

Report From Agency

**State of Wisconsin
Department of Employee Trust Funds,
Employee Trust Funds Board, Teachers Retirement Board
and
Wisconsin Retirement Board**

FINAL DRAFT REPORT ON CLEARINGHOUSE RULE #07-068

FINAL RULE to create ETF 20.37 regarding the status of a non-annuitant Wisconsin Retirement System participant at death, for purposes of determining the applicable death benefit.

Agency Person to be Contacted for Questions.....	2
Statement Explaining Need for Rule	2
Analysis Prepared by Department of Employee Trust Funds	2
Statutes interpreted	2
Statutory authority.....	3
Explanation of agency authority.....	3
Related statutes of rules	3
Plain language analysis.....	3
Summary of, and comparison with, existing or proposed federal regulations.....	4
Comparison with rules in adjacent states	4
Summary of factual data and analytical methodologies	6
Analysis and documentation concerning effect on small businesses	6
Anticipated costs incurred by private sector.....	6
Statement of effect on small business.....	6
Regulatory Flexibility Analysis	6
Fiscal Estimate	6

Text of Rule7

Effective Date7

Economic Impact Report.....NONE

Department of Administration § 227.138 Report.....NONE

Energy Impact ReportNONE

Legislative Council Staff Clearinghouse Report.....8

Response to Legislative Council Staff Recommendation 10

List of Persons Who Appeared or Registered at the Public Hearing 10

Summary of Public Comments 10

Modifications to the Rule as Originally Proposed 10

Modifications to the Analysis Accompanying the Proposed Rule 10

Modifications to the Fiscal Estimate 10

Board Authorization for Promulgation 10

Agency Person to be Contacted for Questions

Please direct any questions about the proposed rule to Robert Weber, Chief Counsel, Office of Legal Services, Department of Employee Trust Funds, P.O. Box 7931, Madison WI 53707. Telephone: (608) 266-5804. E-mail address: rob.weber@etf.state.wi.us.

Statement Explaining Need for Rule

This rule-making is needed to codify the Department’s interpretation of s. 40.71 (1) (b), Stats., and eliminate a potential misreading of the last clause of the statute. The last clause might be misread to imply that if an employer terminated an employee, but did not report the termination to the Department until after the employee died, then the death benefits would be determined as if the decedent was “deemed” to be an active employee at the time of death. The codified interpretation will better effectuate the purpose of the statute and also reflect the

Department's general policies of treating participants in the Wisconsin Retirement System impartially and of administering their benefits in accord with the statutory eligibility criteria.

Analysis Prepared by the Department of Employee Trust Funds

1. Statute interpreted:

Section 40.71 (1) (b), Stats.

2. Statutory authority:

Sections 40.03 (2) (i) and 227.11 (2) (a), Stats.

3. Explanation of agency authority:

By statute, the DETF Secretary is expressly authorized, with appropriate Board approval, to promulgate rules required for the efficient administration of any benefit plan established in ch. 40 of the Wisconsin Statutes. Also, each state agency may promulgate rules interpreting the provisions of any statute enforced or administered by the agency if the agency considers it necessary to effectuate the purpose of the statute.

4. Related statute or rule:

Section 40.02 (46), Stats., which defines a participating employee, including the criteria that the person be "... an employee who is currently in the service of, or an employee who is on a leave of absence from, a participating employer"

Section 40.02 (26), Stats., which defines "employee" and provides in part that, "... an employee is deemed to have separated from the service of an employer at the end of the day on which the employee last performed services for the employer, or, if later, the day on which the employee-employer relationship is terminated because of the expiration or termination of leave without pay, sick leave, vacation or other leave of absence."

Section ETF 10.08 (3) (a), Wisconsin Admin. Code, governs the date of termination for purposes of purposes of establishing eligibility to receive benefits from the Wisconsin retirement system, including separation benefits, lump sum benefits and retirement annuity benefits. That rule expressly provides that the date of termination is the earliest of several listed alternatives, among which are the expiration of an unpaid leave of absence (when the termination is based on failing to return to work after such a leave), the date the employer discharged the employee, the date the employee's resignation is effective, and the date of the employee's death.

5. Plain language analysis:

The purpose of this rule is to codify an interpretation of s. 40.71 (1) (b), Stats., and eliminate a potential misunderstanding. The clarified interpretation will better effectuate the purpose of the statute and also reflect the Department's general policies of treating participants in the Wisconsin Retirement System impartially and of administering benefits in accord with the statutory eligibility criteria.

This rule applies to death benefits payable because of the death of a person who was not an annuitant of the Wisconsin Retirement System. The rule will codify that a person's actual status on the date of the person's death determines whether the death benefits payable from the Wisconsin Retirement System are those for an active employee or a former employee. If the person's employment was terminated prior to the date of death, then the benefits payable will be those appropriate for a former employee. The date the employer's report is received by the Department will not dictate the benefits due.

Section 40.71 (1) (b), Stats., is one of several statutes that determines whether a deceased participant is treated as an active employee, a former employee or an annuitant for purposes of paying the applicable death benefits from the Wisconsin Retirement System. This particular provision states:

If the date of death is less than one year after the last day for which earnings were paid, a participant is deemed a participating employee on leave of absence, notwithstanding the fact that no formal leave of absence is in effect, if the participating employer for which the participant last performed services as a participating employee has not filed notice of the termination of employment prior to the employee's death.

The purpose of the statute is clearly to treat a decedent whose employment had not been formally terminated as a person on leave of absence, for up to a year. Participating employees granted a leave of absence continue to be participating employees, as expressly provided by s. 40.02 (46), Stats.

It has come to the Department's attention that a misreading of the last clause of the statute is possible. Instead of referring to a termination that occurred prior to the employee's death, the last clause might be misread to imply that if an employer terminated an employee, but did not notify the Department of the termination until after the employee died, then the death benefits would be determined as if the decedent was "deemed" to be an active employee at the time of death. A clear interpretation of the last clause of s. 40.71 (1) (b), Stats., is necessary in order for the Department to calculate and pay the correct death benefits.

The interpretation to be promulgated by this rule will do nothing to change the one-year presumption in s. 40.71 (1) (b), Stats., that a deceased employee who was not terminated prior to death was an employee at death, even if no formal leave of absence had been granted.

6. Summary of, and comparison with, existing or proposed federal regulations:

There are no known existing or proposed federal regulations that are intended to address the activities regulated by this rule.

7. Comparison with rules in adjacent states:

Although there are a number of governmental retirement plans in Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota and Michigan, their administrative rules are not directly relevant to interpreting the Wisconsin statutes governing the Wisconsin Retirement System. Governmental plans differ in the degree to which the terms of the plan are established by enabling legislation or left to subsequent administrative rulemaking or other means.

Illinois

There appear to be no Illinois administrative rules relating to the belated reporting of the severance or termination of employment of a person who subsequently dies, and the computation of death benefits from a public employee pension system.

A rule adopted by the State Employees Retirement System, Ill. Admin. Code title 80 § 1540.255 e), does not deal with the computation of a death benefit, but does provide that if the member has elected to use payroll deductions for the purchase of permissive service credits or reinstating past service credits, the deductions end upon the earliest of several alternative events, including the employee's death or termination of employment.

For purposes of making distributions, the rules governing the State of Illinois Employees Deferred Compensation Plan treat the employee's severance from employment or death as two distinctly different events. Death is not included in the definition of severance from employment. See Ill. Admin. Code title 80 §§ 2700.200 and 2700.700 b) 1) and 2).

Iowa

There appear to be no Iowa administrative rules relating to the belated reporting of the severance or termination of employment of a person who subsequently

dies, and the computation of death benefits from a public employee pension system.

Michigan

There appear to be no Michigan administrative rules relating to the belated reporting of the severance or termination of employment of a person who subsequently dies, and the computation of death benefits from a public employee pension system.

Minnesota

There appear to be no Minnesota administrative rules relating to the belated reporting of the severance or termination of employment of a person who subsequently dies, and the computation of death benefits from a public employee pension system.

8. Summary of factual data and analytical methodologies:

The Department considered, and rejected, the alternative interpretation of the statute that would permit an employer to delay reporting a termination and thereby possibly increase the benefits payable if the former employee should die. The Department has a duty to administer benefits in accord with the laws governing the Wisconsin Retirement System. These laws include requirements for employers to make accurate, regular and timely reports to the Department concerning covered employees. The alternative interpretation would conflict with those duties by appearing to permit a delay in reporting terminations of employment. Any effort to administer such an interpretation would also likely give rise to differing treatment of similarly situated former employees. The Department has a duty to treat participants impartially within the laws governing the retirement system.

9. Analysis and supporting documents used to determine effect on small business or in preparation of economic impact report:

The rule can have no effect on small businesses because private employers and their employees do not participate in, and cannot be covered by, the Wisconsin Retirement System. The Wisconsin Retirement System is required to be maintained and administered as a qualified governmental plan, covering only governmental employees.

10. Anticipated costs incurred by private sector:

None.

11. Effect on small business:

No effect.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis:

The proposed rule has no significant effect on small businesses because only governmental employers and their employees may participate in the benefit programs under ch. 40 of the statutes administered by the Department of Employee Trust Funds.

Fiscal Estimate:

The proposed rule has no direct fiscal impact since it codifies a Department interpretation already in effect. The rule generates no revenues for any employer. The rule itself has no effect on the fiscal liabilities of any county, city, village, town, school district, technical college district or sewerage. The rule has no state fiscal effect during the current biennium and no fiscal impact on state funds.

Text of Proposed Rule

SECTION 1. ETF 20.37 is created to read:

ETF 20.37 Death benefits. (1) TERMINATION PRIOR TO DEATH. If employment with a participating employer actually terminated prior to the death of the participant, then the participant may not be treated as a participating employee for purposes of s. 40.71, Stats., regardless of when notice of the termination is filed with the department.

Note. If the termination of employment occurs on the last day on which the employee actually performs services for the employer, and the death occurs on the same day, the participant will be treated as an employee through the end of that day, as provided by s. 40.02 (25), Stats.

(end of rule text)

Effective Date

This rule shall take effect on the first day of the month following publication in the Wisconsin Administrative Register as provided in Wis. Stat. s. 227.22 (2).



**WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
RULES CLEARINGHOUSE**

Ronald Sklansky
Clearinghouse Director

Richard Sweet
Clearinghouse Assistant Director

Terry C. Anderson
Legislative Council Director

Laura D. Rose
Legislative Council Deputy Director

CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT TO AGENCY

[THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED PURSUANT TO S. 227.15, STATS. THIS IS A REPORT ON A RULE AS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED BY THE AGENCY; THE REPORT MAY NOT REFLECT THE FINAL CONTENT OF THE RULE IN FINAL DRAFT FORM AS IT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE LEGISLATURE. THIS REPORT CONSTITUTES A REVIEW OF, BUT NOT APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF, THE SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT AND TECHNICAL ACCURACY OF THE RULE.]

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 07-068

AN ORDER to create ETF 20.37, relating to the status of a nonannuitant Wisconsin retirement system participant at death, for purposes of determining the applicable death benefit.

Submitted by DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYE TRUST FUNDS

07-05-2007 RECEIVED BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

07-25-2007 REPORT SENT TO AGENCY.

RNS:WF

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

This rule has been reviewed by the Rules Clearinghouse. Based on that review, comments are reported as noted below:

1. STATUTORY AUTHORITY [s. 227.15 (2) (a)]

Comment Attached **YES** **NO**

2. FORM, STYLE AND PLACEMENT IN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE [s. 227.15 (2) (c)]

Comment Attached **YES** **NO**

3. CONFLICT WITH OR DUPLICATION OF EXISTING RULES [s. 227.15 (2) (d)]

Comment Attached **YES** **NO**

4. ADEQUACY OF REFERENCES TO RELATED STATUTES, RULES AND FORMS [s. 227.15 (2) (e)]

Comment Attached **YES** **NO**

5. CLARITY, GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION AND USE OF PLAIN LANGUAGE [s. 227.15 (2) (f)]

Comment Attached **YES** **NO**

6. POTENTIAL CONFLICTS WITH, AND COMPARABILITY TO, RELATED FEDERAL REGULATIONS [s. 227.15 (2) (g)]

Comment Attached **YES** **NO**

7. COMPLIANCE WITH PERMIT ACTION DEADLINE REQUIREMENTS [s. 227.15 (2) (h)]

Comment Attached **YES** **NO**

Response to Legislative Council Staff Recommendations

The Legislative Counsel staff recommended no changes.

List of Persons Appearing or Registering For or Against the Rules.

No persons, other than DETF staff, appeared or registered either for or against the rule at the public hearing on August 14, 2007.

Summary of Comments Received at Public Hearing.

No person wished to testify concerning the rule. The record was held open for written comments until 4:30 p.m. on August 15, 2007, but no comments were received.

Modifications to Rule as Originally Proposed as a Result of Public Comments

None.

Modifications to the Analysis Accompanying the Proposed Rule.

The sixth paragraph of the "Plain Language Analysis," beginning "It has come to the Department's attention ..." has been revised slightly for clarity.

Modifications to the Initial Fiscal Estimate

None.

Board Authorization for Promulgation

This final draft report on Clearinghouse Rule #07-068 has been duly approved for submission to the Legislature, and for promulgation, by the Department of Employee Trust Funds and by:

The Employee Trust Funds Board at its meeting on December 13, 2007.

The Teachers Retirement Board at its meeting on December 13, 2007.

The Wisconsin Retirement Board at its meeting on December 14, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYEE TRUST FUNDS

Date: December 14, 2007

David Stella
Secretary