				Page 1 of 14	
Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 10-103		Hearing	ng Location: Madison, WI	
Rule Number	Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66			Date: October 5, 2010	
	Commercial Buildings				
Comments:	Presenter,				
Oral or Exhibit No.	Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response	
Speaker 1,	Lynn R. Lauersdorf	Concerned with code change proposals related to air b	parrier-	The 2009 edition of the IECC under chapter 4 for residential	
Exhibit 1	Madison, WI	requirements. Requests the Department consider amendments to the proposed Wisconsin modifications Provided suggested code language for ss. Comm 62.12 and (2), Comm 62.1211 and Comm 63.0501.	s.	buildings contains provisions for air barriers. The 2012 edition of the IECC under chapter 5 for commercial buildings will contain specific provisions for air barriers. The proposed Wisconsin modifications and the amendments proposed by the commenter are not coordinated with IECC provisions. The proposed Wisconsin modifications will cause potential compliance confusion without extensive further modification to the IBC and IECC. The proposed Wisconsin air barrier provisions have been withdrawn in light of the developments in the IBC and IECC.	
Speaker 2, Exhibit 1	Pat Conway International Masonry Institute Mt. Horeb, WI	Supports the comments and suggested code language submitted by Lynn Lauersdorf relating to air barrier requirements.		See response under Lauersdorf, speaker 1.	
Speaker 3 Exhibit 2	Wayne Vandenbergh Structural Engineers Association – Wisconsin (SEA-WI) Sun Prairie, WI	Proposes adopting in its entirety Chapter 17 of the IBC Wisconsin is no longer lagging behind other neighbor states that have already done so. Suggest revising s. 62.1700 to include all IBC Chapter 17 requirements for structural tests and special inspections.	ing	For decades the Department has mandated the use of a supervising professional, s. Comm 61.40, to help facilitate code compliance during construction. The Department will evaluate the concept of special inspections under IBC chapter 17 separately. The concept of special inspections statewide would seem to necessitate the implementation of an inspector certification scheme.	
Speaker 4 Exhibit 3	William Babcock Wisconsin Society of Architects (AIA) Madison, WI	 a. Concerned that the note added to the Department's submittal form, SBD 118, may not be consistent with statutory or rule requirements, and therein confusing vunintended consequences. Offers several possible solonomer in the professional is also responsible for supervising Professional is also responsible for supervision of the Lighting & Fire Suppression/Alarm installation (If Applicable its entirety. Strike the added language prior to signing the There does not appear anything preventing 	vith lutions: for)," in	a. The Department has evaluated and will revise the form as appropriate with the implementation of the code revisions.	

Page 2 of 14

Classinals	- DI. Mk 10 102		Page 2 01 14
	e Rule Number: 10-103		learing Location: Madison, WI learing Date: October 5, 2010
	Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66 Relating to: Commercial Buildings		earing Date: October 5, 2010
Comments:	Presenter,		
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State		rigorio, ricoponisc
	·	architects and other design professionals from	
		editing such an application form to more accura	tely
		reflect their responsibilities.	
		Change the language of the note to clarify the i	ntent
		of	
	Babcock continued	• "(If Applicable)" included at the end. Does it m	
		the component is in the professional's scope o	
		service or if the component is part of the project Different plan reviewers have different	t?
		interpretations.	
		Revise the SBD-118 form to allow different supervising	
		professionals to check separate boxes and provide	
		signatures related to the installation of different building	
		components and systems [e.g. HVAC, Lighting, Fire	
		Suppression, Fire Alarm, etc.]. Since the form currently	
		allows the supervising professional to indicate whether	the
		compliance statement will apply to the "Building" or	
		"HVAC," why shouldn't SBD-118 also identify the installation of other components.	
		b. Suggests revising, if necessary, s. Comm 61.40 clarify	ing b. Comm 61.40 (1) has been revised to recognize that
		that multiple supervising professionals may be response	
		for different components of the building project.	individuals.
		c. Believes the SBD 118 form may be inconsistent with	c. The Department has evaluated and will revise the form
		administrative rules with respected to several issues,	as appropriate.
		including:	
		Pre-manufactured and pre-engineered structura	1
		components.	
		Identification of the supervising professional	
		relative to certain types of project delivery	
		approaches.	
		• Signing and sealing of electrical and plumbing plans.	
		pans.	

Page 3 of 14

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 10-103	Ц	aring Location: Madison, WI
	r: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21		aring Date: October 5, 2010
	Commercial Buildings	and or to oo	alling Date. October 5, 2010
Comments:	Presenter,		
Oral or Exhibit No.	Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Speaker 5 Exhibit 4	Isaac Elnecave Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance Chicago, IL	a. Believes using the 2009 edition of the International Ene Conservation Code, IECC, represents a significant improvement in energy efficiency.	rgy a. Support noted.
	Elnecave continued	b. Supports the inclusion of air barrier requirements into the code. Echoes comments made by Lynn Lauersdorf and Parametric Conway. Requests that the Department consider amendments that reflect modifications to the Department Energy's code change proposal (EC147) for the 2012 edition of the International Energy Conservation Code. Provided suggested code language that incorporated the EC 147 proposal.	Extensive suggestions and numerous modified amendments were proposed for the 2012 edition of the IECC. The Department is proposing to adopt and reference the 2009 editions of the ICC codes. To incorporate the not yet printed 2012 IECC changes would basically necessitate the Department reprinting the entire 2012 IECC as a Wisconsin modification and possibly have to create additional modifications for the IBC, IMC and IFCC to ensure code consistency. The Department plans on updating the Commercial Building Code in the future to reflect the 2012 editions of the ICC codes. The Department has the statutory mandate under s. 101.027, Stats., to review and update energy conservation standards to reflect the most current IECC.
		 c. Suggests deleting s. Comm 63.0502 since it is almost identical to that in s. Comm 62.1211. d. Points out that under the Rule Analysis Illinois as of February, 2010 implemented a statewide energy code. 	 c. The proposed Wisconsin air barrier provisions have been withdrawn in light of the developments in the IBC and IECC. See response under Lauersforf, speaker 1. d. The Rule Analysis has been revised to reflect this aspect for Illinois.
Speaker 6	Brian Stroik Building Enclosure Council of Wisconsin Board of Directors BETEL/NIBS Richfield, WI	Supports the comments submitted by Lynn Lauersdorf an Pat Conway.	
Speaker #7 Exhibit 4 Exhibit 16	Ronald P. Bristol State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration, Division	a. Requests an exception under IMC 607.5.5 and IBC 716.5 to eliminate the requirement for smoke dampers on fully ducted exhaust air systems if the building is equipped wit an automatic sprinkler system as per Section 903.1.1.1 and	exception does not exist within NFPA 101 or NFPA 90A with respect to the requirements relating to the protection

Page 4 of 14

			Page 4 of 14	
Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 10-103		Hearing Location: Madison, WI	
Rule Number	r: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21	and 61 to 66	Hearing Date: October 5, 2010	
Relating to: 0	Commercial Buildings			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response	
	of State Facilities Madison, WI	the exhaust fans are powered continuously as per Sect 909.11 of the IBC. Cites a similar exception in NFPA 10 Chapter 8.5.4.3 (3) and NFPA 90A chapter 5.3.5.1.4.		
	Bristol continued	b. Suggests an exception be provided un IBC 716.3.2.1 IMC 607.5.4.1 allowing the elimination of smoke detect supply air ducts if within 5 feet of smoke damper or spedetectors are located downstream of supply fans and a of any branch ducts. Contends that the spot detector consistent with NFPA 90A 6.4.2.1.	ors in modifications as acceptable methods under IBC and IMC that reflect the NFPA 72 provision where duct detectors actuate smoke dampers.	
Speaker #8	Matthew Mertens Northshore Fire Department Bayside, WI	a. Requests that Section 62.0904 of the IBC and a cross reference in a note in Comm 14 relating to manual-wet sprinkler systems be clarified. Points out that the term "manual-wet" is not defined. Believes it is important for municipalities to be allowed to create ordinances based what they can do (within their response time) to protect citizens and customers.	a manual-wet sprinkler since it is no longer consistent with national fire protection philosophies or concepts. or local d on	
		b. Points out that IBC 62.1020 relating to rated stairwell various interpretations including allowing those stairw become mechanical shafts. Asks that Wisconsin clarif language so rated stairwells are used only for egress a not used for plumbing, HVAC or electrical traces.	Department believes that sections IBC 1022.4 and 1022.5 ye this are clear and specific as to what penetrations and	
Speaker #9	Brad Rowe Thermal Design Stoughton, WI	a. Requests that the Department look at the R-value tal the proposed code. Believes the three different R-value confuse engineers and designers.	2006 edition of the IECC and the Department is not aware of problems with the format either with compliance or plan submittals.	
		b. Believes the proposed code changes contradict prescriptive requirements for metal building roof and w Contends that Wisconsin would be implementing over and inflated performance values if it adopts the 2009 IF Recommends looking at or waiting for EC 157 and ASF 189.	increase energy efficiency. The Department believes that it premature in adjusting one specific area of focus for the	

Page 5 of 14

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 Hearing		Location: Madison, WI	
Rule Number	: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21	and 61 to 66 Hearing	Date: October 5, 2010
Relating to: C	Commercial Buildings		
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit 5	Len Anastasi, Air Barrier Association of America, Walpole, MA	Supports the comments submitted by Lynn Lauersdorf and Pat Conway. Includes a copy of Lauersdorf and Conway's comments.	See response under Lauersdorf, speaker 1.
Exhibit 6	Pat Stevens, Wisconsin Builders Association, Madison, WI	 a. Opposes the proposed change to require townhouses containing 20 or fewer units, less than 3-stories in height and not more than 16,000 sq. ft. to be protected by an automatic sprinkler system. b. Is concerned that requiring sprinklers will negatively impact the affordability of housing and the Department should consider the cost impacts. 	 a. The revisions reflect the fire protection requirements for townhouses which occurred under the two national building codes, the International Residential Code, IRC, and the NFPA Building Construction and Safety Code several years ago. Townhouse types of side by side UDC residences can still be designed and built without sprinklers by complying with the concepts and requirements derived from Comm 20.04(6). Examples as to how to create the separation are found in the UDC appendix. b. The Department researched the impact of sprinklers for residential occupancies in the last update of the code which became effective March of 2008. The Department believes that the conclusions reached then regarding the potential risk of loss life and property and the benefit of
		c. Questions whether the provision will increase safety. d. Suggests that the Department include an exemption similar to 2009 IRC R313.1 clarifying that an automatic sprinkler system is not required when additions or alterations are made to existing townhouses that are not protected by such systems.	automatic fire suppression have not changed. The implementation of the rule is delayed until July 1, 2014. c. Sprinklers are a known and proven element that will increase fire safety. d. The suggestion has been incorporated into the proposed rules.

Page 6 of 14

			Page 6 of 1
Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 10-103		Hearing Location: Madison, WI
Rule Number	:: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21	and 61 to 66	Hearing Date: October 5, 2010
	Commercial Buildings		
Comments:	Presenter,		
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State		
Exhibit 7	Wade Rudolph, Sacred Heart Hospital, Eau Claire, WI	Proposes not to adopt and reference the <i>Guidelines an Designs for Health Care Facilities</i> relating heating and ventilation standards for health care facilities. Contend guidelines were not fully developed when published. Believes the guidelines will result in expensive designare not justified. Believes that the increased ventilationare not substantiated and provide no clinical benefit to patients.	modifications to address the specific concerns of the Guidelines and Designs for Health Care Facilities. Institute on rates
Exhibit 8	Laura Leitch, Wisconsin Hospital Association, Madison, WI	Supports the comments submitted by Wade Rudolph.	. See response under Rudolph, exhibit 7.
Exhibit 9	Mike Mamayek, Illingworth-Kilgust Mechanical, Milwaukee, WI	Recommends the use of natural ventilation be permitted provided an engineering analysis accounts for the (a) number of occupants, (b) the operation of the operation mechanism for each opening during the entire year, and the distribution of the openings in each space.	and utilized without known or documented problems for decades in those uses identified as acceptable candidates for natural ventilation. No documentation has been provided to substantiate requiring an engineering analysis associated with the number of occupants, the operation or controls of windows or their distribution. Natural ventilation is generally provided by openable windows or doors that are under the control of the occupants. In essence, they are opened as needed. For other uses not included in the list, an engineering analysis is already required.
Exhibit 10	Amy Schmidt, Dow Chemical Company, Midland, MI	 a. Supports the energy efficiency update for the Wisco Commercial Building Code. b. Requests that the Department consider amendments reflect the Department of Energy's code change propo (EC147) for the 2012 edition of the International Energy Conservation Code. Provided suggested code language incorporated the EC 147 proposal. 	b. See response under Elnecave, speaker #5. ge that
Exhibit 11	Bob Neale, International Code Council, Country Club Hills, IL	Supports the proposed rule.	Support noted.

Page 7 of 14

Classin above	a Dula Numban 10 102	T-1	Page / 01 14
	e Rule Number: 10-103		Hearing Location: Madison, WI Hearing Date: October 5, 2010
	r: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21	and 61 to 66	learing Date: October 5, 2010
	Commercial Buildings		
Comments: Oral or	Presenter, Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State	Comments/Accommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit 12	Ben Barrett,	Suggests that parking spaces/stalls meet current	The restriping of parking lots falls under the scope of
	Wisconsin Council on	accessibility requirements (ADA) relating to parking wh	
	Physical Disabilities,	the parking lot is restriped or expanded.	is not an alteration to a building and therefore outside of
	Madison, WI		the scope of the triggers that require code compliance.
Exhibit 13	Otis Woods,	a. Opposes the adoption of the 2010 edition of the Guide	elines a. See response under Rudolph, exhibit 7.
	Wisconsin Department of	for Design and Construction of Health Care Facilities.	
	Health Services, Madison,	Recommends continuing to reference 2006 AIA Guidelin	nes
	WI	until a future edition of the Guidelines can resolve	
		application language issues.	
	Woods continued	b. Supports the standard language of 2006 and 2009 edit	
		of section IBC 308 relating to CBRF's and the occupance	
		classifications of I-1 and I-2. Contends that allowing Cla	
		CBRF residents to be housed in buildings classified as loccupancies imposes unnecessary risks for the resident	
		who by DHS definition are not mentally capable of	
		responding to a fire alarm without help or verbal or phys	rical .
		prompting.	
		c. Contends allowing CBRF's to be classified as someth	ing c. The rules do not propose to change the classification of
		other than I-2 occupancies would conflict with ch. DHS	83. I-2 occupancies. It does not appear that ch. DHS 83 makes a specific reference to the I-2 classification.
		d. Supports the current requirements under s. Comm 61	30 (1) d. Support noted. First occupancy concern is addressed
		(b). Concerned about the potential of building and conv	erting under s. Comm 66.0101 (2).
		prior to occupancy to a Class C CBRF without the highe	
		construction requirements needed for Group I-2 occupa-	
Exhibit 14	Bert Fredericksen,	Recommends clarifying the definition of CBRF and inclu	
(email)	Fredericksen Engineering, Inc	separate CBRF occupancy in Table 64.0403 clearly allow	
		the use of natural ventilation and therein removing the a	,
E132.15	D 11D 11 1	of DHS to create a different interpretation.	homes.
Exhibit 15	Ronald Buchholz,	a. Believes clarification is needed as to the application of	
	Fitchburg, WI	building code requirements for CBRF's as residential rat	her concerning occupancy classifications involving CBRF's are to occur under the 2012 edition of the IBC. The
		than institutional occupancies.	Department will evaluate those IBC revisions during the
			next update of the Wisconsin Commercial Building Code.
		I	inca apage of the wisconsin Confinercial Building Code.

