

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

ETF 10, 11, 20, 40, 41, 52, 55, 60, and 70

3. Subject

Technical and minor substantive changes in existing ETF administrative rules

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The objective of this technical rule is to make technical updates to existing ETF rules, delete obsolete language in ETF rules, create consistency with provisions in 2013 Wisconsin Act 20 related to rehired annuitants, and make other minor substantive changes.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

Information, including the proposed rule language, will be made available by posting on the ETF website and the Wisconsin administrative rules website and by submitting the information to the Governor's Office of Regulatory Compliance.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

None

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

No substantive impact is anticipated.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Implementation of the Rule will update ETF administrative code provisions to be consistent with recent statutory changes. This will enhance clarity and minimize confusion for the general public and public employers. The Rule deletes obsolete language from ETF administrative code provisions, such as multiple references throughout ETF Chapter 70 to "primary and alternative" plans within the Wisconsin Deferred Compensation Program. Such changes modernize the code and bring it into conformance with present-day realities. The Rule also makes minor changes to reflect current ETF administrative practices and to correct minor technical inaccuracies in the existing code.

The alternative would be to fail to comply with recent legislative changes, and allow obsolete language to continue to exist.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Implementation will bring the affected ETF rules into compliance with recent legislative changes, update affected ETF rules to reflect current administrative practices and delete obsolete language from ETF rules.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Not applicable

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Periodically, retirement systems in adjacent states promulgate technical rules to update existing administrative rules.

17. Contact Name

David H. Nispel, General Counsel

18. Contact Phone Number

608-264-6936

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Not applicable

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

Not applicable

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

Small business analysis is not applicable.

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

Not applicable

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

Not applicable

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes No
