ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	
Original Updated Corrected	
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Phar 7, 8	
3. Subject	
Allowing electronic prescriptions for controlled substances schedule II	
4. Fund Sources Affected 5. Chap □ GPR □ FED ⊠ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	ter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	
	ase Costs
	d Absorb Within Agency's Budget ease Cost
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
□ State's Economy □ Specific Busin	esses/Sectors
Local Government Units Dublic Utility R	•
Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? □ Yes □ No	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
2011 Wisconsin Act 159 amended § 961.38, Stats. to allow electronic prescriptions for schedule II controlled substances. This rule updates the Pharmacy Examining Board rules accordingly.	
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that	
may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.	
This rule was posted for 14 days for economic comments and none were received.	
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. This rule does not affect local governments.	
 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) 	
This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local	
governmental units or the state's economy as a whole. This rule updates the code to reflect the statutory change to allow for electronic prescriptions.	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule	
The benefit of implementing the rule would allow a pharmacy/pharmacist to use modern technology in a manner that efficiently	
meets patient needs by allowing electronic prescriptions for schedule II controlled substances and allowing oral prescriptions to be reduced to an electronic record while maintaining public safety. This rule updates the code to reflect the revision in statute created	
by 2011 Act 159 to allow for electronic prescriptions to schedule II controlled substances.	
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule	
The long range implication is to increase public safety by cutting down on dispensing errors or patients misplacing their written	
prescription orders by allowing electronic prescriptions, rather than only written prescription orders.	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The federal government allows for electronic prescriptions for schedule II controlled substances.	
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Our four neighboring states allow for electronic prescriptions for scheduled II controlled substances, if not prohibited by federal law.	
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 261-2377
	·

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes □ No