STATE OF WISCONSIN

$\star \star \star$ notice of rulemaking hearing $\star \star \star$

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to the authority granted under s. 601.41(3), Stats., and the procedures set forth in under s. 227.18, Stats., OCI will hold a public hearing to consider the adoption of the attached proposed rulemaking order affecting Section Ins 17.25 (12m) and 17.28 (6s), Wis. Adm. Code, relating to Peer Review Surcharge Rates.

HEARING INFORMATION

Date:February 19, 2007Time:9:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be reachedPlace:OCI, Room 227, 125 South Webster St 2nd Floor, Madison, WI

Written comments can be mailed to:

Theresa Wedekind OCI Rule Comment for Rule Ins 1725 Office of the Commissioner of Insurance PO Box 7873 Madison WI 53707-7873

Written comments can be hand delivered to:

Theresa Wedekind OCI Rule Comment for Rule Ins 1725 Office of the Commissioner of Insurance 125 South Webster St – 2^{nd} Floor Madison WI 53702

Comments can be emailed to:

Theresa Wedekind Theresa.Wedekind@oci.state.wi.us

Comments submitted through the Wisconsin Administrative Rule website at: http://adminrules.wisconsin.gov on the proposed rule will be considered.

The deadline for submitting comments is 4:00 p.m. on the 8th day after the date for the hearing stated in this Notice of Hearing.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED RULE & FISCAL ESTIMATE

For a summary of the rule see the analysis contained in the attached proposed rulemaking order. There will be no state or local government fiscal effect. The full text of the proposed changes, a summary of the changes and the fiscal estimate are attached to this Notice of Hearing.

INITIAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

Notice is hereby further given that pursuant to s. 227.114, Stats., the proposed rule may have an effect on small businesses. The initial regulatory flexibility analysis is as follows:

- a. Types of small businesses affected:
 - Insurance agents, LSHO, Town Mutuals, Small Insurers, etc.
- b. Description of reporting and bookkeeping procedures required: None beyond those currently required.
- c. Description of professional skills required: None beyond those currently required.

OCI SMALL BUSINESS REGULATORY COORDINATOR

The OCI small business coordinator is Eileen Mallow and may be reached at phone number (608) 266-7843 or at email address <u>Eileen.Mallow@oci.state.wi.us</u>

CONTACT PERSON

A copy of the full text of the proposed rule changes, analysis and fiscal estimate may be obtained from the OCI internet WEB site at **http://oci.wi.gov/ocirules.htm** or by contacting Inger Williams, Services Section, OCI, at: Inger.Williams@OCI.State.WI.US, (608) 264-8110, 125 South Webster Street – 2nd Floor, Madison WI or PO Box 7873, Madison WI 53707-7873.

PROPOSED ORDER OF THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE AND THE

BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE INJURED PATIENTS AND FAMILIES COMPENSATION

FUND

AMENDING, AND REPEALING AND RECREATING A RULE

The office of the commissioner of insurance and the board of governors of the

injured patients and families compensation fund propose an order to repeal and recreate s.

Ins 17.25 (12m) and Ins 17.28 (6s), Wis Adm Code, relating to fund peer review surcharge

rates for the injured patients and families compensation fund and for the wisconsin health

care liability insurance plan and may have an effect on small business.

ANALYSIS PREPARED BY THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE (OCI)

1. Statutes interpreted: ss. 655.27 (3), and 619.04 (5m), Wis Stats.

2. Statutory authority: ss. 601.41 (3), 619.04 (5m) and 655.27 (3) (bg), Wis Stats.

3. Explanation of the OCI's authority to promulgate the proposed rule under these statutes:

The commissioner of insurance, with the approval of the board of governors (board) of the injured patients and families compensation fund (fund) and the Wisconsin health care liability insurance plan (plan), is required to establish by administrative rule the surcharge rates which may be applied to a health care provider's annual fund fee, and provider annual premium if participating in the plan, based upon recommendations from the fund's peer review council.

4. Related Statutes or rules:

None.

5. The plain language analysis and summary of the proposed rule:

This rule establishes the surcharge rates which a health care provider may be required to pay to the fund or the plan. These surcharge rates are based upon the number of claims paid on behalf of a health care provider in addition to the dollar amount of those claims. Paid claim reports are reviewed by the fund's peer review council and if deemed appropriate the council makes a recommendation to the board of governors to assess a surcharge to the health care provider for their coverage under the fund. The board approved these surcharge rates at its meeting on December 14, 2005, based on the recommendation of the board's actuarial and underwriting committee and reports of the fund's actuaries.

6. Summary of and preliminary comparison with any existing or proposed federal regulation that is intended to address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rule:

To the fund board's and OCI's knowledge there is no existing or proposed federal regulation that is intended to address patient compensation fund rates, administration or peer review activities.

7. Comparison of similar rules in adjacent states as found by OCI:

To the fund board's and OCI's knowledge there are no similar rules in the adjacent states to compare this rule to as none of these states have a patients compensation fund peer review council created by statute where rates are directed to be established yearly by rule as is true in Wisconsin.

8. A summary of the factual data and analytical methodologies that OCI used in support of the proposed rule and how any related findings support the regulatory approach chosen for the proposed rule:

None. This rule establishes peer review surcharge rates pursuant to the requirements of the above-noted Wisconsin statutes.

9. Any analysis and supporting documentation that OCI used in support of OCI's determination of the rule's effect on small businesses under s. 227.114:

The effect to small business would be minimal. The surcharge is applied only to individual health care providers. The only effect to small business would be if a surcharged was imposed upon a health care provider who was employed by a small business which paid medical malpractice insurance premium on the provider's behalf.

10. If these changes may have a significant fiscal effect on the private sector, the anticipated costs that will be incurred by private sector in complying with the rule:

The effect to small business would be minimal as stated in number 9 above.

11. A description of the Effect on Small Business:

This rule will have very little effect on small businesses.

12. Agency contact person:

A copy of the full text of the proposed rule changes, analysis and fiscal estimate may be obtained from the WEB sites at: **http://oci.wi.gov/ocirules.htm**

or by contacting Inger Williams, OCI Services Section, at:

Phone:(608) 264-8110Email:Inger.Williams@OCI.State.WI.USAddress:125 South Webster St - 2nd Floor Madison WI 53702Mail:PO Box 7873, Madison WI 53707-7873

13. Place where comments are to be submitted and deadline for submission:

The deadline for submitting comments is 4:30p.m. on February 27, 2006.

Mailing address:

Theresa Wedekind OCI Rule Comment for Rule Ins 17287 PCF fee rule Office of the Commissioner of Insurance PO Box 7873 Madison WI 53707-7873

Street address:

Theresa Wedekind OCI Rule Comment for Rule Ins 17287 PCF fee rule Office of the Commissioner of Insurance 125 South Webster St – 2nd Floor Madison WI 53702

WEB Site: http://oci.wi.gov/ocirules.htm

The proposed rule changes are:

SECTION 1. Ins 17.25 (12m) is repealed and recreated to read:

Ins 17.25 (12m) PREMIUM SURCHARGE TABLES. (a) This subsection implements s.

619.04 (5m) (a) Stats., requiring the establishment of an automatic increase in provider's

plan premium based on loss and expense experience.

(b) In this subsection:

- 1. "Aggregate indemnity" has the meaning giving under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (a).
- 2. "Closed claim" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (b).
- 3. "Provider" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (d)
- 4. "Review period" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (e)

(c) The following tables shall be used in making the determinations required under s. Ins 17.285 as to the percentage increase in a provider's plan premium:

1. For a class 1 and class 8 physician, podiatrist, nurse anesthetist, nurse midwife, nurse practitioner or cardiovascular perfusionist:

Aggregate Indemnity Number of Closed Claims During Review Period

| During Review Period | | | | 4 or |
|--|----|-----|------|------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | more |
| Up to\$\$118,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$118,001 to\$\$585,000 | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$585,001 to\$1,571,000 | 0% | 25% | 50% | 100% |
| Greater Than ^{\$1,571,000} | 0% | 50% | 100% | 200% |
| | | | | |

