ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis X Original Updated Corrected	
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number SPS 332, Public Employee Safety and Health	
3. Subject Public Employee Safety and Health Standards	
4. Fund Sources Affected □ GPR □ FED ⊠ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(2)(j)
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues	 ➢ Increase Costs ➢ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget □ Decrease Cost
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?	
 Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Under section 101.055 (3) of the Statutes, the Department is required to adopt, by rule, standards to protect the safety and health of public-sector employees. The standards must provide protection that is at least equal to the protection which is provided to private- sector employees under standards promulgated by the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and must be revised as necessary to maintain that equivalent protection. Chapter SPS 332 currently includes, by reference, the July 1, 2003, edition of these OSHA standards – except for maximum-permissible-exposure limits for air contaminants, which are carried forward from the 1992 edition. This rulemaking would primarily update this chapter to include the July 1, 2010, edition of these OSHA standards, and would no longer substitute the 1992 air-contaminants limits in place of the more-recent OSHA limits. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. 	
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.	
 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected. 	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The safety and health risks that public-sector employees are exposed to would be reduced to the level that private-sector employees were exposed to under the 2010 edition of these OSHA standards.	
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Same as number 13.	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.	
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.	
17. Contact Name Sam Rockweiler	18. Contact Phone Number 608-266-0797
This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.	