FISCAL ESTIMATE  LRB or Bill No. / Adm. Rule No.			
DOA-2048 (R 10/94) ORIGINAL UPDATED			P 1, Wis. Adm. Code nt No. (If Applicable)
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL		Amendmen	it No. (ii Applicable)
Subject:			
Administrative Orders and Contested Cases			
Fiscal Effect			
State: X No State Fiscal Effect		☐ Increase Costs —	
Indeterminate			
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues			bsorb within agency's
		budget?	
Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues			
Create New Appropriation		Decrease Costs	
Local:		5. Types of Local Go	
No local government costs		Towns Uillages	
1. Increase Costs 3. Increase Revenues		Counties Cities	
Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory		Other	
2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Revenues		School Districts	
Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory		WTCS Districts	
Fund Source Affected:		Affected Ch. 20 Appropr 20.115(1)(a)	iations:
GPR FED PRO PRS  Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimat		20.113(1)(a)	
Summary of Rule			
The Department of Assistations Trade and Consumon Protection (DATCR) administrate a vide was a of laws valeted to			
The Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) administers a wide range of laws related to			
food safety, disease control, consumer protection, agricultural resource management and other matters (see chs. 88, 91 to 100, and chs. 126 and 136, Stats.) Under these laws, DATCP may issue various kinds of administrative orders			
and take other administrative actions that have the force of law. Persons adversely affected by these orders and actions			
may request a trial-type "contested case" hearing under ch. 227, Stats., and ATCP 1. This rule updates and clarifies			
current "contested case" procedures under ATCP 1.			
An impartial administrative law judge (ALJ) presides over a "contested case" hearing. The DATCP Secretary or designee			
typically makes the final decision in a "contested case," after reviewing the ALJ's proposed decision. The final decision			
is subject to judicial review, as provided in ch. 227, Stats. DATCP is currently in the process of transferring its ALJ functions to the Department of Administration, Division of Hearings and Appeals. ALJs from the Division of Hearings and			
Appeals will conduct DATCP "contested case" hearings, according to procedures spelled out in ch. 227, Stats., and			
ATCP 1. DATCP will pay the Division of Hearings and Appeals for the ALJ services. This rule will help DATCP			
coordinate "contested case" processing with the Division of Hearings and Appeals.			
This rule will clarify and update current procedures in "contested cases," but will not make major substantive changes.			
This rule will not increase or decrease the number of cases, nor will it have a significant impact on case processing			
costs.			
Impact of the Proposed Rule on State Government			
This rule will have no significant fiscal impact on state government.			
Impact of the Proposed Rule on Local Government			
This rule will have no significant fiscal impact on local governments.			
Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) DATCP	Authorized Signature/Telephone	No.	Date March 10, 2010
James K Matson – 224-5022	Karen VanSchoohoven (608	) 224-4800	iviaicii 10, 2010