Page 1 of 14

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-047	He	aring Location: Madison
Rule Number			aring Date: June 18, 2008
Relating to: E	lectrical Construction		
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Speaker 1	John R. Grau Affordable Electric, Inc. 337 W. Donges Bay Road Mequon, WI 53092	a. Opposes the requirement for arc-fault circuit-interrupters (AF because of the expense of the devices, that the devices are not available and that the testers are not readily available.	
		b. Opposes tamper-resistant outlets because these devices are available and believes the fiscal estimate is not accurate. Believ requirements will add an estimated \$1,500 to the cost of a 2,000 foot home because these receptacles are not 80 cents, but \$4 at when they can be found.	ves these NEC section 406.11 are available from manufacturers in various grades including a lower-priced homeowner line v

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-047]	earing Location: Ma	dison
Rule Number	: Ch. Comm 16]	earing Date: June 18	8, 2008
Relating to: E	Electrical Construction			
Comments:	Presenter,			
Oral or Exhibit No.	Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
		c. Opposes the removal of GFCI exceptions for sump pumps, and freezers because GFCI in these applications have proved problematic. Believes this is an area where the Wisconsin co have to be consistent with the NEC.	to be an ex	gree in part. The proposal has been modified with ception that permits a single receptacle without protection for sump and sewage pumps.
Speaker 2 Exhibit 1	Cindi Gruebling Wisconsin Builders Assoc. 4868 High Crossing Blvd. Madison, WI 53704	a. Requests removal of the requirement relating to tamper-res receptacles throughout new dwellings. Believes increased comore substantial than the Department's estimate. Includes a from National Association of Home Builders' draft indicating scientific research available which has proven tamper-resista receptacles are more effective than other safety devices that available on the market. Believes the NEC section 406.11 sho in WI until further study can be done on its impact on afforda	sts will be should tatement recept here is no at are currently Id not apply	sagree. The effectiveness of these passive devices ld exceed that of manual devices such as otacle plugs or caps.
		b. Opposes the requirement that AFCIs be required throughout all new dwelling construction. Believes there is no hard data proving that AFCIs throughout a new dwelling will prevent fires. Indicates the increased costs will be more substantial than the Department's estimate because the requirement may include hidden costs in labor and the use of additional wiring supplies. Indicates higher costs will price prospective home buyers out of the market and recommends that NEC section 210.12 should not apply in Wisconsin.		sagree. See response to Speaker 1. Comment a.
		c. Indicates general acceptance of ground-fault circuit interm protection location specified in NEC 210.8 (A) (1) through (8) the inclusion of unfinished basements that may have sump o pumps. Proposes new language excepting ground fault prote dedicated branch circuit serving a single receptacle for sewa pumps.	but opposes excep sewage prote tion for a	gree. The proposal has been modified with an ption that permits a single receptacle without GFCI ection for sump and sewage pumps.

Page 2 of 14

Clearinghouse	e Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Location	on: Madison
	: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date: J	
	lectrical Construction		8	
Comments:	Presenter,			
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State			
Speaker 3 Exhibit 2	David Boetcher IBEW State Conference 916 Lexington Way Waunakee, WI 53597	a. Expresses concern that the Comm 16.110 requirement that removes meter sockets and meter pedestals from the requirements under NEC section 110.10 will put workers and the public at risk. Indicates the removal of the NEC requirement will result in improperly applied and underrated meter sockets and meter pedestals. Recommends the use of the NEC section 110.10 without modification.		a. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2).
		b. Recommends eliminating the exception in Comm 16.430 relating to the location of the disconnecting means. Indicates this is a safety requirement for workers and having the disconnecting means located within sight of a motor encourages proper use of "lock-out/tag-out" by offering a nearby location to safety disconnect the motor. Believes this is also consistent with federal regulations.		b. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430.
		c. Proposes eliminating the addition in Comm 16.517 relating to essential electrical system overcurrent devices be selectively coordinated with all supply side overcurrent protective devices for a fault with duration of 0.1 seconds or longer. Indicates the NEC has had this requirement for nearly 15 years and provides safety for all types of overloads, short-circuits, ground faults and arcing faults, and for all times associated with these overcurrent conditions and recommends the use of the NEC without modification to provide the safest conditions.		c. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.517.