				Page 8 of 14	
Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 10-103		Hearing	g Location: Madison, WI	
Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66			Hearing	ng Date: October 5, 2010	
Relating to: C	Commercial Buildings				
Comments:	Presenter,				
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response	
Exhibit No.	City and State	h Contando that the anicipal intent of the anicipal la	-:-1-4:	h Cas managa undana Tha dimerian undana 101 127	
		b. Contends that the original intent of the original leg was to allow residents to live in a residential setting rather than an institutional one such as a nursing ho hospital. The intent was to allow this for all classes CBRF's.	(CBRF) me or of	b. See response under a. The direction under s. 101.127, Stats., does indicate that building code standards are to be "homelike" for certain types of CBRF's	
		c. Advocates clarifications are needed for sections I and 308.3 the class C CBRF's need not be institution occupancies.		c. See response under a.	
Exhibit 16	Randy Dahmen, Waunakee, WI	 a. Suggests an exemption be provided under s. Comm (4) (b) not requiring the submission of fire alarm plan projects involving 20 or fewer alarm units similar to the sprinklers. b. Requests clarification under s. Comm 61.30 (2) when snow melt system requires HVAC plan submittal, an modifications under s. Comm 2.31, if needed? c. Recommends that a "catch basin" be recognized at equivalent to an "oil separator" under section IBC 4.1 d. Suggests a modification under sections IBC 7.16.6.1 IMC 607.6.2.1 exemptions so as to refer to "fire rated floor/ceiling roof assembly cavities. e. Suggests a modification under s. IBC 1405.3 also exempting box sills in needing a vapor barrier. Note, acceptable, s. Comm 63.0402 may no longer be necest. f. Suggests notes be added to IBC Table 2902 recognithat water tanks with separate sanitized disposable constituted disposable cups serving lavatories and sin located within toilet rooms are acceptable alternative drinking fountains. 	es for hat for ether a d further as 122.6. 5.2.1 and if ssary. nizing cups and ks not	 a. The draft has been revised to incorporate a plan review exemption for fire alarms. b. The definition under s. Comm 61.04 has been revised to clarify the issue. c. A catch basin is just a type of drain inlet and is not equivalent to an oil separator which separates and retains the oil for proper disposal. d. Section IBC 716.6.3 is titled "Nonfire-resistance-rated floor assemblies" which to the Department in its understanding of the IBC format is intuitively clear as to the subject matter. e. Agree, the provisions relative to s. IBC 1405 have modified. f. The suggestion has been incorporated into the rule draft. 	

Page 9 of 14

Classia ala assa	- DI. NI 10 102		II. anima	Page 9 01 14
	e Rule Number: 10-103			Location: Madison, WI
	:: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21	and 61 to 66	Hearing	Date: October 5, 2010
Comments:	Commercial Buildings Presenter,	<u> </u>		
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State			rigency recipolise
		 g. Suggests a note be added to IBC Table 2902 indica acceptance the number of sanitary fixtures based upor reasonable actual capacity versus a capacity calcula square footage. h. Suggests that measurements referenced under s. C 62.1200 (2) (a) be clarified in terms of "horizontally" c "vertically". 	on a ted on Comm	g. The Wisconsin Commercial Building Code already allows for the establishment of reasonable actual capacities within IBC Chapter 10 (refer to the exception found in IBC s. 1004.1) since the 2006 IBC was put into effect in 2008. h. Currently, section Comm 62.1200 (2) (a) 3. and 4. clarifies certain aspects of the statutory specified measurements. In light of the fact that the specific gravity of carbon monoxide is equal to that of air, the Department does not believe further clarification is unnecessary.
	Dahmen continued	 i. Requests references to "additions", "renovations" "repairs" be eliminated from ss. Comm 66.0607 (1), 66 and 66.0808, leaving only the reference to "alteration j. Requests the inclusion of a reference to IECC 101.4 ss. Comm 66.0711 and 66.0808 for unconditioned space. k. Requests the inclusion of wording similar to IECC under IEBC chapter 9 when an occupancy change intenergy usage. l. Suggests that a Wisconsin modification be created clarifying the reference to "area" to mean "fire area" section IEBC 912.2.1. m. Requests the addition of language similar to s. Co 66.0910 for IEBC chapter 13. 	5.0711 is". l.5 under ices 101.4.4 creases I under	 i. Comm 66.0607 has been revised to eliminate the referenced terminology; Comm 66.0711 and 66.0808 do not contain this terminology. j. The suggestion does indentify a problem with the application of current requirements as applied to alterations and changes in occupancies. k. The suggestion would be inconsistent with the direction provided under IEBC sections 901.2 and 912. IEBC section 912 references IEBC chapter 8, including section 808. l. The suggestion would potentially mandate modifications beyond the space undergoing a change of use. This would be inconsistent with the overall intent and objectives of the IEBC to maintain existing conditions at their current level of compliance and the new construction conform to current requirements. m. The Department believes that the current language under sections IEBC 1301.1 and 1301.2.4 assures a building will not be less sanitary than prior to a change in occupancy.

