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## Open Enrollment Program

Under the provisions of 1997 Act 27, since the 1998-99 school year, a pupil has been able to attend a public school located outside his or her school district of residence, if the pupil's parent or guardian complies with certain application dates and procedures. As created under 1997 Act 27, there was a three-week period in February during which a pupil's parent could apply to open enroll the pupil in another district in the following year. Under 2011 Act 114, the period of time during which any parent could apply under the regular application procedure was expanded to three months, and an alternative procedure was created under which the parent of a pupil could apply to open enroll at any point in the school year, if the pupil meets certain criteria.

A pupil can attend a prekindergarten, four-year-old kindergarten, early childhood or schooloperated child care program outside his or her district of residence under open enrollment only if the pupil's district of residence offers the same type of program that the pupil wishes to attend and the pupil is eligible to attend that program in his or her school district of residence.

Under certain circumstances, a pupil may continue to attend school in his or her previous school district of residence for a limited time after moving out of that district without applying under the open enrollment program.

## Regular Application Procedure

Under the regular application procedure, the pupil's parent must submit an application to the school district that the pupil wishes to attend between the first Monday in February and the last weekday in April of the school year immediately preceding the school year in which he or she wishes to attend. Parents are prohibited from
submitting applications to more than three nonresident school districts in any school year. The application may include a request to attend a specific school or program offered by the district that the pupil wishes to attend.

The nonresident school board is required to send a copy of the application to the resident school board and the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) by the end of the first weekday following the last weekday in April. School boards cannot act on applications before May 1. If the number of applications received for a particular grade or program exceeds the availability of space, the district is required to select pupils on a random basis after first giving preference to pupils who are already attending school in the district and their siblings. If the board determines that space is otherwise not available for open enrollment pupils in a particular grade or program, the board may still accept an applicant who is already attending school in the district or a sibling of the applicant. If the nonresident district is a union high school (UHS) district, the district must also give preference to or still accept pupils who are attending an underlying K-8 district under open enrollment.

By the first Friday following the first Monday in June, the nonresident school board must notify the applicant in writing whether the application has been accepted. If the application is accepted, the nonresident school board must also identify the specific school or program that the pupil may attend in the following school year. If the board rejects an application, it must include the reason for the rejection in the notice. By the second Friday following the first Monday in June, if the resident board denies a pupil's enrollment in a nonresident district, it must notify the applicant and the nonresident board in writing and include the
reason for the denial in the notice.
By the last Friday in June, the pupil's parent must notify the nonresident school board of the pupil's intent to attend school in that district in the following school year. Annually by July 7, each school board accepting nonresident pupils must notify the resident district of the names of the pupils from that district who will be attending the nonresident district in the following school year.

A nonresident school board may create a waiting list of pupils whose applications were initially rejected by the district. If pupils previously accepted by the nonresident district do not attend school in that district, the nonresident district may permit applicants on the waiting list to attend the district.

The nonresident district may accept pupils from a waiting list until the third Thursday in September, but only if the pupil will be in attendance at the school or program in the nonresident district on the third Friday in September. The pupil's parent must notify the nonresident district of the pupil's intent to attend school in that district within 10 days of receiving notice of acceptance from a waiting list. If a pupil is accepted from a waiting list after the start of the school term, the parent must immediately notify the resident district of the pupil's intent to attend school in the nonresident district for the current school term.

A pupil accepted from a waiting list may attend the school or program in the nonresident district even if the pupil has attended a school or program in the pupil's resident district in the current school term, but not if the pupil has attended a school or program in a nonresident district in the current school term.

Under DPI administrative rule, if a school board creates a waiting list, it must establish a procedure to create a numbered waiting list of
applicants and notify parents if a pupil has been accepted from the waiting list. The notification must include the school or program to which the pupil will be assigned and the date by which the parent must notify the nonresident board whether the pupil will attend the nonresident district, and the procedures the parent must follow to do so. The school board must provide at least 10 calendar days from the date the notice was mailed or verbally provided for the parent to respond.

## Alternative Application Procedure

An alternative procedure was created under 2011 Act 114 in which a pupil may apply for an exception to the regular application period. The parent of a pupil may apply to attend a public school in a nonresident school district under open enrollment at any point in the school year if the pupil meets one of the following seven criteria:

1. The resident district determines that the pupil has been the victim of a violent criminal offense, as defined by DPI in rule. An application made on the basis of this criteria is not valid unless the nonresident district receives the application within 30 days after the determination of the resident district.
2. The pupil is or has been a homeless pupil in the current or immediately preceding school year. A homeless pupil is defined as an individual who is included in the category of homeless children and youths as defined in the federal McKinney-Vento Act.
3. The pupil has been the victim of repeated bullying or harassment. Under this criteria, the pupil's parent are required to have reported the bullying or harassment to the resident district, and that, despite any actions taken, the repeated bullying and harassment continued.
4. The place of residence of the pupil's parent and of the pupil has changed as a result of military orders. An application made on the basis
of this criteria is not valid unless the nonresident district receives the application no later than 30 days after the date on which the military orders changing the place of residence were issued.
5. The pupil has moved into the state. An application made on the basis of this criteria is not valid unless the nonresident district receives the application no later than 30 days after moving into this state.
6. The place of residence of the pupil has changed as a result of a court order or custody agreement or because the pupil was placed in a foster home or with a person other than the pupil's parent, or removed from a foster home or from the home of a person other than the pupil's parent. An application made on the basis of this criteria is not valid unless the nonresident district receives the application no later than 30 days after the pupil's change in residence.
7. The parent of the pupil and the resident and nonresident districts agree that attending school in the nonresident district is in the best interests of the pupil. If the resident district does not agree, the parent may appeal the resident district's decision to DPI and must explain in the appeal why the pupil applied to attend school in the nonresident school district. The resident district must then respond to the appeal and provide an explanation for rejecting the pupil's transfer into the nonresident district. If DPI determines that the resident district's decision to deny the pupil's transfer into the nonresident district is not in the best interests of the pupil, the Department must notify the resident and nonresident districts and the pupil's parent that the pupil may attend the nonresident district. The department's determination in this process is final.

Under the alternative procedure, applications may be submitted by parents to no more than three nonresident districts in any school year.

A nonresident district that receives an application under the alternative procedure must immediately forward a copy of the application to the resident district. The nonresident district must notify the parent, in writing, whether it has accepted the application no later than 20 days after receiving it. If the nonresident district accepts an application, it must identify the specific school or program that the pupil may attend.

If an application is accepted by the nonresident district, the pupil may immediately begin attending school in the nonresident district and must begin attending no later than the 15th day following receipt by the parent of the pupil of the notice of acceptance. If the pupil has not enrolled in or attended school in the nonresident district by the specified day, the nonresident district may notify the pupil's parent, in writing, that the pupil is no longer authorized to attend the nonresident district.

## Special Education

If the parent of a child with a disability applies under the regular application procedure, the resident district must send the nonresident district a copy of the individualized education program (IEP) for the child by the first Friday following the first Monday in May. Prior to 2015 Act 55, the nonresident district was required to prepare an estimate of the costs to provide the special education or related services required in the IEP for the child and provide a copy of that estimate to the resident district. If the nonresident district did not comply with the requirement to provide the estimate, it could not charge the resident district for any actual, additional costs it incurred to provide the special education services. Act 55 deleted these provisions.

## Disciplinary Records

By the first Friday following the first Monday in May, a resident district is required to provide the following information to a nonresident dis-
trict to which a pupil has applied under the regular procedure: (a) a copy of any expulsion findings and orders pertaining to the pupil; (b) a copy of any records of pending disciplinary proceeding involving the pupil; (c) a written record of the reasons for the expulsion or pending disciplinary proceeding; and (d) the length of the term of the expulsion or the possible outcomes of the pending disciplinary proceeding.

Under the alternative procedure, the resident district must provide the nonresident district these same disciplinary records within ten days of receiving an application.

## Nonresident District Acceptance Criteria

When the open enrollment program began, each school board was required to adopt a resolution specifying criteria for accepting and rejecting applications, reapplication requirements, required preferences, racial balance limitations if applicable, resident school district transfer limitations, and transportation policies. If a school board wishes to revise its criteria, it must do so by resolution. For school districts' acceptance and rejection criteria, any of the following are permitted under both the regular and alternative procedure:

1. The availability of space in the schools, programs, classes, or grades in the nonresident district. A school board is required to determine the number of regular and special education spaces available in the district at its January meeting. In determining the availability of space, a board may use criteria such as class size limits, pupil-teacher ratios, or enrollment projections established by the board. For these purposes, the board may include the following in its count of occupied spaces: (a) pupils attending the nonresident school district when tuition is paid by other school districts; (b) pupils and siblings of pupils who have applied and are already attending school in the district; and (c) for UHS districts, pupils who have applied and are currently attending an underlying K-8 district under open en-
rollment.
2. Whether the pupil has been expelled from any school district in the current or two preceding school years for any of the following or whether a disciplinary proceeding involving the pupil, which is based on any of the following, is pending:
a. conveying or causing to be conveyed a threat or false information concerning an attempt or alleged attempt to destroy school property with explosives;
b. engaging in conduct at school or while under the supervision of a school authority that endangered the health, safety, or property of others;
c. engaging in conduct while not at school or under the supervision of a school authority that endangered the health, safety, or property of others at school or under the supervision of a school authority or of any employee or school board member of the pupil's school district;
d. possessing a dangerous weapon while at school or while under the supervision of a school authority.

The nonresident school district's criteria may provide that, notwithstanding its acceptance of an application, at any time prior to the beginning of the school year in which the pupil will first attend the nonresident district, the nonresident district may notify the pupil that he or she may not attend the district if any of these disciplinary criteria are met.
3. Whether the special education or related services described in the IEP for a child with disabilities are available in the nonresident school district or whether there is space available to provide the special education or related services identified in the child's IEP, including any class size limits, pupil-teacher ratios, or enrollment
projections established by the nonresident school board.
4. Whether the child has been referred to his or her resident school district as potentially having a disability or has been identified by his or her resident district as having a disability, but has not yet been evaluated by an IEP team from the resident district.
5. Whether the nonresident school board determined that the pupil was habitually truant from the nonresident district during any semester of attendance in the nonresident district in the current or previous school year. If a nonresident school board determines that a current open enrollment pupil is habitually truant during a semester in the current school year, the board may prohibit that pupil from attending the nonresident district in a succeeding semester or school year, subject to appeal.

## Transfers Prohibited by District of Residence

In 1998-99, a school board was allowed by law to limit the number of resident pupils attending public school in another district to $3 \%$ of the resident district's membership. In each of the seven succeeding school years, the threshold was increased by an additional $1 \%$, up to a maximum of $10 \%$ in the eighth year (2005-06). Beginning with the 2006-07 school year, no percentage limit can be imposed by the resident district.

Prior to 2015 Act 55, a school board could generally prohibit a resident pupil from attending school in another district under open enrollment if the pupil was a child with disabilities and the costs of the special education program or services required in the child's IEP that would be provided by the nonresident district would have imposed an undue financial burden on the resident district, which had to pay tuition for the child. Act 55 deleted this provision.

Under the alternative procedure, the resident
district can prohibit a transfer if it determines that the criteria relied on by the applicant for the exception does not apply to the pupil.

## Reapplication Requirements

If a pupil's parent notifies the board of a nonresident school district that the pupil intends to attend school in that district in the following year, the pupil can attend that district in that and following years without reapplying. However, the nonresident school board can require reapplication, no more than once, when the pupil enters middle school, junior high school, or high school.

If, at any time, the pupil wishes to attend a school in a district other than the district of attendance or residence, the pupil's parent must follow the application procedure set out above.

