

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

RAD 4

3. Subject

Scope of Practice

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.165 (1) (g)

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The current rule defines the scope of practice for radiographers and limited X-ray machine operators by reference to the standards set by the American Society of Radiologic Technologists (ASRT) in 2010. ASRT updated the standards in 2013. The proposed rule would amend the administrative code to reference the 2013 standards.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

The proposed rule was posted on the Department and Professional Services website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, associations representing, local governmental units and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit to implementing the rule is providing clarity and updated scope of practice standards. If the rule is not implemented, it will continue to reference outdated scope of practice standards.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarity and updated scope of practice standards.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

The administrative code of Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota concerning standards of practice do not reference the American Society of Radiologic Technologist standards.

17. Contact Name

Dale Kleven

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-4472
