

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

X Original    Updated    Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

SPS 321, and 320 to 325 Appendix – Uniform Dwelling Code

3. Subject

Wall Bracing for One- and Two-Family Dwellings

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR    FED    PRO    PRS    SEG    SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.165 (2) (j)

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

X No Fiscal Effect       Increase Existing Revenues       Increase Costs  
 Indeterminate       Decrease Existing Revenues       Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors  
 Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers  
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes      X No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The proposed rule revisions primarily would clarify and simplify the prescriptive methods in chapter SPS 321 for designing wall bracing for one- and two-family homes, to adequately withstand wind loads.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

Representatives of each of the following: building-trade labor organizations; certified building inspectors employed by local units of government; building contractors actively engaged in on-site construction of one- and two-family housing; manufacturers, retailers, or installers of manufactured or modular one- and 2-family housing; architects, engineers, or designers who are registered under chapter 443 of the Statutes and who are actively engaged in the design or evaluation of one- and two-family housing; the construction material supply industry; remodeling contractors actively engaged in the remodeling of one- and two-family housing; persons with disabilities, as defined in section 106.50 (1m) (g) of the Statutes; and fire prevention professionals.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

City of Wausau

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Design, construction, and inspection of wall bracing would be clarified and simplified – which should reduce costs and delays. The current costs and delays would continue if the rule is not implemented.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Clarity and ease of use of the requirements would be improved.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.

17. Contact Name

Sam Rockweiler

18. Contact Phone Number

608-266-0797