2. For a class 2 physician:

| Aggregate Indemnity | Number | | ed Claims w Period | During |
|--|--------|-----|-----------------------|--------|
| During Review Period | | | WICHOU | 4 or |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | more |
| Up to\$193,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$193,001 to\$779,000 | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$779,001 to\$\$1,836,000 | 0% | 25% | 50% | 100% |
| Greater Than ^{\$1,836,000} | 0% | 50% | 100% | 200% |
| 2 E 1 | - * | | | |

3. For a class 3 physician:

| Aggregate Indemnity | Number | | ed Claims w Period | During |
|--|--------|-----|-----------------------|--------|
| During Review Period | | | | 4 or |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | more |
| Up to\$\$211,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$211,001 to\$\$852,000 | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$852,001 to\$2,215,000 | 0% | 25% | 50% | 100% |
| Greater Than ^{\$\$2,215,000} | 0% | 50% | 100% | 200% |
| | • | | | |

4. For a class 4 physician:

| Number of Closed Claims During |
|--------------------------------|
|--------------------------------|

| Aggregate Indemnity | Review Period | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----|------|------|--|
| During Review Period | | | | 4 or | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | more | |
| Up to\$\$302,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| \$302,001 to\$1,012,000 | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% | |
| \$1,012,001 to ^{\$2,886,000} | 0% | 25% | 50% | 100% | |
| Greater Than ^{\$\$2,886,000} | 0% | 50% | 100% | 200% | |
| | • | | | | |

5. For a class 5A physician:

| | Number | of Closed | l Claims | During |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|----------|--------|
| Aggregate Indemnity | | Review | v Period | |
| During Review Period | | | | 4 or |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | more |
| Up to\$\$244,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

| \$244,001 to\$\$892,000 | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
|--|----|-----|------|------|
| \$892,001 to\$2,328,000 | 0% | 25% | 50% | 100% |
| Greater _{\$2,328,000} Than | 0% | 50% | 100% | 200% |

6. For a class 5 physician:

| | Number of Closed Claims During | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|
| Aggregate Indemnity | Review Period | | | | |
| During Review Period | | | | | |
| - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Up to \$676,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$676,001 to \$1,033,000 | 0% | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$1,033,001 to \$1,769,000 | 0% | 0% | 25% | 50% | 75% |
| \$1,769,001 to \$3,923,000 | 0% | 0% | 50% | 75% | 100% |
| Greater than \$3,923,000 | 0% | 0% | 75% | 100% | 200% |
| 7. For a class 6 physicia | n: | | | | |

| Number | of Closed Claims | During | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Review Period | | | | | | |

| Aggregate Indemnity | | Rev | view Per | iod | 0 |
|----------------------------|----|-----|----------|------|------|
| During Review Period | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Up to \$731,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$731,001 to \$1,163,000 | 0% | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$1,163,001 to \$1,982,000 | 0% | 0% | 25% | 50% | 75% |
| \$1,982,001 to \$4,215,000 | 0% | 0% | 50% | 75% | 100% |
| Greater than \$4,215,000 | 0% | 0% | 75% | 100% | 200% |
| 8. For a class 7 physician | ı: | | | | |

Number of Closed Claims During

| Aggregate Indemnity | Review Period | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|----|-----|------|------|
| During Review Period | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Up to \$804,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$804,001 to \$1,292,000 | 0% | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$1,292,001 to \$2,194,000 | 0% | 0% | 25% | 50% | 75% |
| \$2,194,001 to \$4,482,000 | 0% | 0% | 50% | 75% | 100% |
| Greater than \$4,482,000 | 0% | 0% | 75% | 100% | 200% |
| 9. For a class 9 physician | : | | | | |

| Aggregate Indemnity | e Indemnity Number of Closed Claims Durin Review Period | | | | |
|---|--|----|-----|------|------|
| During Review Period | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Up to \$1,861,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$1,861,001 to \$2,616,000 | 0% | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$2,616,001 to \$4,467,000 | 0% | 0% | 25% | 50% | 75% |
| \$4,467,001 to | 0% | 0% | 50% | 75% | 100% |
| \$10,294,000 Greater than \$10,294,000 | 0% | 0% | 75% | 100% | 200% |