Under 2015 Act 55, school districts are allowed to enter into a whole grade sharing agreement, which would generally allow the districts to consolidate pupils in a particular grade level by offering that grade in only one of the participating districts. By law, if a whole grade sharing agreement provides for a pupil to attend a grade in a nonresident school district and the pupil is already open enrolled in that district, the pupil's open enrollment status would be suspended for that year. This does not prevent a pupil from continuing to attend the nonresident district in succeeding school years without reapplying under the program.

## Relationship to the Chapter 220 Program

State law specifies that a school district that is eligible for interdistrict or intradistrict Chapter 220 (integration) aid may not accept an application for transfer into or out of the school district under open enrollment if the transfer would increase racial imbalance in the school district. However, an opinion of the Attorney General indicated that this provision is inconsistent with the equal protection guarantees of the U.S. Constitu-
tion as applied by the U.S. Supreme Court in cases dealing with pupil assignment plans in other school districts.

A nonresident school district that receives applications for transfer into the district under both the Chapter 220 program and the open enrollment program must accept or reject all Chapter 220 applications before it accepts or rejects open enrollment applications. Under 2015 Act 55, the Chapter 220 program started to be phased out beginning in the 2015-16 school year.

## Assignment of Pupil

A nonresident school district may assign pupils accepted under open enrollment to a school or program within the district. The nonresident district may give preference to resident pupils who live outside the school's attendance area.

## Appeal of Rejection

If an application is rejected by the nonresident school district under the regular procedure or the pupil's attendance is prohibited by the resident district under either the regular or alternative procedure, with one exception, the pupil's parent can appeal the decision to DPI within 30 days after the decision. Nonresident district denials under the alternative procedure cannot be appealed to DPI. The exception for resident district denials relates to the "best interest of the pupil" exception under the alternative procedure, which is described above under that criteria. DPI is required to affirm the school board's decision unless it finds that the decision was arbitrary or unreasonable.

If a nonresident board prohibits a pupil from attending the nonresident district because it has determined the pupil is habitually truant, the pupil's parent can appeal that decision to DPI within 30 days as well.

## Special Education

If a child with disabilities attends school in another district under the program, the responsibility for providing special education to that pupil is as follows:

1. Each school district is responsible for screening each child residing in the district to determine if there is reasonable cause to believe that the child has disabilities. In addition, if a child who is participating in the open enrollment program is identified pursuant to the screening, the resident school board must provide the name of the child and related information to the nonresident board.
2. Resident and nonresident districts must notify each other of the names of, and related information about, pupils participating in the open enrollment program who are reported to them by specified persons who have reasonable cause to believe that the pupil is a child with disabilities. The nonresident district is responsible for the IEP team evaluation. When the nonresident district's IEP team conducts the initial evaluation or a reevaluation of the child or develops the child's IEP, the team must include at least one person designated by the resident school board who has knowledge or special expertise about the child.
3. The nonresident district is responsible for providing an appropriate educational placement for the child. However, if the IEP for a pupil is developed or revised after the pupil begins attending the nonresident district, and that IEP requires special education or related services that are not available in the nonresident district or there is no space available to provide the services, the nonresident school board may notify the pupil's parent and the pupil's resident school board of this. If such notice is provided, the pupil must then be transferred to his or her resident district, which must then provide an educational placement for the pupil that meets the requirements of his or her IEP. The parent of the pupil
can appeal such a transfer to DPI within 30 days after receipt of the notice. DPI is required to affirm the school board's decision, unless DPI finds that the decision was arbitrary or unreasonable.

Prior to 2015 Act 55, the resident school board was able to initiate a similar transfer if the costs of the special education or related services required in the revised IEP, as implemented by the nonresident district, would impose an undue financial burden upon the resident district. Act 55 deleted this provision.

## Transportation

The pupil's parent is responsible for transporting the pupil to and from the school, except that if a child with disabilities requires transportation under the IEP, the nonresident district must provide transportation for the child. However, a school district is allowed to provide transportation, including to and from summer classes, for any nonresident or resident pupil participating in the open enrollment program. The nonresident district cannot provide transportation for a pupil to or from a location in the resident district unless the resident district approves. The nonresident district is eligible for state categorical transportation aid.

Parents of pupils who satisfy the income eligibility criteria for a free or reduced-price lunch may apply to DPI for reimbursement of transportation costs. DPI determines the reimbursement amount, which may not exceed the parent's actual costs or three times the statewide average per pupil transportation costs, whichever is less. If the amount of funding appropriated by the state in a given year is insufficient to pay the full amount of approved claims in that year, payments are prorated. By the second Friday following the first Monday in May, DPI is required to provide each parent an estimate of the amount of reimbursement that the parent will receive in the following school year.

In 2015-16, $\$ 434,200$ was provided from the general fund for these payments. In that year, 2,392 open enrollment pupils received transportation aid. Payments were prorated at $20.1 \%$ of approved claims.

## Rights of Pupils

With one exception, a pupil attending school in a nonresident school district under open enrollment has all the rights and privileges of resident pupils and is subject to the same rules and regulations as resident pupils. The exception is that an open enrollment pupil may not file a complaint in which the pupil objects to the use of a race-based nickname, logo, mascot, or team name by the nonresident district.

Pupils attending a nonresident school district are considered to be residents of that district for the purpose of participation in programs of a cooperative educational service agency or a county children with disabilities education board.

## Revenue Limits and State Aid Adjustments

The resident district counts a pupil transferring to another district under open enrollment in its pupil membership for revenue limits and general aids. In other words, the resident district receives revenue limit authority and general aid as though the pupil were enrolled in that school district.

During the history of the open enrollment program, funding for regular education pupils has been provided to the nonresident district through general aid transfers from the resident district. Through 2015-16, funding for special education pupils was provided through a tuition payment from the resident district to the nonresident district. Under 2015 Act 55, beginning in 2016-17, funding for special education pupils will be provided in a manner similar to that for regular education pupils.

