectors within 5 feet of the smoke damper or spot detectors.	to incorporate the commenters' recommendations.
	Actuation		However, the commenter feels the code change as written is not clear and	
		Section 232	recommends a modification to so that building designers understand that the	
		Pg. 74	allowable provision is one of the acceptable methods of compliance.	
25.	Appointed	SPS 361.61	Commenters request expansion of the authority for appointed agents and seek	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Agents		more definitive rules concerning delegation of fire alarm system and fire	rule revisions. The Department believes its existing
			sprinkler system plan review, to empower fire chiefs to assign plan review and	requirements for appointed agents reflect
			inspection duties as they see fit, and to establish a process by which the fire	appropriate administrative and regulatory practices.
			chief simply informs the Department that the fire department, through	
			municipal ordinance, will be requiring and performing plan review and	
			inspection for fire alarm systems and fire sprinkler systems. Commenters feel	
			this will avoid duplication of plan review and inspection efforts by the	
			Department. Commenters further request that fire departments are permitted	
			to waive their jurisdiction for plan review and inspection of a specific project,	
			or types of projects, and for those inspections to be performed by the	
			Department.	
L				

26.	Fees for Appointed Agents	SPS 361.61 (2) (b) 2.	Commenters request repeal of section which establishes participation fees charged to appointed agents of the Department.	No resulting changes were made to the proposed rule revisions. The Department believes its existing fee requirements for appointed agents reflect appropriate administrative and regulatory practices.
27.	Ventilation Requirements	Table 364.0403 Note i. Section 221 Pg. 72	Commenters feel this section contains language that is a broad expansion of current code and more restrictive than the International Mechanical Code (IMC) regarding ventilation requirements in buildings and warehouses intended to reduce CO.	The proposed rule was amended to create a new footnote pertaining to warehouses to include drive- through self-service storage facilities which permits a customer to temporarily unload or load materials provided the engine is not idling. Footnote "i" was not changed since the language does not change current requirements but provides additional flexibility.
28.	Classification of Boats as Motor Vehicles	SPS 364.0202 (1) (f) Section 207 Pg. 63	Commenter contends a boat should not be considered a motor vehicle.	The proposed rule was amended to exclude boats in the definition of 'motorized vehicle'. The proposed rule was further amended to permit the dry storage of boats in storage facilities.
29.	Timely Onsite Inspections	SPS 361.41 Section 46 Pg. 25	The commenter contends that timely onsite inspections should be included in the Commercial Building Code, similar to provisions under the Uniform Dwelling Code. The commenters further acknowledge that inspections are not a requirement under the current Commercial Building Code but recommends where required, they should be performed in a timely manner in order to save time and money.	Changes were made to the proposed rule revisions to incorporate the commenters' recommendations. The revised provision requires inspections within 5 working days, where required, and to allow work to proceed if the inspection is not completed within the established timeframe.
30.	Guard Rails	SPS 362.1015 Section 106 Pg. 45	The commenter feels this proposed language could trigger a requirement for guards on all roofs if the term "building occupant" is interpreted to mean anyone that is in the building, including maintenance personnel.	No resulting changes were made to the proposed rule revisions. The Department believes the proposed rule is consistent with code language and is not applicable in the example given by the commenter.
31.	Alternative Methods for Drinking Fountains	362.2902 (1) (a) 2. Section 151 Pg. 52	The commenter questions whether the provisions that allow reasonable alternatives for drinking fountains require a plan submission and approval of the alternate method plan by the Department.	No resulting changes were made to the proposed rule revisions. The Department feels the current language provides clear directives for approval of alternative methods.

32.	Existing	SPS 361.020 (4)	The commenter raises a question regarding what happens with an existing	No resulting changes were made to the proposed
	Buildings		building that is converted to a CBRF that contains 21 or more residents since	rule revisions. Section 7 simply renumbers and
	Converted to	Section 7	the provision applies to any existing building that is converted to a community-	amends current rule language and provides
	CBRFs	Pg. 16	based residential facility for 9 to 20 residents.	consistent application with statute.
33.	Domestic	SPS 362.0904(3)	The commenter supports the exclusion for hood sprinkler requirements for	Changes were made to the proposed rule revisions
	Cooking		domestic cooking systems but questions a potential conflict and coordination	to include a clarifying note from DHS to reference a
	Systems	Section 94	issues with similar provisions in other codes (e.g. NFPA 101 – Life Safety Code).	DHS provision. DHS requirements are more
		Pg. 43		restrictive but not in conflict with the Department
				requirements.