

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Psy 2

Subject

Applicant Appearances

Fund Sources Affected

Chapter 20 , Stats. Appropriations Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect
 Indeterminate

Increase Existing Revenues
 Decrease Existing Revenues

Increase Costs
 Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Costs

The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy

Local Government Units

Specific Businesses/Sectors

Public Utility Rate Payers

Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

This amendment will bring the rule in line with the statutes which has a discretionary provision regarding applicant appearances rather than the current rule which is mandatory. The rule also repeals the reciprocity provision because the Agreement of Reciprocity of the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards requires a personal appearance before the Board.

Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

There is no economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole.

Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit to rule will reduce the administrative burden of the resources of the Psychology Examining Board and the Department of Safety and Professional Services. It would also significantly reduce the time it takes an applicant to become licensed because they would no longer have to wait until a scheduled meeting. The repeal of the provision regarding the Agreement of Reciprocity of the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards would have minimal impact due to there only being seven other signatory states and none are neighboring states.
The alternative to rule change would be to continue requiring every applicant to appear before the Board prior to obtaining a license.

Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication would be streamlined application process.

Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

None of our neighboring states require a personal appearance before the Board prior to issuance of a license.

Name and Phone Number of Contact Person

Sharon Henes (608) 261-2377