For each regular education pupil, a specified amount of state aid is transferred from the resident district to the nonresident district. Prior to 2013 Act 20, this per pupil transfer amount was equal to the statewide average school district cost per pupil for regular instruction, co-curricular activities, instructional support services, and pupil support services for the prior school year, as determined by DPI. Under Act 20, the per pupil transfer amount was increased by $\$ 150$ from the prior year amount for both 2013-14 and 2014-15. Also under Act 20, beginning in 2015-16 and in each year thereafter, the per pupil transfer amount is equal to the sum of the transfer amount in the previous year plus the per pupil revenue limit adjustment for the current year, if positive, plus the change in the amount of statewide categorical aid per pupil between the previous year and the current year, if positive.

A school district's equalization aid is increased or decreased by an amount equal to the per pupil transfer amount multiplied by the district's net gain or loss of pupils under the open enrollment program. For pupils that attend for less than a full school term, DPI prorates the state aid adjustments. DPI is required to ensure that the aid adjustment between districts does not affect the amount of equalization aid determined to be received by a district for any other purposes.

If a district experiences a net loss of pupils under the program and does not receive an equalization aid payment sufficient to cover the net transfer payment, the balance is paid from other state aid received by the district. If the amount of equalization aid and other state aid received by the district is insufficient to cover the net transfer payments, then the balance is paid from the state tuition payments appropriation.

Prior to 2016-17, for a pupil enrolled in a program for children with disabilities, the resident district was required to pay tuition to the nonresident district. Under federal special education law, the payment was limited to the sum of the regular
education open enrollment transfer amount and any actual, additional special education costs the district would incur to educate the student. Under 2015 Act 55, beginning in 2016-17, funding for special education pupils will be provided in a manner similar to the aid transfer mechanism used for regular education pupils. Act 55 specified that the aid transfer amount for special education pupil would be $\$ 12,000$ per pupil in 201617 and would be adjusted each year by the same indexing mechanism as the transfer amount for regular education pupils.

State aid adjustments are not considered in determining a school district's revenue limit. In other words, a district that has a net gain in pupils under open enrollment would receive a net positive aid transfer that would not be included in that district's revenues that are subject to its revenue limit. A district with a net loss of pupils would experience a net negative aid transfer and would not be able to increase its property tax levy to compensate for the aid loss.

A revenue limit adjustment was created under 2011 Act 114 related to open enrollment pupils that are not included in the resident district's enrollment. This nonrecurring adjustment is equal to the amount of any aid transfer in the previous year for any open enrollment pupil who was not included in the district's revenue limit enrollment count on the third Friday of September in the previous school year.

Table 1 provides data on the per pupil transfer amount and the total amount of aid transferred between school districts under the open enrollment program since its inception. The $\$ 303.2$ million in aid transferred between districts in 2015-16 represents $6.8 \%$ of the $\$ 4,476.0$ million appropriated for general school aid in that year.

As shown in Table 1, the per pupil transfer amount increased each year except for one during the period in which the transfer amount was set equal to the statewide average of the four cost

Table 1: Open Enrollment State Aid Adjustments

|  | Per Pupil <br> Transfer Amount | Total Aid <br> Transferred <br> (in Millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1998-99$ | $\$ 4,543$ | $\$ 9.6$ |
| $1999-00$ | 4,703 | 19.6 |
| $2000-01$ | 4,828 | 30.5 |
| $2001-02$ | 5,059 | 42.4 |
| $2002-03$ | 5,241 | 57.4 |
| $2003-04$ | 5,446 | 73.9 |
| $2004-05$ | 5,496 | 88.0 |
| $2005-06$ | 5,682 | 104.0 |
| $2006-07$ | 5,845 | 118.7 |
| $2007-08$ | 6,007 | 135.1 |
| $2008-09$ | 6,225 | 151.2 |
| $2009-10$ | 6,498 | 178.4 |
| $2010-11$ | 6,665 | 196.2 |
| $2011-12$ | 6,867 | 217.6 |
| $2012-13$ | 6,335 | 235.1 |
| $2013-14$ | 6,485 | 266.4 |
| $2014-15$ | 6,635 | 289.6 |
| $2015-16$ | 6,639 | 303.2 |
| $2016-17$ | 6,748 | N.A. |

categories. A $5.5 \%$ reduction in base revenue per pupil under school district revenue limits in 2011-12 and the related decrease in school district expenditures contributed to the reduction in the open enrollment transfer amount in 2012-13.

## Virtual Charter Schools

Virtual charter schools have been operating in the state through the open enrollment program since 2002-03. In a virtual charter school, a school district may choose to contract with a private company to create an internet-based school that provides online curriculum and instruction for enrolled students. Access to instructional staff is provided by the virtual school, with pupils and staff geographically remote from each other. Pupils generally complete course work independently under the supervision of their parents.

Students who want to enroll in a virtual charter school created by a nonresident district must
follow the application procedures under open enrollment, and districts must also follow the notification deadlines under the program. Revenue limit enrollment provisions and state aid adjustments under the program also apply to pupils enrolled in virtual charters. Thus, the contract costs for the services of a private curriculum provider can be funded by the open enrollment aid transfers received from the pupils' districts of residence.

Given the growth of virtual charter schools and to address a court ruling concerning their operation, legislation specifically related to such schools was passed as 2007 Act 222 . Act 222 clarified the statutory provisions under which virtual charters operate and placed additional requirements on the various parties involved in the operation of the schools.

Act 222 also modified some of the provisions of open enrollment with respect to virtual charters. The act specifies that the open enrollment application form prepared by DPI must require a parent to state whether their child is applying to attend a virtual charter, the number of virtual charters to which they are applying, and whether the child is a sibling of a pupil currently enrolled in a virtual charter through open enrollment. That act also specified that, for the purposes of open enrollment, a virtual charter school is considered to be located in the district that contracts for the establishment of the school, or, if more than one district enters into an agreement to establish a school, the district specified in that agreement.

Further information on virtual charter schools and Act 222 can be found in the Legislative Fiscal Bureau's informational paper entitled "Charter Schools."

## Other DPI Requirements

DPI must prepare and distribute application forms for the program to school districts and make applications available to parents. The form
must allow parents to apply for low-income transportation reimbursement.