SECTION 2. Ins 17.28 (6s) is repealed and recreated to read:

Ins 17.28 (6s) SURCHARGE. (a) This subsection implements s. 655.27 (3) (bg) 1., Stats., requiring the establishment of an automatic increase in provider's fund fee based on loss and expense experience.

(b) In this subsection:

1. "Aggregate indemnity" has the meaning giving under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (a).

2. "Closed claim" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (b).

3. "Provider" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (d)

4. "Review period" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (e)

(c) The following tables shall be used in making the determinations required

under s. Ins 17.285 as to the percentage increase in a provider's fund fee:

1. For a class 1 physician or a nurse anesthetist:

| Aggregate Indemnity | Number | | ed Claims w Period | During |
|--|--------|-----|-----------------------|--------|
| During Review Period | | | | 4 or |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | more |
| Up to\$\$118,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$118,001 to\$\$585,000 | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$585,001 to\$1,571,000 | 0% | 25% | 50% | 100% |
| Greater Than ^{\$1,571,000} | 0% | 75% | 100% | 200% |

2. For a class 2 physician:

| Aggregate Indemnity | Number | | d Claims w Period | During |
|--|--------|-----|----------------------|--------|
| During Review Period | | | | 4 or |
| - | 1 | 2 | 3 | more |
| Up to\$226,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$226,001 to\$\$859,000 | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$859,001 to\$2,212,000 | 0% | 25% | 50% | 100% |
| Greater Than ^{\$2,212,000} | 0% | 50% | 100% | 200% |

3. For a class 3 physician:

| | Number of Closed Claims During | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|
| Aggregate Indemnity | Review Period | | | | |
| During Review Period | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Up to \$676,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$676,001 to \$1,066,000 | 0% | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$1,066,001 to \$1,822,000 | 0% | 0% | 25% | 50% | 75% |
| \$1,822,001 to \$3,996,000 | 0% | 0% | 50% | 75% | 100% |
| Greater than \$3,996,000 | 0% | 0% | 75% | 100% | 200% |
| 4. For a class 4 physician | n: | | | | |

| | | | | | - 0 |
|----------------------------|---------------|----|-----|------|------|
| Aggregate Indemnity | Review Period | | | | |
| During Review Period | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Up to \$931,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| \$931,001 to \$1,451,000 | 0% | 0% | 10% | 25% | 50% |
| \$1,451,001 to \$2,467,000 | 0% | 0% | 25% | 50% | 75% |
| \$2,467,001 to \$5,179,000 | 0% | 0% | 50% | 75% | 100% |
| Greater than \$5,179,000 | 0% | 0% | 75% | 100% | 200% |
| | | | | | |

SECTION 5 <u>EFFECTIVE DATE</u>. This rule will take effect on July 1, 2007.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, this ____ day of _____ 2007.

Sean Dilweg Commissioner of Insurance

Section 1.

Office of the Commissioner of Insurance Private Sector Fiscal Analysis

for Rule Ins 17.25 (12m) and 17.28 (6s) peer review surcharge rates relating to annual injured patients and families compensation fund fees and wisconsin health care liability insurance plan premium rates

The changes in the surcharge rates promulgated by this rule do not result in a significant fiscal effect on the private sector. The cost of fund coverage is a very small portion of the expenses incurred by health care providers. The plan insures a relatively small number of health care provider, approximately 300, and therefore the potential for any significant impact is very minimal.

These surcharge rates have not been revised since the implementation of the peer review surcharge in 1980. Although a health care provider may pass any increase resulting from a surcharge on to its patients, there will not be a significant fiscal effect on the private sector as a result of this proposed rule.

