

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis X Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number SPS 321, 324, and 320 to 325 Appendix of the Uniform Dwelling Code	
3. Subject Prescriptive deck standards for One- and Two-Family Dwellings	
4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(2)(j)
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule revisions would update Wisconsin's Uniform Dwelling Code and increase ease of use. They clarify and refine portions of the code that were ambiguous or confusing.	
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Representatives of the following: building contractors engaged in construction of housing; building inspectors; the manufactured housing industry; the construction material supply industry; and remodeling contractors engaged in the remodeling of housing. This proposed rule was posted for a period of 14 days to solicit comments from the public. No businesses, associations representing businesses, local governmental units, or individuals contacted the department about the proposed rule during that time period.	
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. This rule does not affect local government units.	
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local government units, or the state's economy as a whole.	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Dwelling construction would be guided by more up-to-date standards and information.	
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Clarity and ease of use of the regulations would be improved.	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.	
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.	
17. Contact Name Dan Smith	18. Contact Phone Number 608-261-4463