DPI must develop and implement an outreach program to educate parents about the open enrollment program, including activities specifically designed to educate low-income parents and services to answer parents' questions about the program and assist them in using the program.

DPI must also submit a report annually to the Governor and the appropriate standing committees of the Legislature with the following information:
a. the number of pupils who applied to attend school in a nonresident district under the program;
b. the number of applications received under the regular procedure and the alternative procedure, and, for the alternative procedure, the number using each of the seven possible criteria

Table 2: Open Enrollment Program Participation

|  | New Pupil <br> Transfers | Continuing <br> Pupil <br> Transfers | Total Pupil <br> Transfers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1998-99$ | 2,464 |  | 2,464 |
| $1999-00$ | 3,085 | 1,773 | 4,858 |
| $2000-01$ | 3,745 | 3,468 | 7,213 |
| $2001-02$ | 4,271 | 5,331 | 9,602 |
| $2002-03$ | 5,326 | 7,052 | 12,378 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $2003-04$ | 6,270 | 9,139 | 15,409 |
| $2004-05$ | 6,918 | 11,297 | 18,215 |
| $2005-06$ | 7,739 | 13,289 | 21,028 |
| $2006-07$ | 8,322 | 15,084 | 23,406 |
| $2007-08$ | 8,702 | 17,196 | 25,898 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $2008-09$ | 8,968 | 19,060 | 28,028 |
| $2009-10$ | 10,939 | 20,977 | 31,916 |
| $2010-11$ | 10,943 | 23,555 | 34,498 |
| $2011-12$ | 11,718 | 25,614 | 37,332 |
| $2012-13$ | 17,384 | 27,294 | 44,678 |
| $2013-14$ | 18,112 | 31,963 | 50,075 |
| $2014-15$ | 18,123 | 35,065 | 53,188 |
| $2015-16$ | 18,194 | 37,543 | 55,737 |

for an exception;
c. the number of applications denied and the reasons for the denials; and
d. the number of pupils attending school in a nonresident district under the program, specifying the number of pupils attending under the regular procedure and under the alternative procedure, and, for those pupils attending under the alternative procedure, the number attending under each of the seven possible criteria for an exception.

## Program Participation

Table 2 shows the number of pupils that have participated in the open enrollment program since it began in 1998-99. The table shows the number of pupils that first transferred under the program in a given year, as well as the number of pupils who first transferred in an earlier year and continued to attend a nonresident district in a given year. The 55,737 pupils transferring in 2015-16 represent $6.5 \%$ of the 2015-16 pupil membership of 854,402 that was used in calculating general aid in 2016-17.

Of the 55,737 pupils transferring in 2015-16, 7,315 were new transfers attending under the alternative application procedure. A total of 41,118 applications to transfer were made in 2015-16. Of those, 31,137 were submitted under the regular application procedure and 9,981 were submitted under the alternative application procedure. Table 3 shows the number of applications to transfer and total transfers made under each of the seven possible criteria for an exception under the alternative application procedure. Because applicants could choose more than one criteria, the totals shown for the criteria in Table 3 do not match the pupil totals for applications and transfers.

The appendix provides data compiled by DPI on the number of applications and transfers by school district under the open enrollment program. First, the appendix shows, for each district,

Table 3: 2015-16 Applications to Transfer and New Transfers for Each Criteria Under Alternative Application Procedure

|  | Applications to Transfer | New <br> Transfers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Victim of violent crime | 30 | 7 |
| Homeless pupil | 205 | 154 |
| Victim of bullying or harassment | 544 | 332 |
| Change of residence due to military orders | 15 | 13 |
| Pupil moved into state | 623 | 462 |
| Change of residence due to court order, custody agreement, or foster placement | 217 | 165 |
| Best interests of the pupil | 9,167 | 6,711 |
| Total | 10,801 | 7,844 |

the 2015-16 pupil membership numbers that were used in calculating general aid in 2016-17. Then, the appendix identifies the number of applications that were filed to transfer into and out of each district in 2015-16. Because parents may submit applications to up to three nonresident districts in any school year and applications may be made under both the regular and alternative procedures, the number of applications would not equal the number of individual pupils who applied under open enrollment. Finally, the appendix shows the number of pupil transfers into and out of each district, as well as the net pupil transfer for each, in 2015-16. These figures include pupils who applied in a previous year and continue to transfer into or out of the district.

As shown in the appendix, 41,118 applications were filed in 2015-16 under the open en-
rollment program. A total of 55,737 pupils transferred between school districts under the program in 2015-16, including 18,194 new pupil transfers and 37,543 continuing pupil transfers. As noted, most continuing pupils are not required to reapply under the program.

In the appendix, districts such as Appleton, McFarland, and Northern Ozaukee that operate a virtual charter school that enrolls pupils from around the state will have a relatively high number of applications to transfer in. (Further information on the districts operating virtual charter schools can be found in the Legislative Fiscal Bureau's informational paper entitled "Charter Schools.") Also, districts in suburban Milwaukee may also have a relatively high number of applications to transfer in from Milwaukee Public Schools' pupils.