Page 10 of 14

Clearinghous	Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103 Hearing Location: Madison, WI				
	: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21	and 61 to 66		ng Date: October 5, 2010	
	ommercial Buildings	and of to oo	Hearing	Date. October 3, 2010	
Comments:	Presenter,				
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response	
Exhibit No.	City and State			rigericy response	
	- ,	 n. Requests references to IEBC 605, 706 and 906 whe IEBC chapter 13. o. Suggests the exemptions under s. Comm 63.0101 b 		n. The Department has created a reference to the accessibility provisions under section IEBC 310 when using IEBC chapter 13 for alterations. o. The exemptions are addressed under section IECC	
		expanded to include:		101.5.2. The suggestion was proposed to and considered	
		 Buildings with a peak design rate of energy less than 3.4 btu/h-ft2 of floor area for space conditioning purposes. Buildings that do not contain conditioned space space conditioned space space conditioned space space space conditioned space space conditioned space spa	,	by the HVAC advisory council prior to the creation of the public hearing draft and did not receive their endorsement.	
		p. Suggests replacing the definition of "conditioned and "unconditioned space" under section IECC 202 it to address heating design concerns/problems for conditioned space adjacent to conditioned space.	space" in order	p. The suggestion was proposed to and considered by the HVAC advisory council prior to the creation of the public hearing draft and did not receive their endorsement.	
		q. Suggests using consistency terminology "dayligh and "daylit area" between the IECC definition and the Comm 63.505 (1) (b).		q. The Department does not believe an inconsistency exists in that proposed rules repeal s. Comm 63.0505 (1) entirely.	
	Dahmen continued	r. Suggests specifically not recognizing reflective insunder section IECC 303.1.4 in fulfilling building envelopments.		r. Unless the material poses some type of health or safety risk a specific exclusion is not appropriate within the administrative rule. The material can provide some insulating value even if not much.	
		s. Suggests that section IECC 403.2.1 be modified to section IECC 503.2.7 to address certain CBRF's ducty located outside of the building such as rooftop units	work	s. The Department believes that section IECC 403.2.1 is sufficiently clear as to insulation requirements for residential rooftop units.	
		t. Believes that the R-values under sections IECC 403 503.2.7 should be the same for consistency.	3.2.1 and	t. No technical justification is provided for the suggestion. The IECC Chapters 4 and 5 differ on many insulating values for residential buildings versus commercial buildings.	
		u. Proposes that the requirement for pool covers be eliminated because the removal process poses a hea hazard.		u. The requirement has been eliminated under the fire prevention code update package, CR 09-104.	
		v. Suggests updating the note under IECC 404/Comm to reflect the correct year and REScheck edition and to reflect the application to 3 stories "above grade".		u. The requirement has been eliminated under the fire prevention code update package, CR 09-104.	