Page 3 of 14

Clearinghouse	e Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Location	on: Madison
Rule Number	: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date: J	une 18, 2008
Relating to: E	lectrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Speaker 4 Exhibit 3	Gordon Pierret Ring & DuChateau, Inc. 10101 Innovation Drive Milwaukee, WI 53097	 Supports the requirements under sections Comm 16.517, 16 16.708 relating to selective coordination because he believ language as indicated in NFPA 70 has not accomplished it which is to provide safer electrical systems. Indicates the reasons are why the current electrical distribution system! safer: Inadvertent raising the arc flash levels. Ground fault tripping issues. Electrical design ramifications. Indicates the Code Making Panels associated with NFPA 9 proposed the insertion of the 0.1 second language, which Wisconsin's proposed language. 	yes the current as primary goal, following has not become 99 has also is similar to	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708 relating to a mandated time limit. This allows the engineer to selectively coordinate the system to the extent feasible given the parameters of devices available from manufacturers.
Speaker 5 Exhibit 4	Pattie Stone Metropolitan Builders Assn. N16 W23321 Stone Ridge Waukesha, WI 53188	Opposes AFCI and GFCI requirements. Comments similar t Exhibit 1.	o Speaker 2.	Agree in part. The proposal has been modified with an exception that permits a single receptacle without GFCI protection for sump and sewage pumps. See response to Speaker 1. Comment a. and response to Speaker 2. Comment c.
Speaker 6 Exhibit 5	Brad Gruenewald We Energies/ Wisconsin Utilities Assoc. 189 E. Gauer Circle Milwaukee, WI 53207	 Supports the exception for meter pedestal as specified in C for the following reasons: 1. Electrical code advisory council voted for exclusion. 2. Meter sockets and pedestals are tested under UL 414. 3. We Energies customers are having no problem with meter pedestals due to this issue. 4. The NEC requirement would increase costs of at least \$1 customers. 5. The weakest link in meter sockets and pedestals is the r 6. Other states such as Georgia and Florida are not enforci 7. Wisconsin has ruled before on the issue that "Fault curr not currently being required for meter sockets." 	er sockets and 1,250 for neter. ng NEC 110.10.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2) because of concerns expressed during the public hearing.

Page 4 of 14

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Location	on: Madison
Rule Number	: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date: J	une 18, 2008
Relating to: E	lectrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Speaker 7 Exhibit 6	Steve Hansen Senior Field Engineer N38 W32973 Lake Country Drive Nashotah, WI 53058	Comment similar to Speaker 3. Exhibit 2. Comment c. Indicate the Wisconsin proposal will not require selective coordinati circuit conditions. Cites the Code Making Panel 13 (CMP13) 204 in the 2008 ROC (report on comments), "The overriding Articles 700 and 701 is to keep the power on throughout an situation, for life safety. Selective coordination increases th the emergency system Selective coordination is essential for continuity of service required in emergency and legally requ circuits."	ion under short) comment 13- theme of emergency e reliability of or the	Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.517.
Speaker 8 Exhibit 7	Bill Neitzel City of Madison 1405 Droster Road Madison, WI 53716	a. Recommends deleting Comm 16.210 (5) relating to common circuits because it is an addition to the requirements of NEC does not require separation of common area branch circuits upgrades for existing 2-family dwellings only.	C 210.25 and	a. Disagree. There is no documentation provided to indicate a problem with this present allowance.
		b. Recommends deleting Comm 16.110 (2) relating to circuit is that the requirements specified in NEC 110.10 do not apply sockets and meter pedestals.		b. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2).
		c. Recommends changing the proposal code language in Comm 16.230 (3) (b) relating to the allowable length of service entrance busways. Suggests the following: "Service entrance busway shall be permitted to exceed 8 feet with written permission of the department."		c. Agree. By removing the proposed language the base rule of 8 feet applies.
		d. Recommends deleting Comm 16.334 (2) relating to nonmet cable: Types NM, NMC and NMS.	allic-sheathed	d. Disagree. There have been no reports of problems with these installations.
		e. Recommends deleting the Department's exception in Com- relating to a separate disconnecting means that does not re where the disconnecting means for the controller is individu- being locked in the open position.	quire a motor	e. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430.

