ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE						
AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS						
Type of Estimate and Analysis						
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected						
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number						
Chapter Tax 13 – Investment and Local Impact Board						
Subject						
Various changes to Chapter Tax 13						
Fund Sources Affected	Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected					
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG SEG-S						
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule						
☑ No Fiscal Effect       □ Increase Existing Revenues         □ Indeterminate       □ Decrease Existing Revenues	<ul> <li>Increase Costs</li> <li>Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</li> <li>Decrease Costs</li> </ul>					
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)						
	cific Businesses/Sectors lic Utility Rate Payers					
Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 mi						
□ Yes ⊠ No						
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule						
The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect cu	irrent law and department policy.					
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesse Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Imp Incurred)						
The proposed rule modifies Chapter Tax 13 of the administrative code to reflect statutory changes, eliminate repetitive rules, eliminate rules lacking authority, and correct an error in the current rule provisions. The proposed rule has no fiscal effect.						
No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation.						
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the	ne Rule					
Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.						
If the rule is not implemented, Chapter Tax 13 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law or department policy.						
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule						
No long-range implications are anticipated.						
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government						
NA						
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)						
N/A						

## FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

2015 Session

	LRB #
	INTRODUCTION #
	Admin rule # SS 065-14

Subject

Proposed order of the Department of Revenue relating to Investment and Local Impact Board administration.

Fiscal Effect State: ⊠ No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if b sum sufficient appropriation	s below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a appropriation within Agency's Budget Yes No	
Increase Existing Appropriation	Increase Existing Revenues	
Decrease Existing Appropriation	Decrease Existing Revenues	
Create New Appropriation		Decrease Costs
Local: No Local Government Costs		
1. 🗌 Increase Costs	3. 🗌 Increase Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
Permissive Mandatory	Permissive Mandatory	🗌 Towns 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities
2. Decrease Costs	4. Decrease Revenues	Counties Cothers
Permissive      Mandatory	Permissive     Mandatory	□ School Districts □ WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected         Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations		
GPR FED PRO PRS	🗆 SEG 🗆 SEG-S	

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

The proposed rule modifies Chapter TAX 13 of the administrative code to reflect statutory changes, eliminate repetitive rules, eliminate rules lacking statutory authority, and correct an error in the current rule provisions.

The proposed rule includes:

- Repealing unnecessary and confusing provisions related to the investment and local impact board's distribution of funds to local communities.
- Amends Tax 13 reflecting the statutory changes of 2013 WI Act 1: adjusting the "notice of intent payment" to \$225,000, which is to be paid in 3 increments of \$75,000. The payment changes were included in fiscal estimate prepared for Act 1.

The proposed rule has no fiscal effect.

## FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

$\boxtimes$	ORIGINAL
-------------	----------

Subject

UPDATED

Admin. Rule # SS 065-14

□ CORRECTED □ SUPPLEMENTAL

**INTRODUCTION #** 

## Proposed order of the Department of Revenue relating Investment and Local Impact Board administration.

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

LRB #

II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe		\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)		( )	-
State Operations-Other Costs			-
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$
3. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR		\$	\$
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S		\$	-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when state	proposal will increase or decrease	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
revenues (e.g., tax increa	ase, decrease in license fee, etc.)		
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -
GPR Earned			-
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$
		r i	
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT		
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT		LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	STATE		LOCAL
	<u>STATE</u>	\$\$	LOCAL Date 9/29/2016