

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| | |
|--|---|
| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected | |
| 2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number CSB 4.04(p) (NOTE: Chapter Phar 18 will be changed to CSB 4 effective October 1, 2015. This EIA reflects that change) | |
| 3. Subject Data Submission to the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program | |
| 4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S | 5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g) |
| 6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Cost | |
| 7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) | |
| 8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This rule implements 2013 Act 199 requiring the name of the person to whom a drug is dispensed or delivered to be submitted to the prescription drug monitoring program. | |
| 10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. The proposed rule was posted for economic comments for 14 days. | |
| 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. | |
| 12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Any economic impact resulting from the requirement to submit the name to PDMP is a result of the statutory requirement created by 2013 Act 199 and not the impact of the rule. | |
| 13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is the rule would comply with the statute. | |
| 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The benefit is the rule would comply with the statute. | |
| 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None | |
| 16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Our neighboring states do not require the name of the person to whom a drug is dispensed or delivered to be submitted to the prescription drug monitoring program. | |
| 17. Contact Name Sharon Henes | 18. Contact Phone Number (608) 261-2377 |

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-