STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number DCF 12, Caregiver Background Checks; DCF 50, Facilitating Adoption of Children with Special Needs and Preadoption Pr DCF 56, Foster Home Care for Children.		
3. Subject Caregiver Background Checks		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues 7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) ☐ State's Economy ☐ Spec	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
	c Utility Rate Payers Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than $\ \square$ Yes $\ \boxtimes$ No	S20 million?	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Section 48.685, Stats., requires the department to promulgate rules.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. The Division of Safety and Permanence sent the solicitation for comments to the email distribution lists for child welfare licensing and numbered memos. The Division of Early Care and Education sent the solicitation for comments to the email distribution list for child care licensing.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. No local governmental units responded to the department's solicitation for comment.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
None. The requirements of the proposed rules are similar to the requirements of ch. DHS 12, relating to caregiver background checks. DHS 12 applies to DCF programs until the department creates its own rule.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Section 48.685, Stats., requires the department to promulgate rules on the caregiver background check.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Improved compliance with the background check requirements		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government Federal law requires a background check as a condition of fer requiring a background check for child care programs will be 16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (All states currently have background check requirements. No possible because the proposed rules focus on procedural issur	deral funding for child welfare programs. Federal law effective in the near future. Illinois, lowa, Michigan and Minnesota) o meaningful comparision to rules in adjacent states is	

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Elaine Pridgen	608-422-7077

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
None
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
NA
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
NA
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
NA
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
The enforcement provisions are in s. DCF 12.09, relating to sanctions, and in existing statutes and rules for each program
that requires a background check.
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No