STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012)

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis		
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Ch. DE 12, Delegation of Functions to Unlicensed Persons		
Subject Training of Unlicensed Persons and Deletion of Required Form(s)		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g)	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Chapter DE 12, delegation of functions to unlicensed persons, has not been revised since 1991. The Dentistry Examining Board requests to repeal the requirements for the submittal of a form to verify a dentist's training and delegation of any remediable dental procedure to an unlicensed person.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Licensed dentists		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None known.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
The proposed rule would have no affect on Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole. The major impact would be the deletion of a process not currently followed while maintaining both the delegation responsibilities with the licensed dentist and reporting of violations by dentists and dental hygienists.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Rules will be contemporary and reflect current practice in the industry.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Licensed dentists will continue to be responsible for training unlicensed persons in practices and functions, but so communicating to the board will not be practice.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government An Internet-based search of the U.S. Code or the Code of Federal Register did not reveal any current or proposed rules relating to dentists training unlicensed individuals or any forms recording such training.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois: The rules for Illinois Department of Financial & Professional Regulation for dentistry has no mention of a licensed dentist providing such training be required to apply or report such activity to the Division of Professional Regulation. Iowa: The rules for the lowa Dental Board have no reference to training of unlicensed individuals or forms so required. Michigan: Under the Michigan Board of Dentistry, there are no rules currently listing training and verification forms for unlicensed individuals. Minnesota: Under the Minnesota Board of Dentistry rules, a review did not reveal that a licensee has the authority to train an unlicensed individual, nor is there a means to report such training to the Board.		
17. Contact Name Jean MacCubbin	18. Contact Phone Number 608.266.0955	
WORLD THE WARRIET	000.200.0000	

STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No