STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis     ☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number CSB 2.37		
3. Subject Rescheduling hydrocodone combination products		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S  5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g)		
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost		
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  State's Economy  Local Government Units  Specific Businesses/Sectors  Public Utility Rate Payers  Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  ☐ Yes ☐ No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The United States Food and Drug Administration, Drug Enforcement Administration rescheduled hydrocodone combination products from a schedule III to schedule II controlled substance effective October 6, 2014. The Wisconsin Controlled Substance Board took affirmative action on October 8, 2014 to similarly reschedule hydrocodone combination products from a schedule III to schedule II controlled substance effective November 1, 2014. The Board is currently promulgated a final rule.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.  None		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule  The benefit is for the federal and state controlled substance act to be in conformity for enforcement purposes and eliminate confusion for prescribers and pharmacists.		
<ol> <li>Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule</li> <li>Hydrocodone combination products will be treated as schedule II controlled substances.</li> </ol>		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The United States Food and Drug Administration, Drug Enforcement Administration rescheduled hydrocodone combination products as schedule II controlled substances.  16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa is in the process of promulgating an administrative rule to amend the Iowa statutes to reschedule hydrocodone combination products as schedule II controlled substances. Illinois, Michigan and Minnesota have not rescheduled hydrocodone combination products.

_injurational variation products.	
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?  Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements  Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting  Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements  Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards  Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements  Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No