#### **Report From Agency**

## **RULE REPORT**

### **Department of Commerce**

Clearinghouse Rule No.: 08-096				
Rule No.: Chapters Comm 108 and 154				
Relating to: Emergency Assistance Grants in the Program	he Comr	munity Development Block Grant (CDBG)		
Contact person for substantive questions:		Contact person for internal processing:		
Name Sam Rockweiler	Name	(same)		
Title Code Development Consultant	Title			
Telephone Number266-0797		Telephone Number		
Basis and purpose of the proposed rule.  The purpose of the proposed rules is to repeal limits on emergency assistance grants in the CDBG Program. This will enable the Department to (1) use any available CDBG funds for emergency assistance with repairing or replacing public infrastructure and facilities, and with repairing or replacing homes, after damage by widespread severe storms and flooding; and (2) base the award amounts on the scope of the damages and destruction in the community and on the funds available.				

2. How the proposed rule advances relevant statutory goals or purposes.

The proposed rules are consistent with the Department's duty under section 560.04 of the Statutes to assist and strengthen local economic and community development, and the authorization under section 560.9809 to administer housing improvement and rehabilitation grants that are federally funded.

3. Changes to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate that was prepared for public hearing.

No substantive changes were made to the rule analysis or the fiscal estimate.

COM-10550 (R.02/04)

# FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

# **Department of Commerce**

	CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 08-096			
	RULE NO.: Chapters Comm 108 and 154			
	RELATING TO: Emergency Assistance Grants in the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program			
	Final regulatory flexibility analysis not required. (Statement of determination required.)			
<ol> <li>Reason for including or failing to include the following methods for reducing impact of the businesses: Less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; less stringent schedules for compliance or reporting requirements; simplification of compliance or reporting restablishment of performance standards to replace design or operational standards; exemp or all requirements.</li> </ol>				
	Less stringent requirements are not proposed for small businesses because the direct recipients of grant funding enabled by the proposed rules include only homeowners and local governmental units.	the		
2.	Issues raised by small businesses during hearings, changes in proposed rules as a result of comme by small businesses and reasons for rejecting any alternatives suggested by small businesses.	nts		
	No issues were raised.			

3.	Nature and estimated cost of preparation of any reports by small businesses.
	No reports are newly required for complying with the rules.
4.	Nature and estimated cost of other measures and investments required of small businesses.
	No other measures and investments are newly required for complying with the rules.
_	
5.	Additional cost to agency of administering or enforcing a rule which includes any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.
	None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.
6.	Impact on public health, safety and welfare caused by including any of the methods in 1. for reducing
0.	impact on small businesses.
	None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.

# RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

## **Department of Commerce**

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 08-096
RULE NO.: Chapters Comm 108 and 154
RELATING TO: Emergency Assistance Grants in the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program
Agency contact person for substantive questions.
Name: Sam Rockweiler
Title: Code Development Consultant
Telephone No 266-0797
Legislative Council report recommendations accepted in whole.
X Yes No
1. Review of statutory authority [s. 227.15(2)(a)]
a. Accepted
b. Accepted in part
c. Rejected
d. Comments attached
2. Review of rules for form, style and placement in administrative code [s. 227.15(2)(c)]
a. Accepted
b. Accepted in part
c. Rejected
d. Comments attached

3.	Review rules for conflict with or duplication of existing rules [s. 227.15(2)(d)]
	a. Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
4 .	Review rules for adequate references to related statutes, rules and forms [s. 227.15(2)(e)]
	a. Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
5.	Review language of rules for clarity, grammar, punctuation and plainness [s. 227.15(2)(f)]
	a. Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
6.	Review rules for potential conflicts with, and comparability to, related federal regulations [s. 227.15(2)(g)]
	a. Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
7.	Review rules for permit action deadline [s. 227.15(2)(h)]
	a. Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached