STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □ Corrected			
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number HEA 5.04			
3. Subject Wisconsin Talent Incentive Grant			
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S		er 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected (fd), 20.235(1)(fd)	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues		se Costs Absorb Within Agency's Budget ase Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)			
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule HEA5.04 is not in compliance with federal law (Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964). See text of rule for details.			
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Rule has been discussed with representatives from the University of Wisconsin System, the Wisconsin Technical College System, Wisconsin's tribal colleges, and the Wisconsin Association of Independent Colleges and Universities (WAICU).			
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None.			
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No substantive impact anticipated			
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Implementation would bring HEA 5.04 into alignment with federal law. The alternative would be to continue to ignore HEA 5.04's non-compliance.			
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Implementation would bring HEA 5.04 into alignment with federal law, forestalling potential challenges to the program.			
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The proposed change would make HEAB 5.04 compliant and consistent with federal law.			
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See text of rule.			
17. Contact Name		18. Contact Phone Number	
John Reinemann, Executive Secretary		608-267-2206	

STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Not applicable.
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses Not applicable.
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? ☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements ☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting ☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements ☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards ☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements ☐ Other, describe: Small business analysis is non-applicable.
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses Non-applicable.
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions Rule removes a criteria from the current list of criteria used in the program. Removing the affected clause from the rule will prevent the affected criteria from being used in consideration of the program.
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No