

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number
Chs. SPS 381 to 384, State Plumbing Code

3. Subject
EPA Lead Reduction Rule, US EPA Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) of 2011

4. Fund Sources Affected
 GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
20.165(2)(j)

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

No policy is being addressed through this rule revision. The purpose of the rule is to update definition of "lead-free" and incorporate by reference national standards consistent with US EPA Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) revisions of January 2011, which restricts permissible levels of lead in drinking water components and provides manufacturers and distributors a protocol to assure compliance.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.
Local water purveyors, product manufacturers and distributors, plumbing designers and inspectors.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.
None known.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This Federal rule was enacted in January 2011 with a three-year enactment date; manufacturers of pipes and pipe fittings and fixtures sold nation-wide have been noticed that covered products will be required to meet this rule.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Pipes and pipe fittings and fixtures sold in Wisconsin will meet the requirements of sec. 1417(d)(2) of the SDWA of 2011, thereby reducing the leaching of lead from various materials used in water service into the drinking water.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

These products are intended to restrict permissible levels of lead in drinking water.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

This rule revision is in response to a Federal rule, revisions to the SDWA of 2011.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

An Internet-based search found no pending legislation for the four adjacent states: Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota.

17. Contact Name

Jean MacCubbin

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 266-0955

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-