STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2050 (C04/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, W 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis☐ Repeal Modification			
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number			
Ins 17.50, Wis. Admin. Code, Self-insured plans for health care providers.			
3. Date Rule promulgated and/or revised; Date of most recent Evaluation			
January 1, 1990 when it was first created. There have been no revisions prior to this proposed rule.			
4. Plain Language Analysis of the Rule, its Impact on the Policy Problem that Justified its Creation and Changes in Technology, Economic Conditions or Other Factors Since Promulgation that alter the need for or effectiveness of the Rule. The proposed rule implements s. 655.23, Stats., that requires health care providers to self-insurer or maintain insurance for the provider's health care liability coverage for purposes of effecting the coverage of the Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund (Fund). The proposed rule defines "affiliated health care providers" to be two or more health care providers that are either legal entities or are employed by one or more legal entities over which operating control is exercised and whose incomes are consolidated with the controlling legal entity in audited financial statements under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The term "provider" is amended to include, unless otherwise specified, both individual or affiliated health care providers. The rule modifies the intiail filing and funding requirements for providers to reflect the submission of GAAP statements on a consolidated basis and the preclusion of affiliated health care provider's ability to use letters of credit for intial funding. The rule also creates new provisions specifically			
addressing the minimum funding level for affiliated health care providers as the greater of \$2,000,000 or the amount of the actuarial estimate.			
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions and Mechanisms			
Section Ins 17.50 (12) and (13), Wis. Admin. Code, address compliance and regulatory oversight and remain unchanged by the proposed rule amendments.			
6. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) ☐ State's Economy ☐ Local Government Units ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers ☐ Small Businesses			
7. Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity. The proposed rule retains current funding levels for individual or small business health care providers. As funding will not change, the proposed rule should have little to no affect other than positive to non-health care small businesses.			
8. List of Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a Summary of their Comments.			
The Office sought comments from all of the following: Wisconsin Hospital Association Medical Society of Wisconsin Health and Life Advisory Council Members Members of the Board of Governors for the Fund Wisconsin Restaurant Association Wisconsin Chiropractic Association Wisconsin Counties Association Thrivent Insurance The Alliance			
Humana Insurance United Healthcare			

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Independent Insurance Agents of Wisconsin			
Sentry Insurance			
Anthem Blue Cross			
Medical College of Wisconsin			
Capitol Consultants			
National Federal of Independent Business Association			
Ministry Healthcare			
Interested members of the public			
No comments were received.			
9. Did the Agency consider any of the following Rule Modifications to reduce the Impact of the Rule on Small Businesses in lieu of repeal?			
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements			
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting			
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements			
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards			
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements			
🛮 Other, describe: The Office retained existing requirements that small businesses would need to comply with rather than			
increasing or otherwise modifying financial requirements for those providers.			
10. Fund Sources Affected	11. Chap	er 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	None		
12. Fiscal Effect of Repealing or Modifying the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could	se Costs Absorb Within Agency's Budget ase Cost	
13. Summary of Costs and Benefits of Repealing or Modifying the Rule There is no cost to modifying this rule. The rule implements existing state law and makes possible larger health care entities with affiliated provider wanting to self-insure the ability to do so in a manner that is not cost prohibitive. Specifically, without the proposed rule large health care providers comprised of several hospitals, clinics and physicians were required to separate the business with each unique health care provider self-insuring rather than as proposed in this rule, the ability of that same health care system to self-insure affiliated providers in one self-insured plan.			
14. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No			
15. Long Range Implications of Repealing or Modifying the Rule			
The implications of the modified rule include possible increase in the number of registered self-insured affliated health			
care providers but the Office is able to absorb the increase without additional staffing or funds.			
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government			
There is no comparable approach at the federal level as there is no similar Fund at the federal level.			
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)			
No neighboring states have comparable Funds.			
18. Contact Name		19. Contact Phone Number	
Julie E. Walsh		608-264-8101	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2050 (C04/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis