

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis  
X Original    Updated    Corrected

---

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number  
SPS 320, Uniform Dwelling Code, Administration and enforcement

---

3. Subject  
Electronic Notification

---

4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(2)(j)
--	---

---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  
 No Fiscal Effect    Increase Existing Revenues    Increase Costs  
 Indeterminate    Decrease Existing Revenues    Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  
 Decrease Cost

---

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  
 State's Economy    Specific Businesses/Sectors  
 Local Government Units    Public Utility Rate Payers  
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

---

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  
 Yes    No

---

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule  
The proposed rule revisions would allow an additional means for delivery of notifications of compliance or non-compliance with the Uniform Dwelling Code.

---

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.  
Representatives of the following: building contractors engaged in construction of housing; building inspectors; the construction material supply industry; and remodeling contractors engaged in the remodeling of housing

---

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

---

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)  
No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected.

---

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule  
Inspectors would have an additional means of delivering notifications regarding code compliance and contractors or owners would have an additional means of receiving notifications regarding code compliance, adding to flexibility in the compliance process. The alternative would be to continue to require written notifications to be posted at the job site.

---

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule  
Creating efficiencies and keeping up with technology for the inspectors and contractors with regard to delivery of notifications of compliance or non-compliance with the code.

---

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government  
See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.

---

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)  
See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.

---

17. Contact Name Dan Smith	18. Contact Phone Number 608-261-4463
-------------------------------	--

---