

FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 (R 10/94)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> UPDATED <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENTAL	LRB or Bill No. / Adm. Rule No. ATCP 149 Amendment No. (If Applicable)
--	---	--

Subject:
 Rule Changes to Chapter ATCP 149 for Agricultural Marketing Order Program

Fiscal Effect State: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect Check below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriation	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs – May be possible to absorb within agency's budget? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
--	--

Local : <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No local government costs 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	5. Types of Local Gov. Unit Affected: <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Villages <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts
---	--

Fund Source Affected: <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations:
---	--

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

DATCP administers Wisconsin's agricultural marketing order program under ch. 96, Stats. DATCP may adopt marketing orders for Wisconsin agricultural commodities, with the approval of affected commodity producers. DATCP has adopted marketing orders for cherries, cranberries, corn, milk, mint, soybeans, ginseng and potatoes.

Each marketing order, including the potato marketing order, provides for assessments on commodity producers. Affected producers elect a marketing board that establishes assessment levels within limits specified by the marketing order, and spends assessment revenues for purposes identified in the marketing order. The current potato industry board (potato marketing board) includes 10 members elected from 5 geographic districts for staggered 3-year terms. DATCP monitors marketing board activities for compliance with applicable law, including the marketing order.

Under the current potato marketing order, the potato industry board is authorized to collect potato marketing assessments of up to 6 cents per hundredweight. The board has implemented an actual assessment rate of 5 cents per hundredweight – one cent per hundredweight below the maximum assessment currently allowed. Assessments may be used to finance market development, research and education, subject to the terms of the marketing order.

DATCP has adopted general rules under ch. ATCP 140, Wis. Adm. Code, to govern the operation of marketing orders and marketing boards. DATCP must adopt marketing orders as rules. Affected producers must approve (by referendum) the adoption, amendment or repeal of a marketing order.

This rule modifies the current potato marketing order (ATCP 149) to do all of the following:

- Increase the maximum assessment that the Potato Industry Board is authorized to charge to producers. This rule changes the maximum assessment rate from 6 cents to 10 cents per hundredweight on potatoes sold into commercial channels.
- Change the election and membership of the Potato Industry Board. This rule reduces the number of election districts from 5 to 3, and reduces the number of board members from 10 to 9. Eight of the 9 members of the modified board will be elected by producers from districts identified in this rule, and one board member will be chosen by all potato producers in a statewide “at large” election. Under this year, all board members will be elected for 3-year terms.
- Provide for a gradual changeover to the new board membership. Current board members will continue to hold office until their current terms expire.

Under this rule, the new potato marketing board election districts will be as follows:

- **District 1** will be represented by 3 board members and will include Ashland, Barron, Bayfield, Brown, Burnett, Chippewa, Clark, Door, Douglas, Dunn, Eau Claire, Florence, Forest, Iron, Kewaunee, Langlade, Lincoln, Marinette, Menominee, Oconto, Oneida, Pepin, Pierce, Polk, Price, Rusk, Sawyer, St. Croix, Taylor, Vilas, and Washburn counties.
- **District 2** will be represented by 3 board members and will include Marathon, Outagamie, Portage, Shawano, Waupaca and Waushara counties.
- **District 3** will be represented by 2 board members and will include Adams, Buffalo, Calumet, Columbia, Crawford, Dane, Dodge, Fond du Lac, Grant, Green, Green Lake, Iowa, Jackson, Jefferson, Juneau, Kenosha, La Crosse, Lafayette, Manitowoc, Marquette, Milwaukee, Monroe, Ozaukee, Racine, Richland, Rock, Sauk, Sheboygan, Trempealeau, Vernon, Walworth, Washington, Waukesha, Winnebago and Wood counties.

The changes to the current election districts, and the election of one “at large” representative, will address changes in the geographic distribution of potato production in the state. The increase in the maximum authorized marketing order assessment will facilitate additional funding for market development and research, including University of Wisconsin research related to new potato varieties and pesticide and chemical management.

The proposed rule will not have a significant fiscal effect on DATCP and will have no fiscal effect on local government.

Long - Range Fiscal Implications

Ability to raise the assessment in order to provide the necessary research and market development.

Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)
DATCP

Authorized Signature/Telephone No.

Date

Noel Favia ph. 608-224-5140

William Walker, ph. 608-224-4353

May ____, 2009