STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012)

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis Original □ Updated □ Corrected	
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number DCF 101, Wisconsin Works	
3. Subject Wisconsin Works Case Management Services for Job-Ready	Individuals
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget☐ Decrease Cost
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publi	ific Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers ll Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
 9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Section 49.147 (2) (am), Stats., directs the department to promulgate rules that specify the criteria for a W-2 agency to use in reviewing, every 30 days, the provision of case management services to an individual in a case management services for job-ready individuals placement, if the individual is not successful in obtaining unsubsidized employment after legitimate efforts to secure employment, to determine whether the individual should be placed in a trial employment match program job, community service job, or transitional placement. 10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Department of Health Services, Department of Workforce Development, Wisconsin County Human Service Association, W-2 agencies, Legal Action of Wisconsin, Wisconsin Coalition Against Domestic Violence, and Wisconsin Council on Children and Families. The department requested that the advocacy agencies solicit comments from their stakeholders 	
and requested that the W-2 agencies solicit comments from their Community Steering Committee members. 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None	
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) None. George Gerharz submitted comments on the impact of the job-ready placement on W-2 agencies and W-2 participants. His comments are all related to statutory requirements.	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implement The rule is required by s. 49.147 (2) (am), Stats.	enting the Rule
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule None	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None	

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		
None of the adjacent states have a comparable policy that provides case management services in lieu of cash assistance		
in their TANF program.		
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number	
Margaret McMahon	(608) 266-1717	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012)

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No