

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis  
 Original    Updated    Corrected

---

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number  
DE 2

---

3. Subject  
Foreign trained dentists

---

4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g)
--	---

---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  
 No Fiscal Effect    Increase Existing Revenues    Increase Costs  
 Indeterminate    Decrease Existing Revenues    Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  
 Decrease Cost

---

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  
 State's Economy    Specific Businesses/Sectors  
 Local Government Units    Public Utility Rate Payers  
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

---

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  
 Yes    No

---

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule  
The policy problem addressed is a pathway for foreign trained dentists to become licensed in Wisconsin.

---

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.  
This rule was posted for economic impact comments and none were received.

---

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.  
None. It does not affect local governmental units.

---

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)  
This rule does not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units and the State's economy as a whole.

---

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule  
The benefit to implementing the rule is to provide for foreign trained dentists to become licensed in Wisconsin without compromising patient safety. The rule requires a foreign trained dentist to obtain a DDS or DMD from an accredited dental school or obtain a dental diploma, degree or certificate from a dental education program lasting at least two years at an accredited dental school which results in the equivalent education to a DDS or DMD degree.

---

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule  
The long range implications of implementing the rule is that foreign trained dentists will have a clear pathway to licensure and the public will be protected.

---

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government  
None

---

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)  
Illinois requires foreign trained applicants to complete a minimum of 2 years of clinical training from an approved dental college. Iowa requires foreign trained applicants to receive a dental diploma, degree or certificate of at least 2 years to

---

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

the level of a DDS or DMD graduate. Michigan requires foreign trained applicants to complete a minimum 2 year program in dentistry that leads to the awarding of a DDS or DMD or a minimum 2 year master's or certificate program from a dental specialty program. Minnesota requires foreign trained applicants to have an evaluation of the foreign dental school done by the International Credentialing Associates that the foreign dental school is equivalent to a dental school accredited by the Commission on Dental Accreditation.

17. Contact Name Sharon Henes	18. Contact Phone Number (608) 261-2377
----------------------------------	--

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes     No
-