Page 11 of 14

				Page 11 of 14
Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 10-103		Hearing	Location: Madison, WI
Rule Number	:: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 2	1 and 61 to 66	Hearing	g Date: October 5, 2010
Relating to: C	Commercial Buildings			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
		 w. Is unclear as to the purpose and application of s. 0 63.0502 as reworded whether this is addressing vapor retarders (covered under section IBC 1405) or buildin x. Believes that there may be an inconsistency with s. IECC 503.2.4.4 with respect to section IFGC 503.15 esfor kitchen hood systems. y. Suggests that the requirement for commissioning systems under section IECC 503.2.9 be eliminated. Q whether these provisions are redundant with s. Com 64.0313. z. Requests Table 63.0503 be modified to read "Econ on all split cooling systems and groundwater source 	or ag wraps. section specially HVAC uestions m	 v. Although there is some overlap with section IBC 1405 which deals with exterior walls, the provisions under s. Comm 63.0502 covers also above-grade floors and ceilings. x. The rules addressed exhaust ducts and vents, respectfully. The rules are different and the provisions under section IFGC 503.15 would govern the concern. y. The concern is addressed by the global language under s. Comm 61.03 (15). z. The table has been replaced with text that clarify the triggers for economizers.
		systems ≥ 54,000 Btu/h, packaged rooftop units and other cooling systems ≥ 33,000 Btu/h." aa. Suggests that s. Comm 63.0505 be worded to receive use of photosensors. bb. Indicates that Comcheck under s. Comm 63.0506 calculate total building compliance and should modificate.	ognize does not	aa. Photosensors are a type of lighting control and would be an acceptable type of control under the current rule.bb. Agree, the note has been modified.
	Dahmen continued	cc. Requests clarification for the application of s. Co. 65.0400 as it applies to outdoor equipment and struc		cc. The commenter did not include enough detail to understand the source of the confusion or to understand which provisions need modification to create the clarity believed to be lacking. Many structures without roofs would still fall under the scope of the code.
		dd. Suggests referencing the 2009 edition of NFPA 5		dd. The reference to the 2009 edition of NFPA 54 will be made with the update of the Gas Systems Code Comm 40, that will coordinate the references in the Dwelling Code and the Commercial Building Code.
		ee. Requests a definition for "service area" under set IMC 502.14 to clarify the application relative to Table 64.0403.		ee. Section IMC 502.14 provides an exception for the operation of motor vehicles in any area of a building, not just a service area, when the operation is for the sole purpose of moving the vehicle into or out of the area. The exception exists for all areas.

Page 12 of 14

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 10-103		Hearing Location: Madison, WI
Rule Number	: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21	and 61 to 66	Hearing Date: October 5, 2010
Relating to: C	Commercial Buildings	•	
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
		ff. Contends that s. Comm 64.0301 (3) (b) 2. should just reference engineers, but also architects and HVAC designers. gg. Finds it unclear as to the choice between IMC 403.	and gg. In light of the modification to Table 64.0403, the
		Comm 64.0403 (1) and (4) of whether it is one or the oth mix of both. hh. Suggests that the exception under s. Comm 64.0401 also be applicable to air change requirements under s. 64.0403 (6) (d). ii. Is confused whether the HVAC provisions apply to processing equipment; cites the former code provision under s. Comm 64.21. jj. Indicates there is an inconsistency with IMC Table and Comm Table 64.0403 concerning exhaust requirem for nail salons. kk. Indicates that s. Comm 64.0403 (8) (b) 4. a. makes reference to Comm Table 64.0403 concerning air chang rates, but the proposed Table does not contain any rat II. Indicates that there is an inconsistency between IM Table 403 and Comm Table 64.0403 concerning dwellin kitchen exhaust rates.	hh. Sections Comm 64.0401, 64.0403 and Table 64.0403 have been modified to address other hearing comments, making this suggestion moot. ii. Section Comm 64.21 dealt with the location of equipment based upon the type of fuel source which is no longer addressed under the HVAC provisions. jj. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. kk. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. Il. The proposed use and application of the alternative
	Dahmen continued	mm. Suggests modifying footnote g in Comm Table 64 eliminating the provision of providing outdoor air content that this addressed under sections IMC 403.1 and 508 does not appear in IMC Table 403. nn. Indicates that there is an inconsistency between Comparing the percent of wall area openings needed require ventilation. oo. Believes that reference to footnote i under Comm To 64.403 for private dwelling garages is applicable.	norm. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. norm norm norm norm norm norm norm nor

