## **Summary of ATCP 29 Public Comments**

The ATCP 29 rule revision public hearings were held on February 21, 2012, in Appleton and February 29, 2012, in Madison. The public comment period remained open until March 30, 2012. Table 1 summarizes the number of people that attended the hearing, submitted testimony or registered their comments.

Table 1 – Attendance at the Public Hearings and Written Comments Submitted								
	Number of People that Attended Hearings	Number of Registration/Comment Cards Submitted at Hearings	Number of People Who Spoke at Hearings	Mailed or Emailed Comments				
Appleton Public Hearing	13	12	8	-				
Madison Public Hearing	17	15	4	-				
Written Comments	-	-	-	18				
Submitted								
Total	30	27	12	18				

Table 2 summarizes comments provided at both public hearings and the written comments submitted to the department. If an individual's submittal referenced multiple sections of ATCP 29, each thought was separately tallied in Table 2. The DATCP response column outlines what if any actions were taken to address public comments in the final rule language.

Table 2 – Summary of Public Comments Received						
Proposed Change	Support Proposal	Support Proposal with Changes	Oppose Proposal	Total Comments	Alternatives Suggested	DATCP Response
Legislative Council Rules					Suggested edits for 1) form,	DATCP revised rule text to
Clearinghouse Comments					style and placement in administrative code, and 2) clarity, grammar, punctuation and use of plain	incorporate all suggested edits.

					language.	
Proposed Change	Support Proposal	Support Proposal with Changes	Oppose Proposal	Total Comments	Alternatives Suggested	DATCP Response
Veterinary Clinics Applying Pesticides	-	-	-	-	-	No change, except that "animal technician" was amended to read "veterinary technician" to be consistent with Ch. 453, Stats.
Urban Pesticide Misting System	-	-	-	-	-	No change to proposal
Perimeter Barrier Applications	1	-	-	1	-	No change to proposal
Worker Protection Provisions	-	-	-	-	-	No change to proposal
Applying Pesticides to Natural Areas; Applicator Certification	1	14	-	15	<ul> <li>Create a new certification category for natural areas</li> <li>Instead of Turf and Landscape Category (3.0), put into Right of Way Category (6.0)</li> <li>Add natural area certification to both 3.0 and 6.0 categories</li> </ul>	The department amended the rule so that natural area applications will be added to Category 6.0 (Right-of-Way) and not be added to Category 3.0 (Turf & Landscape).

Proposed Change	Support Proposal	Support Proposal with Changes	Oppose Proposal	Total Comments	Alternatives Suggested	DATCP Response
Electronic Notifications	1	6	-	7	<ul> <li>Allow verbal consent for providing notice.</li> <li>Language was suggested for line (11 p.14-16) ATCP 29.22 to reduce the specificity required for describing the application location.</li> <li>How can we know ensure customer agreed to electronic notice?</li> </ul>	The proposed language for 29.22 was modified. Businesses need to obtain written customer consent in order to send certain pesticide- related notifications electronically.
Pesticide Bait Station	1 (name only)	15	9	25	<ul> <li>Rename "pesticide bait station" to be "rodenticide bait station".</li> <li>Allow information to be placed inside station to reduce weathering, increase tamper resistance and reduce visibility.</li> <li>Contact info should be placed on outside of bait station and EPA registration # on inside.</li> <li>Options for 1) name, contact and EPA registration # or 2) 24 hour contact phone info.</li> <li>Create DATCP website posting manufacturer data for rodenticides, antidotes, pictures, etc.</li> </ul>	DATCP change the term to "Rodenticide Bait Station." This better matches industry terminology and will reduce confusion with other types of bait stations, such as those for bees. The proposed labeling information will be allowed to be placed on the exterior or interior of the station. Adding the new labeling will improve the public's access to rodenticide information compared to the current rule.

Proposed Change	Support Proposal	Support Proposal with Changes	Oppose Proposal	Total Comments	Alternatives Suggested	DATCP Response
Pesticide Mixing and Loading	1	-	-	1	None	DATCP is not changing catch basin
Sites; Spill Containment.						volume requirement that exist in. s.
Commenter questioned						ATCP 29.45(5).
whether catch basin						
requirements were being						
changed by the proposed rule.						
Other Proposed Changes and	-	-	-	2		
Public Comments						
General concern voiced over					None	Pesticides may be used under
use of pesticides under power						power lines in order to prevent tree
lines.						growth or for other like reasons.
						This question does not directly
Question concerning how					None	relate to the proposed rule.
DATCP interprets a label						
restriction for a certain group						The 50 ft. rodenticide distance limit
of rodenticides.						is a mandated a label requirement
						that EPA recently reassessed and
						agreed to change. This question
						does not directly relate to the
						proposed rule.