

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original  Updated  Corrected

---

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

SPS 318, 362, and 366 – Elevators, Escalators, and Lift Devices

---

3. Subject

Elevators, Escalators, and Lift Devices

---

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR  FED  PRO  PRS  SEG  SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected  
20.165 (2) (j)

---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect  Increase Existing Revenues  Increase Costs  
 Indeterminate  Decrease Existing Revenues  Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  
 Decrease Cost

---

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy  Specific Businesses/Sectors  
 Local Government Units  Public Utility Rate Payers  
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

---

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes  No

---

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The proposed rule revisions would primarily adopt the most current edition of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) A17.1 and A18.1 standards for conveyances, which are the 2013 and 2011 editions, respectively. The revisions contain a number of modifications to the technical requirements within these standards, primarily relating to how inspections occur, and include reorganizing the current requirements and applying various editorial changes – all of which are intended to better reflect contemporary industry and regulatory best practices.

---

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

Representatives of manufacturers, designers, contractors, inspectors, and the general public as users of the conveyances

---

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

City of Madison

---

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The Department believes the proposed rule revisions will not impose any economic or fiscal impacts on these entities beyond what the current rules impose.

---

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Installation, operation, inspection, and testing of elevators, escalators, and lift devices would be guided by more up-to-date standards and information; and public safety would be increased. The alternatives include continuing to apply the current, outdated standards.

---

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Clarity and ease of use of the regulations, and public safety, would be improved.

---

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.

---

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.

---

17. Contact Name

Sam Rockweiler

18. Contact Phone Number

608-266-0797

---