### PROPOSED ORDER OF THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE CREATING A RULE

**To create** Ins 9.25 (8) and 9.27 (4), Wis. Adm. Code, relating to preferred provider plan applicability dates and affecting small business.

### ANALYSIS PREPARED BY THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE (OCI)

#### 1. Statutes interpreted:

Sections 600.01, 632.85, and ch. 609, Stats.

### 2. Statutory authority:

Sections 600.01(2), 601.41(3), 601.42, and 609.20, Stats.

## 3. Explanation of the OCI's authority to promulgate the proposed rule under these statutes:

The Commissioner of Insurance is authorized to promulgate rules under ss. 601.41 and 609.20, Stats. Section 609.20, Stats., permits the Commissioner to promulgate rules relating to preferred provider plans and defined network plans in order to ensure enrollee access to health care services and ensure continuity of health care while recognizing the differences between preferred provider plans and defined network plans.

### 4. Related Statutes or rules:

There are no related statutes or rules.

### 5. The plain language analysis and summary of the proposed rule:

The proposed rule changes the applicability dates for ss. Ins 9.25 and 9.27, Wis. Adm. Code, and specifies that insurers offering preferred provider plans that are issued prior to January 1, 2007 and periodically renewed without substantive modification after December 31, 2006 that would otherwise be affected by ss. Ins 9.25 and 9.27, Wis. Adm. Code, will be grandfathered from compliance with those new requirements. The requirements of ss. Ins 9.25 and 9.27, Wis. Adm. Code, will be applicable to an insurer offering a preferred provider plan on or after January 1, 2007. Sections 9.25 (8) and 9.27 (4), Wis. Adm. Code, may be enforced under ss. 601.41, 601.64, 601.65, Stats., or ch. 645, Stats., or any other enforcement provision of chs. 600 to 646, Stats.

6. Summary of and preliminary comparison with any existing or proposed federal regulation that is intended to address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rule:

There is no federal regulation that addresses the activities regulated by the proposed rule.

### 7. Comparison of similar rules in adjacent states as found by OCI:

Illinois: None Iowa: None Michigan: None Minnesota: None

### 8. A summary of the factual data and analytical methodologies that OCI used in support of the proposed rule and how any related findings support the regulatory approach chosen for the proposed rule:

The information OCI used in support of this proposed rule includes the information described in the analysis of Clearinghouse Rule 05-059. However more specifically it includes the information provided by representatives of the insurance industry and preferred provider organizations to Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules and OCI concerning the topic addressed by the proposed rule.

# 9. Any analysis and supporting documentation that OCI used in support of OCI's determination of the rule's effect on small businesses under s. 227.114:

This rule does not impose any additional requirements on small businesses. Its effect will be to limit requirements otherwise applied by rules currently in effect, including Clearinghouse rule 05-059. This is apparent from the proposed rule itself and the summary.

# 10. If these changes may have a significant fiscal effect on the private sector, the anticipated costs that will be incurred by private sector in complying with the rule:

This rule will not have a significant fiscal effect on the private sector. Its effect will be to limit requirements otherwise applied by rules currently in effect, including Clearinghouse rule 05-059.

### 11. A description of the Effect on Small Business:

This rule will have an effect on small businesses only by limiting requirements otherwise applied by rules currently in effect, including Clearinghouse rule 05-059.

### The proposed rule changes are:

### SECTION 1. Section Ins 9.25 (8) is created to read:

Ins 9.25 (8) This section first applies to an insurer offering a preferred provider plan beginning on January 1, 2007. This section does not apply to an insurer with respect to a preferred provider plan issued prior to January 1, 2007 and periodically renewed after December 31, 2006.

### SECTION 2. Section Ins 9.27 (4) is created to read:

Ins 9.27 (4) This section first applies to an insurer offering a preferred provider plan beginning on January 1, 2007. This section does not apply to an insurer with respect to a preferred provider plan issued prior to January 1, 2007 and periodically renewed after December 31, 2006.

**SECTION 3.** Sections 9.25 (8) and 9.27 (4), Wis. Adm. Code, may be enforced under ss. 601.41, 601.64, 601.65, Stats., or ch. 645, Stats., or any other enforcement provision of chs. 600 to 646, Stats.

**SECTION 4.** EFFECTIVE DATE. This rule shall take effect on the first day of the month following publication in the Wisconsin administrative register as provided in s. 227.22 (2) (intro.), Stats.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, this \_\_\_\_ day of January, 2007.

Sean Dilweg Commissioner

### Office of the Commissioner of Insurance Private Sector Fiscal Analysis

for Rule Sections Ins 9.25 (8) and 9.27 (4), Wis. Adm. Code, relating to preferred provider plan applicability dates and affecting small business.

This rule change will have no significant effect on the private sector regulated by OCI.

Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2047 (R10/2000)

### **FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET**

**Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect** 

X ORIGINAL	LRB Number	Amendment No. if Applicable	
	Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number INS 9.25 and 9.27	

Subject

#### preferred provider plan limited exemption

One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): None

Annualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from			
A.	State Costs by Ca			Increased Costs		Decreased Costs
	State Operatio	ns - Salaries and Fringes	\$	0	\$	-0
	(FTE Position	Changes)		( <b>0</b> FTE)		( <b>-0</b> FTE)
	State Operatio	ns - Other Costs		0		-0
	Local Assistan	се		0		-0
	Aids to Individu	als or Organizations		0		-0
	TOTAL St	ate Costs by Category	\$	0	\$	-0
В.	State Costs by So	urce of Funds		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs
	GPR		\$	0	\$	-0
	FED			0		-0
	PRO/PRS			0		-0
	SEG/SEG-S			0		-0
C.	State Revenues	Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state		Increased Rev.		Decreased Rev.
	GPR Taxes	revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	\$	0	\$	-0
	GPR Earned			0		-0
	FED			0		-0
	PRO/PRS			0		-0
	SEG/SEG-S			0		-0
		ate Revenues	\$	0 None	\$	-0 None

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT							
	<u>STATE</u>				LOCAL		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	None	0	\$		None	0
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$	None	0	\$ <u></u>		None	0

Prepared by:	Telephone No.	Agency		
Julie E. Walsh	(608) 264-8101	Insurance		
Authorized Signature:	Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)		

Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R10/2000)

FISCAL ESTIMATE

	LRB N	umber	Amendment No. if Applicable		
CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	Bill Nu	mber	Administrative Rule Number INS 9.25 and 9.27		
Subject preferred provider plan limited exempt	ion				
	priation ase Existing Revenues ease Existing Revenues		- May be possible to Absorb Budget		
Permissive     Mandatory     F       2.     Decrease Costs     4.     Dec	ease Revenues Permissive	5. Types of Loca Towns Counties School Dis	al Governmental Units Affected: Uillages Cities Others tricts WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected       Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations         GPR       FED       PRO       PRS       SEG       SEG-S					
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate					
Long-Range Fiscal Implications None					
Prepared by: Julie E. Walsh	Telephone No. (608) 264-8101		Agency Insurance		
Authorized Signature:	Telephone No.		Date (mm/dd/ccyy)		