ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS	
Type of Estimate and Analysis	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number	
Section Tax 11.07 – Property used in qualified research and property used to raise research animals	
Subject	
Sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology	
Fund Sources Affected	Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG SEG-S	
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	
☑ No Fiscal Effect☐ Increase Existing Revenues☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Costs
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers	
Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?	
☐ Yes No	
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect a statutory change.	
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)	
As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of creating sales and use tax exemptions for biotechnology was reflected under general fund condition statements subsequent to 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. The rule itself does not create any further economic or fiscal impact or implementation and compliance costs beyond the statutes it interprets.	
No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation.	
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule	
Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.	
If the rule is not implemented, chapter Tax 11 will be incomplete in that it will not reflect current law.	
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule	
No long-range implications are anticipated.	
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
N/A	
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	
N/A	