		LRB or Bill No. / Adm. Rule No.		
100A-2048 (R $10/94$) $1/1$ URIONAL 1 UPDATED		ch. ATCP 30 Amendment No. (If Applicable)		
Subject:	170.0			
Creation/Merging of Additional Atrazine Prohibition Area Fiscal Effect				
State: No State Fiscal Effect		se Costs –		
Check below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.		May be possible to absorb within agency's budget? Xes No		
Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues				
Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues		ease Costs		
Create New Appropriation				
		f Local Gov. Unit Affected:		
		ties Cities		
Permissive Mandatory Permissi				
		ol Districts		
Permissive Mandatory Permissi		5 Districts		
Fund Source Affected:		20 Appropriations:		
		(r)		
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate				
State Government				
The rule will be administered by the Agricultural Resource Management Division of the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP). The following estimate is based on prohibiting the use of atrazine on approximately 8,640 extra acres of land in Wisconsin.				
Administration and enforcement of the proposal will involve new costs for the department. Specialist and field investigator staff time will be needed for inspections and enforcement in the additional prohibition area (0.1 FTE, costing approximately \$7,800). Enforcement activities will be conducted in conjunction with current compliance inspections, but at increased levels to ensure compliance within the prohibition area.				
Soil sampling conducted in the expanded prohibition area to determine compliance with the rules will require an estimated \$2,000 in analytical services.				
Total Annual Costs: \$9,800				
DATCP anticipates no additional costs for other state agencies. Water sampling programs within the Department of Natural Resources and local health agencies may receive short-term increased interest by individuals requesting samples.				
On Local Units of Government				
The rule does not mandate that local government resources be expended on sample collection, rule administration or enforcement. The rule is therefore not expected to have any fiscal impact on local units of government. County agricultural agents will likely receive requests for information on provisions of the rule and on weed control strategies with eliminated reliance on atrazine. This responsibility will probably be incorporated into current extension programs with no net fiscal impact. Long - Range Fiscal Implications				
Long - Range riscai implications				
Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Author DATCP	ized Signature/Telephone No.	Date		
	alker, 608-224-4353			

FIS CAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	2009 SESSION				
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect DOA-2047 (R10/94) ORIGINAL UPDATED CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	LRB or Bill No/Adm. Ru ch. ATCP 30	ile No. Amendment No.			
SUBJECT					
Creation/Merging of Additional Atrazine Prohibition Areas					
L One-time Cost or Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do no	ot include in annualized fis	cal effect):			
Costs are recurring; see below.					
II. Annualized Cost:	Annualized Fiscal Imp	act on State funds from:			
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
1. State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$7,800	\$-0			
2. (FTE Position Changes)	(0.1 FTE)	(-0 FTE)			
3. State Operations - Other Costs	\$2,000	- 0			
4. Local Assistance	0	- 0			
5. Aids to Individuals or Organizations	0	- 0			
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$ 9,800	\$ - 0			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
1. GPR	\$ 0	\$ - 0			
2. FED	0	- 0			
3. PRO/PRS	0	- 0			
	ő	-			
4. SEG/SEG-S	\$9,800	- 0			
4. SEG/SEG-S III. State Revenues -	ő	- 0 Decreased Revenue			
	\$9,800	0			
III. State Revenues -	\$9,800	0			
III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees)	\$9,800 Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue			
III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees) • GPR Taxes	\$9,800 Increased Revenue \$ 0	Decreased Revenue \$ -0			
III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees) • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned	\$9,800 Increased Revenue \$ 0 0	Decreased Revenue \$ -0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0			
III. State Revenues - Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees) • GPR Taxes • GPR Earned • FED	\$9,800 Increased Revenue \$ 0 0 0	Decreased Revenue \$ -0 - 0 - 0 - 0			

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ <u>9,800</u>	\$0
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$0	\$ <u>0</u>
Agency Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) DATCP	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Rick Graham, ph. 608-224-4502	Bill Walker, 608-224-4353	