STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Wis. Admin. Ch. A-E 2.02		
3. Subject Electronic Seals and Signatures		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than ☐ Yes ☐ No	\$20 million?	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule would allow architects, landscape architects, professional engineers, designers and land surveyors to use electronic seals or signatures on all plans, drawings, documents, specifications and reports. Currently, all seals and stamps on drawings and specifications to be filed as public documents are required to be original. However, there is a provision that allows for electronic signatures in Wis. Admin Code A-E 2.02 (7) (b) 2.; but the provision is outdated. The proposed rule draft would allow broader use of electronic signatures to be filed as public documents and update the corresponding citation to subch. II, ch. 137, Stats.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. This proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services website and on the Wisconsin government website for 14 business days to solicit comments from the public. No businesses, business sectors, associations representing business local governmental units or individuals contacted the department about the proposed rule.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the dev No local governmental units participated in the development		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
The proposed rule will not impact business sectors, public ut economy as a whole.	tility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing	enting the Rule	

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Electronic seals and signatures will allow greater flexibility in filing plans, specifications, plats, and reports and other documents. The benefit will go towards architects, landscape architects, professional engineers, designers and land surveyors who will be able to choose between electronic seals and signatures or stamped seals and hand written signatures.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Long range implications of the proposed rule will result in greater efficiency in maintaining records and keeping the affected professions up to date with current advancements in technology.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government There are no comparable federal rules.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Architects, Engineers and Land Surveyors must have a reproducible seal or facsimile, which may be computer generated. Ill. Admin. Code tit. 68 ss. 1150, 1380.295 and 1270.58 Illinois code expressly prohibits the use of signatures generated by computers. Illinois requires original seals only.

Iowa: Professional Engineers and Professional Land Surveyors must use a certification block on original documents. The certification block requires a seal and a signature. A legible rubber stamp or facsimile of a seal may be used. 193C IAC 6.1 (3) (542B) Computer generated seals may be used on final documents. Iowa Professional Engineers and Professional Land Surveyor licensees may affix a handwritten or secure electronic signature to the certification block as long as the signature is protected by a procedure that is, "adequate to (1) verify the signature is that of a specific person and (2) detect any changes that may be made or attempted after the signature of the specific person is affixed." 193C IAC 6.9 (542B)

Michigan: Architects, professional engineers, and professional land surveyors may use an embossed seal or a rubber stamp and an original signature only. MICH. ADMIN. Code r.339.15301, 339.16024, 339.17301

Minnesota: Licensed architects, professional engineers, land surveyors, professional landscape architects, professional geologist, or professional soil scientists may use a seal on all plans, specifications, plats, and reports and other documents. Minn. R. 1800.4300 (2012) Furthermore, a signature is required for all plans, specifications, plats, reports, or other documents. The signature may be stamped, handwritten, or electronically created as long as it creates an accurate representation of the licensee's actual signature. Minn. Stats. Ann. § 326.12

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Shawn Leatherwood	608-261-4438

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No