Page 13 of 14

				Page 13 of 14			
Clearinghouse	Rule Number: 10-103	Hearing	Location: Madison, WI				
Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2, 5, 14, 21 and 61 to 66				g Date: October 5, 2010			
Relating to: Commercial Buildings							
Comments: Oral or	Presenter, Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response			
Exhibit No.	City and State						
		pp. Suggests that footnote c should be added to Con 64.0403 for enclosed parking garages.	ım Table	pp. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed.			
	qq. Suggests that footnote c should be added to Comm Table 64.0403 for toilet rooms and bathrooms.		ım Table	qq. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed.			
		rr. Suggests that wording be added to s. Comm 64.0403 (6) (c) 3. to define shower and locker room areas by solid and complete separations.		rr. Adding such wording could lead users of the code to believe that every shower and locker room has to be separated from one another by solid walls and doors, preventing the use of the common shower rooms commonly found in the team locker room areas of schools, colleges, arenas and other similar occupancies.			
		ss. Suggests that janitor closets require exhausts for quality purposes. tt. Suggests that wording "per chemical or septic toil added to the end of s. Comm 64.0407 which would be consistent with s. Comm 64.0401 (1) (b) 2.	et" be	ss. Suggestion does not indicate what safety or health risk needs to be addressed. tt. Although the openable window area limit found within Comm 64.0401 (1) (b) 2. reflects a single fixture room, that was based on aligning with the language that existed in the previous edition of the Wisconsin Commercial Building Code. The intent of the language proposed in the draft for this provision is the same that existed in the previous edition of the WCBC. They have been dealt with differently in the WCBC previous iterations of the Wisconsin modifications and there is no justification for them to be changed to be consistent with one another.			
	Dahmen continued	 uu. Contends that allowing natural ventilation will resindoor air quality problems. Contends the model code recognizing natural ventilation is based upon warmer southern climates and will not work in wintertime. Cothe code's silence as to the control of the windows win problems. w. Requests an additional footnote to Comm Table 64 recognizing the acceptable use of recirculative kitches systems. 	es in ntends vill result	 uu. Natural ventilation is currently allowed and utilized under the current code requirements. No documentation has been provided to substantiate claims associated with the control of windows. w. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. 			

Page 14 of 14

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 10-103				ng Location: Madison, WI			
				Date: October 5, 2010			
Relating to: Commercial Buildings							
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response			
		ww. Believes that the capacity for seasonal occupants should be based upon 67 people per 1,000 sq, ft. not per person in Comm Table 64.05. xx. Requests adding something similar to s. Comm 62 2002) addressing maintaining minimum interior temper in providing tempered outside aid when the total volvexhaust is ½ air change or greater. yy. Requests a modification for IMC 502.14 also reco Comm Table 64.0403 for garage mechanical ventilation. zz. Suggest the exception 3 under IMC 502.14 be elim	15 sq. ft. 4.14 (pre- eratures ume of gnizing on.	ww. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. xx. Maintaining minimum interior temperatures is addressed under s. IMC 309. The previous requirement under s. Comm 64.14 did not pertain to maintaining minimum temperatures; it did establish a temperature range for tempered air, but it could be as low as 50° F. yy. The proposed use and application of the alternative Table 64.0403 has been dropped and single mechanical ventilation table has been developed. zz. No technical justification was provided that a mechanical exhaust ventilation system or a source capture system is warranted for those instances described by			
	Dahmen continued	aaa. Suggests adding a provision recognizing the use hose extension for vehicle tailpipes as an acceptable method under section IMC 502.14.		exception 3. aaa. The rules have revised to incorporate this alternative method.			
		bbb. Suggests adding a provision under section IMC recognizing ventless electric dishwashers.		bbb. Creating a provision to address one type of dishwasher or a laundry list of types is not necessary given the plethora of kitchen appliances and dishwashers that can be met by the provision indicating the Class II hood is not needed where the designer determines the heat and moisture loads are incorporated into the design of the HVAC system.			
Exhibit 16 (email)	Amy Waggoner Coverra Insurance Services, Inc	Requests the addition of a provision to address the sof hotel window screens which pose a risk to childre out.		The details associated with the protection of some windows are found within IBCs. 1405.13.2 Window screens are designed and intended to keep debris and/or insects out of a building. The Department does not agree that a modification is in order requiring hotels to have specially designed and secured window screens capable of holding back individuals.			