STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number LIRC chs. 1 to 5		
3. Subject Procedures before the Labor & Industry Review Commission	and appeals of its decisions to circuit court	
4. Fund Sources Affected x GPR x FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS x SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.427	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule x No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes x No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rules are intended to modify and clarify the commission's procedures for parties filing petitions for review in unemployment insurance, worker's compensation, and equal rights appeals. In addition, certain of these procedures must be changed to conform to statutory changes in 2015 Wis. Acts 180 and 334.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. This rule was posted for 14 days for economic impact comments and none were received.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. This rule does not affect local governments.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local government units or the state's economy as a whole.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The proposed rules will make the commission's procedural rules consistent with recent law changes, and will also clarify several commission procedures and help to improve the speed and efficiency in processing cases and issuing decisions.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The proposed rules will improve the speed and efficiency in process	ssing cases and issuing commission decisions.	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government There are no existing or proposed federal regulations that addrules of the commission.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Neighboring states use various models of higher authority ag judge decisions in worker's compensation, unemployment in		

state are unique to the administrative procedures in each state, to the various appellate bodies entrusted to provide the

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

independent review, and to each program area.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Maria Gonzalez Knavel, LIRC General Counsel	(608) 266-3188

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012)

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No