Page 5 of 14

		SUMINARY OF FUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS		Page 6 of 1
Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Location	on: Madison
Rule Number	:: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date: J	une 18, 2008
Relating to: E	Electrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
		f. Recommends deleting Comm 16.517 relating to essential overcurrent device to be selectively coordinated with all su overcurrent protective devices for faults with a duration of longer.	upply side	f. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.517.
		overcurrent devices being selectively coordinated with all supply side overcurrent protective devices for faults with a duration of 0.1 seconds or longer.h. Recommends deleting Comm 16.701(3) relating to legally required		g. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.700 (4).
				h. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.701 (3).
		i. Recommends deleting Comm 16.708 relating to critical op system overcurrent devices shall be selectively coordinate supply side overcurrent protective devices for faults with a seconds or longer.	d with all	i. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.708.
Speaker 9 Exhibit 8	Bob Fahey Self and City of Janesville 15535 W. Francis Road Evansville, WI 53536	a. Opposes removal of Comm 16.430 relating to disconnect, which is against the Electrical Advisory Council's unanima Requests that documentation be provided to support lesse requirements of the NEC. Indicates this puts the state at our requirements, and surrounding states that have adopted N without issues. Believes enforcing NEC will create a safer electricians and will reduce labor and operating costs.	ous vote. oning the lds with OSHA EC regulations	a . Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430.
		b. Supports Comm 16.210 (4) relating to AFCI in dwellings. new technology will make things safer, and the benefits ou costs.		b . Support noted.

Clearinghouse	e Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Location	on: Madison
Rule Number	: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date: J	une 18, 2008
Relating to: E	lectrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
		c. Opposes the less restrictive requirements in Comm 16.11 meter pedestals and meter sockets that will cause dangero electricians, utility personnel and the public. Believes meter meter pedestals should be rated for the fault current availa electrical utility to provide a safe electrical installation.	us situations for er sockets and	c . Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2).
		d. Supports the adoption of NEC 406.11 for tamper-resistant dwelling units because it will protect young children who ne the dangers of electricity.		d. Support noted.
Speaker 10	Thomas Domitrovich Eaton Corporation 4038 St Rt 151 Aliquippa, PA 15001	a. Indicates the requirement under Comm 16.210 relating to AFCI technology will detect arcing and sparking and will prevent fires before they begin. This requirement protects entire branch circuit and connected cords. Indicates the homeowner may replace receptacles and switches without the aid of a licensed electrician, and this will protect the home's circuits. As for affordable housing, these homes are typically smaller and require less arc-fault technology, even with the expansion of the code. Understands the concern of technology availability, but if adopted, manufacturers would stock it.		a. Support noted.
		b. Supports the ground fault technology in basements and appliances because UL has made many changes to address so they do not nuisance trip.		b. Support noted.
		c. Supports the requirements under Comm 16.700 and 16.70 are similar to Speaker 4, but adds that licensed professiona best qualified to optimize selective coordination as mandated and the selective coordination as mandated and the selective coordination are mandated as the selective coordination and the selective coordination are mandated as the selective coordinat	l engineers are	c. Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.700 (4) and 16.708. This allows the engineer to selectively coordinate the system to the extent feasible given the parameters of devices available from manufacturers.
		d. Supports the requirements under Comm 16.110. Commen Speaker 6.	ts are similar to	d. Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2) because of concerns expressed during the public hearing.

Page 7 of 14

Page 8 of 14

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-047	Heari	ng Location: Madison
Rule Number	: Ch. Comm 16	Heari	ng Date: June 18, 2008
Relating to: E	lectrical Construction		
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Speaker 11	Russell E. Sanders NFPA 3257 Beals Branch Road Louisville, KY 40206	Supports proposed rules on arc-fault circuit interrupter protection US fire administration reported 70,000 fires last year caused by ele faults and resulting in 500 deaths and \$900 million in property loss Consumer Products Safety Commission (CPSC) indicates that 50% fires would have been preventable with AFCI receptacles. Regard tamper-resistant receptacles, CPSC reports that 2,400 children wer shocked and burned due to sticking objects in unprotected recep and all could have been prevented with tamper-resistant receptacl Regarding the costs of AFCI, the International Association of Ele Inspectors (Ohio Chapter) found that it would cost about \$160 to these in affordable housing. The CPSC determined that in affordat housing with 75 receptacles because they are only about 35–50 c more per receptacle at local chain stores.	ctrical . The of those ing e acles, es. ctrical nclude le include
Speaker 12 Exhibit 9	John L. Cyr NECA Milwaukee Chapter 18735 Davidson Road Brookfield, WI 53045	Opposes proposed rules with comments similar to Speaker 9. Com and notes that this violates OSHA and possibly federal regulation	
Speaker 13	Fred W. Brown Self (High Electron) N3977 Meadow Drive Cambridge, WI 53523	 a. Opposes Comm 16.110 (2) relating to safety hazard. Believes the should comply with NEC. b. Opposes Comm 16.310 relating to de-rating of conductors. Indic Wisconsin should move to the national standard. c. Supports 406.11 and 517.18 (c) relating to tamper-resistant recep Suggests that an "or" should be added to include a shock fault ci interceptor, which is a newer technology. d. Opposes Comm 16.430 relating to disconnecting means. Believe main issue is the word "impracticable" and suggests Wisconsin s with the national standard. 	 the exception in Comm 16.110 (2). b. Disagree. No substantiation of a problem has been provided. c. Support noted. New technology should be submitted on a national level. d. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Location	on: Madison
Rule Number	: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date: J	une 18, 2008
Relating to: E	lectrical Construction			
Comments:	Presenter,			
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State			
		e. Opposes Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708 second selective coordination. Explains the NEC deals with coordination in health care to insure that over current proteorer. Believes lowering the limit is dangerous, but indicated debate.	n selective ection devices	e. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 3. Comment c. and Speaker 4.
Speaker 14	Tim Crnko Cooper Industries 321 W. Argonne	a. Opposes Comm 16.110 relating to electrical installations. similar to Speaker 9. Comment c. and Speaker 13. Comment		a. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2).
	St. Louis, MO 63122	b. Opposes Comm 16.430. Comments are similar to Speaker 3. Comment b. and Speaker 9. Comment a.		b. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430.
		c. Indicates selective coordination is in the NEC because of other state has this exemption. By lessening the code, it pu greater risk and liability. Urges the state to adopt NEC code	its everyone at	c. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 3. Comment c. and Speaker 4.
Speaker 15 Exhibit 10	Edwin Wickman GE Consumer & Industrial 2 Towne Square Southfield, MI 48076	Supports proposed rules under Comm 16.517, 16.700, 16.701 and 16.708 relating to selective coordination. Suggests the engineers be allowed to design and do their job. These changes are similar to what the Florida Agency for Healthcare Administration (AHCA) has required for many years. The trade off is between NEC's depth (fully selective on a few circuits) versus the AHCA's breadth (0.1 second for the entire facility). Indicates that any selective solution should be considered "manufacturer proprietary." Believes today's technology would allow mixing different manufacturers or mixing fuses and breakers in a selective solution that will reduce size and cost of system.		Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708 relating to a mandated time limit. This allows the engineer to selectively coordinate the system to the extent feasible given the parameters of devices available from manufacturers.
Speaker 16	John H. Schwab, Jr. EdEc. Insp. Assn. SE WI	a. Opposes Comm 16.110 relating to electrical installations. similar to Speaker 3. Comment a. and Speaker 9. Comment a		a. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2).

12015 W Underwood

Page 10 of 14

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-047	Hearing Locati	ion: Madison
Rule Number	: Ch. Comm 16	Hearing Date:	June 18, 2008
Relating to: E	lectrical Construction		
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
	Wauwatosa, WI 53226	b. Opposes Comm 16.700 and 16.517 relating to selective coordination. Indicates the 0.1 second is six cycles and that can cause a lot of damage and even kill someone.	b. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517 and 16.700 (4). See response to Speaker 3. Comment c. and Speaker 4.
		c. Supports the proposed removal of arc-fault exemption clause to coordinate with NEC.	c. Support noted.
		d. Supports the comments of Speaker 12 about Comm 16.430 relating to disconnecting means.	d. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430.
Exhibit 11	Kevin Benner WI IAEI 1190 Fieldview Dr Menasha, WI 54952	Indicates he has received correspondence from electricians expressing displeasure that Comm 16.38 relating to disconnecting means is <u>not</u> being removed from the 2008 version of Comm 16.	Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430.
Exhibit 12	Wade Rudolph, CBET, CHFM WI Healthcare Engineering Association Co-Chair of Codes & Standards Committee	Supports proposed draft changes to Comm 16.517, 16.700, 16.701 and 16.708 relating to selective coordination. Explains these sections apply to hospitals, and without them, "healthcare will be forced to provide electrical distribution equipment and design concepts that will reduce the system reliability, maintainability and flexibility." Indicates the NFPA requires selective coordination throughout the entire tripping range. Explains that there is evidence that the vast majority of electrical faults experienced in healthcare facilities are at lower levels, which means the 0.1 second or less tripping curves are seldom if ever reached.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708 relating to a mandated time limit. See response to Speaker 4.
Exhibit 13	Mike Koslowske Heritage Woodworks, Inc. 1874 Commercial Way Green Bay, WI 54311	a. Opposes AFCI requirements in new housing. Indicates there is no data that supports the installation of tamper-resistant receptacles would save lives. Believes it will only add to the cost of new construction by \$800–\$1,100 per home, not \$30 as the Department indicates.	a. Disagree. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comment b.
		b. Opposes GFCIs for sump and sewer pumps in new homes as they would have unintended consequences if they trip during electrical storms and cause basement flooding.	b. Agree. The proposal has been modified with an exception that permits a single receptacle without GFCI protection for sump and sewage pumps.
Exhibit 14	Ronald L. Derrick	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.	Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a.