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

| X ORIGINAL | UPDATED | LRB Number | Amendment No. if Applicable |
|------------|---------|-------------|--|
| | | Bill Number | Administrative Rule Number INS 17.25 and INS 17.28 |

Subject

annual injured patients and families compensation fund fees for fiscal year beginning July 1, 2006

One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): None

| | Annualized Costs: | Annualized Fiscal in | npact on State funds from: |
|----------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------|
| A State Costs by C | | Increased Costs | Decreased Costs |
| State Operation | ons - Salaries and Fringes | \$ 0 | \$-0 |
| (FTE Position | Changes) | (0 FTE) | (-0 FTE) |
| State Operation | ons - Other Costs | 0 | -0 |
| Local Assista | nce | 0 | -0 |
| Aids to Individ | uals or Organizations | 0 | -0 |
| TOTAL S | tate Costs by Category | \$ 0 | \$-0 |
| B. State Costs by Se | ource of Funds | Increased Costs | Decreased Costs |
| GPR | | \$ 0 | \$-0 |
| FED | | 0 | -0 |
| PRO/PRS | | 0 | -0 |
| SEG/SEG-S | | 0 | -0 |
| C. State Revenues | Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state | Increased Rev. | Decreased Rev. |
| GPR Taxes | revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) | \$ 0 | \$-0 |
| GPR Earned | | 0 | -0 |
| FED | | 0 | -0 |
| PRO/PRS | | 0 | -0 |
| SEG/SEG-S | | 0 | -0 |
| TOTAL S | tate Revenues | \$ 0 None | \$ -0 None |

| NET CHANGE IN COSTS | <u>م</u> | None U | ۵ | None | 0 |
|------------------------|----------|--------|----|------|---|
| NET CHANGE IN REVENUES | \$ | None 0 | \$ | None | 0 |

| Prepared by: | Telephone No. | Agency |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Theresa Wedekind | 608-266-0953 | IPFCF/OCII |
| Authorized Signature: | Telephone No. | Date (mm/dd/ccyy) 1/4/2007 |

FISCAL ESTIMATE

| | DATED | LRB Numl | ber | Amendment No. if Applicable | |
|--|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | PPLEMENTAL | Bill Numbe | | Administrative Rule Number INS 17.25 and Ins 17.28 | |
| Subject annual injured patients and f | amilies compensation | fund fees for | fiscal year begi | inning July 1, 2007 | |
| Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb or affects a sum sufficient appropriation. Within Agency's Budget Yes No Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Create New Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs | | | | | |
| Local: X No local government costs 1. Increase Costs Permissive I Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory | 3. Increase Revenues Permissive 4. Decrease Revenues | Mandatory Mandatory | Towns Counties School Distr | | |
| | PRS 🗌 SEG 🗌 SEG-S | Affected Ch | napter 20 Appropria | ations | |
| Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate The Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund (IPFCF or Fund) is a segregated fund. Annual Fund fees are established to become effective each July 1 based on actuarial estimates of the Fund's needs for payment of medical malpractice claims. Health care providers may have a surcharge levied on their fund fees based upon claims experience, pursuant to a recommendation by the Fund's Peer Review Council. The proposed revisions to the surcharge tables were approved by the Fund's Board of Governors at its December 14, 2005 meeting. The Fund is a unique fund; there are no other funds like it in the country. The WI Fund provides unlimited liability coverage and participation is mandatory. These two features make this Fund unique compared to funds in other states. The only persons who will be affected by this rule change are the Fund participants themselves as the IPFCF is fully funded through assessments paid by Fund participants. | | | | | |
| The Wisconsin Health Care Liability Insurance Plan was created by statute and is a licensed insurance company. The insurance operations are funded by premiums paid by insured health care providers. | | | | | |
| There is no effect on GPR. | | | | | |
| Long-Range Fiscal Implications | | | | | |
| None | | | | | |
| Prepared by: Theresa Wedekind | Telephone N (608) | lo.) 266-0953 | | Agency IPFCF | |
| Authorized Signature: | Telephone N | ło. | | Date (mm/dd/ccyy) 1-4-07 | |