

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

The benefits of implementing this rule include bringing the Wisconsin Administrative Code in compliance with 2015 Wisconsin Act 16 and providing a clear regulatory landscape for entities seeking licensure as transportation network companies.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The benefits of implementing this rule include bringing the Wisconsin Administrative Code in compliance with 2015 Wisconsin Act 16 and providing a clear regulatory landscape for entities seeking licensure as transportation network companies.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Illinois Statutes contain many similar requirements for transportation network companies as those created by 2015 Wisconsin Act 16 in the Wisconsin Statutes; however, individual municipalities are responsible for licensing transportation network companies. (625 Illinois Compiled Statutes 57). There are no effective rules regarding transportation network companies in Illinois.

Iowa: The state of Iowa does not regulate transportation network companies.

Michigan: The state of Michigan does not regulate transportation network companies.

Minnesota: A transportation network company driver or transportation network company on the driver's behalf shall maintain primary automobile insurance that recognizes that the driver is a transportation network company driver. The transportation network company shall disclose in writing to transportation network company drivers the following before they are allowed to accept a request for a prearranged ride on the transportation network company's digital network: (1) the insurance coverage, including the types of coverage and the limits for each coverage, that the transportation network company provides while the transportation network company driver uses a personal vehicle in connection with a transportation network company's digital network; (2) that the transportation network company driver's own automobile insurance policy might not provide any coverage while the driver is logged on to the transportation network company's digital network and is available to receive transportation requests or is engaged in a prearranged ride depending on its terms; and (3) that using a vehicle with a lien against the vehicle to provide transportation network services may violate the transportation network driver's contract with the lienholder [65B.472, MN Statutes]. Other specific requirements for insurance are spelled out in MN Statute. There are no effective rules regarding transportation network companies in Minnesota.

17. Contact Name

Katie Vieira

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-