## APPENDIX

## 2015-16 Open Enrollment Applications to Transfer and Total Transfers In and Out of School Districts

| School District | 2015-16 Pupil <br> Membership | Applications to Transfer |  |  | Total Transfers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In Less Out | In | Out | In Less Out |
| Abbotsford | 711 | 44 | 43 | 1 | 94 | 81 | 13 |
| Adams-Friendship Area | 1,647 | 29 | 57 | -28 | 24 | 81 | -57 |
| Albany | 423 | 6 | 53 | -47 | 10 | 96 | -86 |
| Algoma | 744 | 18 | 35 | -17 | 27 | 53 | -26 |
| Alma | 223 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 51 | 15 | 36 |
| Alma Center | 570 | 29 | 21 | 8 | 81 | 31 | 50 |
| Almond-Bancroft | 458 | 15 | 33 | -18 | 44 | 91 | -47 |
| Altoona | 1,516 | 93 | 81 | 12 | 222 | 134 | 88 |
| Amery | 1,584 | 51 | 47 | 4 | 85 | 140 | -55 |
| Antigo | 2,447 | 16 | 45 | -29 | 38 | 101 | -63 |
| Appleton Area | 15,397 | 1,574 | 481 | 1,093 | 1,639 | 587 | 1,052 |
| Arcadia | 1,239 | 21 | 14 | 7 | 46 | 33 | 13 |
| Argyle | 330 | 14 | 19 | -5 | 23 | 53 | -30 |
| Arrowhead UHS | 2,164 | 99 | 72 | 27 | 174 | 69 | 105 |
| Ashland | 2,147 | 33 | 44 | -11 | 65 | 85 | -20 |
| Ashwaubenon | 2,338 | 360 | 58 | 302 | 1,066 | 105 | 961 |
| Athens | 421 | 4 | 17 | -13 | 19 | 34 | -15 |
| Auburndale | 803 | 36 | 33 | 3 | 121 | 74 | 47 |
| Augusta | 610 | 54 | 27 | 27 | 67 | 55 | 12 |
| Baldwin-Woodville Area | 1,646 | 58 | 35 | 23 | 133 | 94 | 39 |
| Bangor | 594 | 25 | 48 | -23 | 69 | 85 | -16 |
| Baraboo | 3,043 | 84 | 128 | -44 | 117 | 163 | -46 |
| Barneveld | 448 | 9 | 12 | -3 | 40 | 38 | 2 |
| Barron Area | 1,458 | 96 | 74 | 22 | 141 | 229 | -88 |
| Bayfield | 412 | 17 | 20 | -3 | 13 | 42 | -29 |
| Beaver Dam | 3,537 | 65 | 81 | -16 | 142 | 104 | 38 |
| Beecher-Dunbar-Pembine | 246 | 7 | 17 | -10 | 10 | 19 | -9 |
| Belleville | 1,050 | 12 | 74 | -62 | 31 | 107 | -76 |
| Belmont Community | 363 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 47 | 36 | 11 |
| Beloit | 7,379 | 68 | 364 | -296 | 180 | 584 | -404 |
| Beloit Turner | 1,268 | 250 | 61 | 189 | 409 | 147 | 262 |
| Benton | 253 | 6 | 21 | -15 | 28 | 40 | -12 |
| Berlin Area | 1,586 | 28 | 62 | -34 | 97 | 122 | -25 |
| Big Foot UHS | 524 | 25 | 39 | -14 | 46 | 66 | -20 |
| Birchwood | 232 | 67 | 10 | 57 | 133 | 25 | 108 |
| Black Hawk | 411 | 12 | 32 | -20 | 29 | 60 | -31 |
| Black River Falls | 1,803 | 12 | 74 | -62 | 38 | 123 | -85 |
| Blair-Taylor | 628 | 34 | 14 | 20 | 57 | 45 | 12 |
| Bloomer | 1,278 | 43 | 35 | 8 | 64 | 80 | -16 |
| Bonduel | 871 | 33 | 44 | -11 | 62 | 107 | -45 |


| School District | 2015-16 Pupil <br> Membership | Applications to Transfer |  |  | Total Transfers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In Less Out | In | Out | In Less Out |
| Boscobel Area | 808 | 16 | 57 | -41 | 39 | 56 | -17 |
| Bowler | 442 | 6 | 37 | -31 | 3 | 81 | -78 |
| Boyceville Community | 740 | 26 | 29 | -3 | 71 | 103 | -32 |
| Brighton \#1 | 103 | 51 | 8 | 43 | 93 | 12 | 81 |
| Brillion | 906 | 44 | 31 | 13 | 115 | 29 | 86 |
| Bristol \#1 | 664 | 69 | 29 | 40 | 139 | 52 | 87 |
| Brodhead | 1,047 | 37 | 25 | 12 | 74 | 59 | 15 |
| Brown Deer | 1,617 | 643 | 308 | 335 | 108 | 171 | -63 |
| Bruce | 520 | 17 | 43 | -26 | 35 | 98 | -63 |
| Burlington Area | 3,292 | 79 | 162 | -83 | 115 | 302 | -187 |
| Butternut | 198 | 21 | 19 | 2 | 46 | 50 | -4 |
| Cadott Community | 866 | 30 | 52 | -22 | 51 | 72 | -21 |
| Cambria-Friesland | 392 | 12 | 18 | -6 | 29 | 29 | 0 |
| Cambridge | 845 | 33 | 28 | 5 | 80 | 60 | 20 |
| Cameron | 895 | 160 | 29 | 131 | 306 | 82 | 224 |
| Campbellsport | 1,410 | 38 | 88 | -50 | 82 | 135 | -53 |
| Cashton | 593 | 24 | 19 | 5 | 54 | 32 | 22 |
| Cassville | 217 | 8 | 12 | -4 | 11 | 31 | -20 |
| Cedar Grove-Belgium Area | 1,090 | 14 | 41 | -27 | 50 | 65 | -15 |
| Cedarburg | 2,853 | 98 | 53 | 45 | 155 | 58 | 97 |
| Central/Westosha UHS | 1,193 | 56 | 65 | -9 | 120 | 125 | -5 |
| Chequamegon | 726 | 22 | 50 | -28 | 54 | 78 | -24 |
| Chetek-Weyerhaeuser | 1,032 | 90 | 80 | 10 | 95 | 169 | -74 |
| Chilton | 1,118 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 103 | 38 | 65 |
| Chippewa Falls Area | 5,186 | 85 | 181 | -96 | 138 | 269 | -131 |
| Clayton | 355 | 34 | 35 | -1 | 92 | 40 | 52 |
| Clear Lake | 617 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 66 | 59 | 7 |
| Clinton Community | 1,088 | 62 | 22 | 40 | 147 | 54 | 93 |
| Clintonville | 1,453 | 29 | 67 | -38 | 78 | 108 | -30 |
| Cochrane-Fountain City | 661 | 7 | 13 | -6 | 22 | 22 | 0 |
| Colby | 963 | 47 | 44 | 3 | 96 | 123 | -27 |
| Coleman | 694 | 36 | 22 | 14 | 50 | 47 | 3 |
| Colfax | 842 | 12 | 51 | -39 | 69 | 68 | 1 |
| Columbus | 1,245 | 53 | 72 | -19 | 154 | 95 | 59 |
| Cornell | 434 | 10 | 37 | -27 | 22 | 63 | -41 |
| Crandon | 921 | 21 | 34 | -13 | 19 | 59 | -40 |
| Crivitz | 719 | 34 | 23 | 11 | 55 | 40 | 15 |
| Cuba City | 679 | 24 | 33 | -9 | 72 | 80 | -8 |
| Cudahy | 2,556 | 206 | 201 | 5 | 204 | 278 | -74 |
| Cumberland | 941 | 41 | 28 | 13 | 83 | 43 | 40 |
| D C Everest Area | 5,876 | 118 | 236 | -118 | 242 | 370 | -128 |
| Darlington Community | 790 | 22 | 26 | -4 | 58 | 61 | -3 |
| Deerfield Community | 811 | 13 | 74 | -61 | 50 | 77 | -27 |
| DeForest Area | 3,506 | 94 | 83 | 11 | 142 | 119 | 23 |
| Delavan-Darien | 2,706 | 35 | 273 | -238 | 50 | 533 | -483 |


