

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original    Updated    Corrected

---

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Phar 18

---

3. Subject

Prescription Drug Monitoring Program updates

---

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR    FED    PRO    PRS    SEG    SEG-S

---

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.165(1)(g) and 20.165(1)(hg)

---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect    Increase Existing Revenues    Increase Costs  
 Indeterminate    Decrease Existing Revenues    Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  
 Decrease Cost

---

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy    Specific Businesses/Sectors  
 Local Government Units    Public Utility Rate Payers  
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

---

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes    No

---

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The Board is aware that currently there are provisions which create inefficiencies and ambiguities that the prescription drug monitoring program has to overcome to be as effective of a tool to combat prescription drug misuse and abuse as it can be. This proposed rule corrects and updates those provisions.

---

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

Economic impact comments were solicited by posting on Department and Administrative Rules websites for 14 days and no comments were received. Stakeholders had provided feedback during the implementation of changes which should be made to the current rules.

---

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

None

---

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole.

---

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The Board received feedback while developing and deploying the prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) and gained considerable expertise in ways to improve it once it became fully operational. The benefit to implementing the rule is to make those changes.

The alternative is to not make the modifications, which would not enable the Board to improve the PDMP based on information obtained while developing and deploying the PDMP and the feedback of stakeholders and PDMP users.

---

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range benefit is to have an effective prescription drug monitoring program.

---

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

---

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)  
Our neighboring states require dispensers to submit to a database similar information regarding the prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances.

---

17. Contact Name

Sharon Henes

---

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-2377

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.