

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original    Updated    Corrected

---

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

165-SPS 132.05

---

3. Subject

Home Inspector Biennial registration

---

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR    FED    PRO    PRS    SEG    SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect    Increase Existing Revenues    Increase Costs  
 Indeterminate    Decrease Existing Revenues    Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  
 Decrease Cost

---

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy    Specific Businesses/Sectors  
 Local Government Units    Public Utility Rate Payers  
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

---

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes    No

---

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The proposed rule seeks to correct the biennial renewal date currently within ch. SPS 132.05 (1) by substituting January 1 of each odd numbered year with December 15 of each even -numbered year.

---

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services website and on the Wisconsin government website for 14 business days to solicit comments from the public. No businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, or individuals contacted the department about the proposed rule during that time period.

---

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.

---

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This rule will have no economic or fiscal impact on specific business, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local government units or the state's economy as a whole.

---

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit of implementing the rule is providing the correct information regarding the home inspector biennial registration date in a manner in which licensees may find the information with ease.

---

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Correctly stating information regarding home inspector biennial renewal date.

---

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

---

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

**Illinois:** Home inspectors in Illinois may renew their license for a period of 2 years following the expiration date of their original license. 68 Ill. Adm. Code 1410.140 (2012).

---

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**Iowa:** An internet search revealed no statutes or regulations regarding home inspectors in Iowa.

**Michigan:** There are no specific renewal dates for home inspectors in Michigan.

**Minnesota:** An internet search revealed no statutes or regulations regarding home inspectors in Minnesota.

17. Contact Name Shawn Leatherwood	18. Contact Phone Number 608-261-4438
---------------------------------------	--

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
  - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
  - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
  - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
  - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
  - Other, describe:
- 

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes     No
-