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Location	n: Madison
Rule Number	: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date: Ju	ine 18, 2008
Relating to: E	Electrical Construction			
Comments:	Presenter,			
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State			
	Derrick Companies			and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
	1505 Hwy 65			
	New Richmond, WI 54017			
Exhibit 15	Monarch Homes	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.		Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a.
	10425 W North Ave, #345			and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
	Wauwatosa, WI 53226			
Exhibit 16	Vincent J. Saporita	Comments similar to Speaker 3. Exhibit 2. Comment c. and in	cludes a CD	Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the
	Cooper Bussman	with test report and videos to support the position.		addition in Comm 16.517.
	PO Box 14460			
E-1 1 4 17	St. Louis, MO 63178 Dave Johnson	Comments similarts Editivitie		A
Exhibit 17	Manitowoc Co. Home	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.		Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
	Builders Association			and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
	820 South 8 th St.			
	Manitowoc, WI 54220			
Exhibit 18	Joseph R. Bissing	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.		Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a.
LAHOR 10	Bissing Electric, Inc.	Contrents similar to Lanoit 15.		and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
	2390 W. Nordale Dr.			and Speaker 2. confidents 5. and e.
	Appleton, WI 54914			
Exhibit 19	Bill Skewes	Supports changes to Comm 16.110 (2) relating to the Depart	ment exception	Support noted. However, the proposal has been
	WI Utilities Association	of meter sockets and meter pedestals. Indicates that if the p		modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2)
	44 E Mifflin St, #202	rejected, the WUA assumes the interpretation given by the		because of concerns expressed during the public
	Madison, WI 53703	1989 relating to the meter socket exemption would remain in		hearing.
				-
Exhibit 20	Michael L. Leibham, P.E.	Supports the proposed changes, especially for Comm 16.51	7, 16.700,	Support noted. However, the proposal has been
	Berners-Schober	16.701 and 16.708 relating to selective coordination.		modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517,
	Associates, Inc.			16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to
	310 Pine St.			Speaker 4.
	Green Bay, WI 54301			
Exhibit 21	Roger W. Elliott	Supports the proposed changes for Comm 16.517, 16.700, 10		Support noted. However, the proposal has been
	St. Joseph's Hospital	16.708 relating to selective coordination. Reiterates the imp		modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517,
	2661 County Hwy I	these changes to the healthcare industry. Points out that the	e lives of	16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to

hospital patients could be in jeopardy if the facility is unable to provide

Speaker 4.

Chippewa Falls, WI 54729

Page 11 of 14

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Location: Madison
Rule Number	: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date: June 18, 2008
Relating to: E	lectrical Construction		
Comments:	Presenter,		
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State		
		timely, reliable and quickly resettable circuit breaker techno	logy.
Exhibit 22	Thomas D. Stank	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been
	Mercy Health System		modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517,
	1000 Mineral Point Ave.		16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to
	Janesville, WI 53547		Speaker 4.
Exhibit 23	Dale Scherbert	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been
	Community Memorial		modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517,
	Hospital		16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to
	W180 N8085 Town Hall		Speaker 4.
	Menomonee Falls, WI		
	53051		
Exhibit 24	Roger A. Haines	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been
	WI Healthcare		modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517,
	Engineering		16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to
	Association		Speaker 4.
Exhibit 25	Greg Graunke	Opposes proposed change that would mandate hospitals u	e fuses Clarification: The proposed rules do not require fuses
	Mercy Medical Center	instead of circuit breakers as it would take longer to locate	
	500 S. Oakwood Rd.	fuse than it would to reset a circuit breaker.	
	Oshkosh, WI 54904		
Exhibit 26	John McGinnis, CHFM,	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been
	NFPA, ASHE		modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517,
	791 Summit Ave.		16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to
	Oconomowoc, WI 53066		Speaker 4.
Exhibit 27	Tim Klein	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been
	Holy Family Memorial		modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517,
	2300 Western Ave.		16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to
	Manitowoc, WI 54221		Speaker 4.
Exhibit 28	Tamarah Cox	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been
	Aspirus Wausau Hospital		modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517,
	333 Pine Ridge Blvd		16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to
	Wausau, WI 54401		Speaker 4.

