STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis     Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number A-E 7		
3. Subject Practice, conduct, and continuing education		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  State's Economy  Local Government Units  Specific Businesses/Sectors  Public Utility Rate Payers  Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  ☐ Yes ☐ No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule  This proposed rule seeks to clarify various provisions of Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapter A-E 7, which sets forth the minimum standards of land surveyor's practice and to resolve inconsistencies between the rules in chapter A-E 7 and current practice within the profession. This rule will identify the information that should be included in maps and reports regarding the legal descriptions of property surveyed.  10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.  This proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services website and on the Wisconsin		
government website for 14 business days to solicit comments from the public. No businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, or individuals contacted the department about the proposed rule during that time period		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.		
None. This rule does not affect local government units.  12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
This rule will have no economic or fiscal impact on specific government units or the state's economy as a whole.	business, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Impleme	enting the Rule	
The current rule provides greater clarity and updates the adm land surveyors with regards to minimum survey standards. T		

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

continue with rules that are outdated and unclear.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Greater compliance with minimum survey standards resulting from clearer, more appropriate administrative rules.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: The Minimum Standards of Practice for land surveyors identifies the types of surveys land surveyors may conduct such as a boundary survey, condominium survey, subdivision survey, mortgage inspection, and topographic survey. It also lists the required information that should be found on the plat (map). The minimum standards are binding on every land surveyor in the state except in the case of federal, state or local laws that may be more stringent. When special conditions exist, it must be noted on the plat (68 Ill Admin Code 1270.56).

Iowa: The Minimum Standards for Property Surveys for land surveyors found in the Iowa Code are very similar to the current Wisconsin rules. It covers the same topics as the Wisconsin rules such as scope, definition, boundary location, descriptions, maps, measurements, and monuments and nearly mirrors the language. The scope of the rules encompasses each professional land surveyor and all of the property surveys performed in the state, except those done for acquisition plats (Iowa Admin. Code r. 193C-11.1).

Michigan: Michigan does not set forth minimum standards for property surveys. Instead, Michigan Administrative Code requires land surveyors to draft complete and accurate plats, plans, drawings, and specifications. The information contained on a survey must include the following: "a drawing that includes the graphical and numerical scale used, a north arrow, identification of all government corners and related witnesses, a description in compliance with state statute, [and a] statement of the manner of bearing determination." (Mich. Admin. Code r. 339.17403).

Minnesota: Minnesota does not set forth a minimum standard for property surveys in a manner similar to Illinois and Iowa. Instead, Minnesota identifies the requirements for plats (maps), and covers such topics as boundaries, mathematical data, easements and water boundaries. The statute further requires land surveyors to certify that they have surveyed a property or directly supervised a person who surveyed the property (Minn. Stat. s. 505.021).

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Katie Paff	(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012)

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?  Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements  Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting  Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements  Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards  Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements  Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No