

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

9. Did the Agency consider any of the following Rule Modifications to reduce the Impact of the Rule on Small Businesses in lieu of repeal?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe: The Fund is reducing the annual fees by 34%

10. Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

11. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

None

12. Fiscal Effect of Repealing or Modifying the Rule

- No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

13. Summary of Costs and Benefits of Repealing or Modifying the Rule

The proposed rule will benefit Fund participants by ensuring that fee revenue is adequate to cover anticipated administrative, operating and claims payments costs. The alternatives to this rule would be to establish a Fund fee increase, to maintain current fee amounts or to assess fees lower than the proposed 34% reduction in Fund fees. A greater reduction in fees would leave the Fund with inadequate funding to cover actuarially-based projected costs, while a fee increase or static fee level would present an unnecessary cost to Fund participants. The proposed rule does not significantly impact Wisconsin's economy, productivity, jobs or the overall economic competitiveness of Wisconsin. Wisconsin's health care marketplace is strengthened with an affordable layer of medical malpractice coverage. The Fund has existed in Wisconsin since 1975. Fund participants will benefit from a stable and solvent fund. Additionally, Fund participants should not experience increased compliance costs with the reduction of fund fees even with the inclusion of this year's increased mediation panel fees.

14. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No

15. Long Range Implications of Repealing or Modifying the Rule

The long-range implication of the rule as proposed will be an adequately funded and solvent Fund.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Federal government does not address this subject matter.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

None of the neighboring states have a patient compensation fund or a general program of state-sponsored liability insurance for physicians.

18. Contact Name

Kate Ludlum

19. Contact Phone Number

608-264-6232

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.