Clearinghouse	e Rule Number: 08-047	on: Madison					
Rule Number	: Ch. Comm 16	une 18, 2008					
Relating to: Electrical Construction							
Comments:	Presenter,						
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response			
Exhibit No.	City and State						
Exhibit 29	JimRugg	a. Opposes AFCIs required in new home construction.		a. Disagree. See response to Speaker 1. Comment a.			
	Via e-mail						
		b. Opposes GFCIs for sump and sewer pumps in new home	construction.	b. Agree. The proposal has been modified with an exception that permits a single receptacle without GFCI protection for sump and sewage pumps.			
Exhibit 30	John Stoker, Mike Spahr, and Cindi Gruebling	Comments similar to Exhibit 29.		Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.			
Exhibit 31	TomKruse	Opposes the required installation of tamper-resistant receptacles in new		Disagree. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment b. and			
	Via e-mail	homes. Comments are similar to Exhibit 13. Comment a.		Speaker 2. Comment a.			
Exhibit 32	Ron Janikowski Via e-mail	Opposes modifying NEC 110.10 to exempt meter sockets an pedestals from the requirement.	d meter	Agree. See response to Speaker 3. Comment a.			
Exhibit 33	Rick S. Leverenz, PE KJWW Engineering Consultants 802 W. Broadway, #312 Madison, WI 53713	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.		Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.			
Exhibit 34	Ron Klassen Wallner Builders 12424 W. Lancaster Ave.	a. Supports tamper-resistant receptacles because cost is lo improve safety.	w and would	a. Support noted.			
	Butler, WI 53007	b. Opposes the required installation of AFCIs because cost prohibitive.	t would be	b. Disagree. See response to Speaker 1. Comment a.			
		c. Opposes GFCIs for unfinished basement appliances as it potential health and safety hazard.	would pose a	c. Agree. The proposal has been modified with an exception that permits a single receptacle without GFCI protection for sump and sewage pumps.			
Exhibit 35	Tina Prosser	Supports requiring tamper-resistant receptacles in dwelling	s.	Support noted.			

Via e-mail

Page 13 of 14

Clearinghous	e Rule Number: 08-047	on: Madison					
Rule Number: Ch. Comm 16 Hearing Date: J				une 18, 2008			
Relating to: Electrical Construction							
Comments:	Presenter,						
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response			
Exhibit No.	City and State						
Exhibit 36	Doug Schnell Schnell Electric	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.		Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.			
Exhibit 37	Dave Welsh Eaton Corporation 1000 Cherrington Pkwy Moon Township, PA 15108	Supports keeping the exception to NEC 110.10 in Comm 16. to meter sockets and meter pedestals.	110 (2) relating	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2) because of concerns expressed during the public hearing.			
Exhibit 38	Matthew Stanford WI Hospital Assn 5510 Research Park Dr Madison, WI 53725	Supports revisions to ss. Comm 16.517, 16.700, 16.701, and 16.708, but opposes proposals that would force hospitals to use fused systems rather than circuit breakers. Comments similar to Exhibit 21.		Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.			
Exhibit 39	Jim Reif Jim Reif Builders 150 Semi Dr. Francis Creek, WI 54214	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.		Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.			
Exhibit 40	Jerry L. Hofman, PE The Durham Company PO Box 908 Lebanon, MO 65536	Supports keeping the exemption of NEC 110.10 for meter socket and meter pedestals in Comm 16.110 (2).		Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2) because of concerns expressed during the public hearing.			
Exhibit 41	Joel Gmack Gmack Development, Inc.	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.		Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.			
Exhibit 42	Monte Ewing 827 Liliana Terr. Oregon, WI 53575	Proposes a change in s. Comm 16.680 that would be in addition to the requirements of NEC 680.42 (B) and NEC 680.43 (D) regarding bonding. Proposes that "Equipotential Bonding of Perimeter Surfaces required by NEC 680.26 (B) (2) and bonding of Pool Water required by NEC 680.26 (C) shall not apply to a listed self-contained spa or hot tub constructed with		Agree. The proposal has been modified as recommended to include an addition to the requirements of NEC 680.42 (b) and NEC 680.43 (D) relating to equipotential bonding.			

nonmetallic walls. Provides background information on the new proposal.