| School District | 2015-16 Pupil <br> Membership | Applications to Transfer |  |  | Total Transfers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In Less Out | In | Out | In Less Out |
| Denmark | 1,436 | 60 | 29 | 31 | 156 | 54 | 102 |
| DePere | 3,925 | 244 | 147 | 97 | 504 | 210 | 294 |
| DeSoto Area | 573 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 21 | 67 | -46 |
| Dodgeland | 819 | 32 | 47 | -15 | 67 | 88 | -21 |
| Dodgeville | 1,289 | 19 | 39 | -20 | 56 | 89 | -33 |
| Dover \#1 | 115 | 24 | 29 | -5 | 40 | 55 | -15 |
| Drummond | 407 | 7 | 28 | -21 | 22 | 60 | -38 |
| Durand | 979 | 15 | 32 | -17 | 33 | 80 | -47 |
| East Troy Community | 1,763 | 48 | 92 | -44 | 95 | 214 | -119 |
| Eau Claire Area | 11,300 | 198 | 325 | -127 | 291 | 512 | -221 |
| Edgar | 651 | 21 | 16 | 5 | 68 | 45 | 23 |
| Edgerton | 1,877 | 32 | 65 | -33 | 76 | 129 | -53 |
| Elcho | 348 | 10 | 11 | -1 | 30 | 32 | -2 |
| Eleva-Strum | 626 | 19 | 9 | 10 | 52 | 33 | 19 |
| Elk Mound Area | 1,110 | 66 | 36 | 30 | 163 | 66 | 97 |
| Elkhart Lake-Glenbeulah | 486 | 16 | 34 | -18 | 66 | 105 | -39 |
| Elkhorn Area | 3,116 | 208 | 107 | 101 | 353 | 141 | 212 |
| Ellsworth Community | 1,721 | 20 | 70 | -50 | 41 | 88 | -47 |
| Elmbrook | 6,772 | 450 | 79 | 371 | 341 | 96 | 245 |
| Elmwood | 340 | 22 | 24 | -2 | 38 | 47 | -9 |
| Erin | 239 | 48 | 33 | 15 | 151 | 36 | 115 |
| Evansville Community | 1,840 | 45 | 46 | -1 | 71 | 120 | -49 |
| Fall Creek | 793 | 39 | 32 | 7 | 108 | 65 | 43 |
| Fall River | 541 | 23 | 43 | -20 | 48 | 104 | -56 |
| Fennimore Community | 778 | 59 | 16 | 43 | 48 | 23 | 25 |
| Flambeau | 609 | 21 | 65 | -44 | 58 | 89 | -31 |
| Florence | 464 | 1 | 18 | -17 | 0 | 80 | -80 |
| Fond du Lac | 7,466 | 191 | 312 | -121 | 291 | 494 | -203 |
| Fontana J8 | 201 | 42 | 34 | 8 | 101 | 42 | 59 |
| Fort Atkinson | 2,873 | 94 | 72 | 22 | 196 | 114 | 82 |
| Fox Point J2 | 708 | 147 | 15 | 132 | 75 | 12 | 63 |
| Franklin Public | 4,088 | 429 | 97 | 332 | 425 | 102 | 323 |
| Frederic | 510 | 19 | 70 | -51 | 35 | 77 | -42 |
| Freedom Area | 1,712 | 38 | 84 | -46 | 121 | 183 | -62 |
| Friess Lake | 140 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 62 | 16 | 46 |
| Galesville-Ettrick | 1,417 | 20 | 36 | -16 | 30 | 70 | -40 |
| Geneva J4 | 119 | 50 | 14 | 36 | 99 | 15 | 84 |
| Genoa City J2 | 650 | 19 | 64 | -45 | 26 | 132 | -106 |
| Germantown | 3,908 | 254 | 93 | 161 | 93 | 99 | -6 |
| Gibraltar Area | 570 | 6 | 16 | -10 | 17 | 15 | 2 |
| Gillett | 593 | 30 | 31 | -1 | 26 | 72 | -46 |
| Gilman | 407 | 2 | 21 | -19 | 4 | 46 | -42 |
| Gilmanton | 175 | 3 | 13 | -10 | 14 | 33 | -19 |
| Glendale-River Hills | 928 | 452 | 73 | 379 | 145 | 35 | 110 |
| Glenwood City | 767 | 7 | 58 | -51 | 45 | 77 | -32 |


| School District | 2015-16 Pupil <br> Membership | Applications to Transfer |  |  | Total Transfers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In Less Out | In | Out | In Less Out |
| Goodman-Armstrong | 109 | 2 | 4 | -2 | 5 | 9 | -4 |
| Grafton | 2,081 | 100 | 69 | 31 | 199 | 95 | 104 |
| Granton Area | 256 | 16 | 23 | -7 | 50 | 72 | -22 |
| Grantsburg | 875 | 792 | 16 | 776 | 800 | 29 | 771 |
| Green Bay Area | 22,338 | 242 | 929 | -687 | 384 | 1,933 | -1,549 |
| Green Lake | 260 | 29 | 20 | 9 | 64 | 60 | 4 |
| Greendale | 2,330 | 642 | 60 | 582 | 316 | 60 | 256 |
| Greenfield | 3,294 | 631 | 279 | 352 | 520 | 286 | 234 |
| Greenwood | 428 | 9 | 17 | -8 | 12 | 55 | -43 |
| Gresham | 292 | 27 | 16 | 11 | 58 | 18 | 40 |
| Hamilton | 4,636 | 193 | 98 | 95 | 122 | 128 | -6 |
| Hartford J1 | 2,031 | 44 | 139 | -95 | 59 | 341 | -282 |
| Hartford UHS | 1,533 | 29 | 129 | -100 | 53 | 160 | -107 |
| Hartland-Lakeside J3 | 1,227 | 72 | 123 | -51 | 119 | 176 | -57 |
| Hayward Community | 1,783 | 121 | 25 | 96 | 235 | 34 | 201 |
| Herman \#22 | 63 | 3 | 8 | -5 | 21 | 23 | -2 |
| Highland | 301 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 27 | 18 | 9 |
| Hilbert | 445 | 21 | 27 | -6 | 48 | 70 | -22 |
| Hillsboro | 520 | 17 | 15 | 2 | 45 | 31 | 14 |
| Holmen | 4,100 | 79 | 182 | -103 | 162 | 364 | -202 |
| Horicon | 848 | 22 | 76 | -54 | 40 | 145 | -105 |
| Hortonville | 3,647 | 140 | 117 | 23 | 263 | 214 | 49 |
| Howards Grove | 873 | 52 | 47 | 5 | 110 | 124 | -14 |
| Howard-Suamico | 5,599 | 358 | 104 | 254 | 685 | 186 | 499 |
| Hudson | 5,629 | 28 | 92 | -64 | 27 | 89 | -62 |
| Hurley | 598 | 1 | 3 | -2 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Hustisford | 436 | 30 | 44 | -14 | 41 | 65 | -24 |
| Independence | 402 | 10 | 25 | -15 | 21 | 39 | -18 |
| Iola-Scandinavia | 681 | 27 | 19 | 8 | 58 | 49 | 9 |
| Iowa-Grant | 754 | 18 | 24 | -6 | 36 | 55 | -19 |
| Ithaca | 334 | 52 | 8 | 44 | 121 | 28 | 93 |
| Janesville | 10,142 | 272 | 253 | 19 | 503 | 394 | 109 |
| Jefferson | 2,087 | 62 | 88 | -26 | 138 | 197 | -59 |
| Johnson Creek | 734 | 33 | 58 | -25 | 69 | 131 | -62 |
| Juda | 247 | 29 | 4 | 25 | 94 | 27 | 67 |
| Kaukauna Area | 4,509 | 79 | 340 | -261 | 116 | 760 | -644 |
| Kenosha | 22,471 | 130 | 381 | -251 | 80 | 430 | -350 |
| Kettle Moraine | 3,792 | 282 | 147 | 135 | 513 | 184 | 329 |
| Kewaskum | 1,904 | 63 | 116 | -53 | 130 | 190 | -60 |
| Kewaunee | 993 | 26 | 37 | -11 | 43 | 64 | -21 |
| Kickapoo Area | 476 | 36 | 22 | 14 | 77 | 52 | 25 |
| Kiel Area | 1,335 | 40 | 58 | -18 | 110 | 125 | -15 |
| Kimberly Area | 4,725 | 313 | 125 | 188 | 572 | 211 | 361 |
| Kohler | 530 | 113 | 14 | 99 | 251 | 35 | 216 |
| Lac du Flambeau \#1 | 533 | 6 | 33 | -27 | 16 | 80 | -64 |


| School District | 2015-16 Pupil <br> Membership | Applications to Transfer |  |  | Total Transfers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In Less Out | In | Out | In Less Out |
| LaCrosse | 6,786 | 152 | 168 | -16 | 312 | 264 | 48 |
| Ladysmith | 813 | 63 | 38 | 25 | 117 | 65 | 52 |
| LaFarge | 237 | 11 | 14 | -3 | 30 | 38 | -8 |
| Lake Country | 378 | 144 | 12 | 132 | 151 | 12 | 139 |
| Lake Geneva J1 | 1,975 | 109 | 121 | -12 | 222 | 204 | 18 |
| Lake Geneva-Genoa UHS | 1,408 | 77 | 60 | 17 | 113 | 71 | 42 |
| Lake Holcombe | 321 | 20 | 17 | 3 | 32 | 42 | -10 |
| Lake Mills Area | 1,571 | 29 | 45 | -16 | 54 | 67 | -13 |
| Lakeland UHS | 699 | 13 | 15 | -2 | 19 | 15 | 4 |
| Lancaster Community | 978 | 31 | 21 | 10 | 36 | 32 | 4 |
| Laona | 221 | 13 | 17 | -4 | 23 | 23 | 0 |
| Lena | 424 | 19 | 29 | -10 | 41 | 79 | -38 |
| Linn J4 | 105 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 27 | 31 | -4 |
| Linn J6 | 88 | 24 | 8 | 16 | 47 | 15 | 32 |
| Little Chute Area | 1,340 | 194 | 82 | 112 | 346 | 134 | 212 |
| Lodi | 1,566 | 14 | 25 | -11 | 55 | 77 | -22 |
| Lomira | 1,087 | 41 | 40 | 1 | 95 | 84 | 11 |
| Loyal | 555 | 5 | 20 | -15 | 46 | 59 | -13 |
| Luck | 515 | 33 | 43 | -10 | 81 | 85 | -4 |
| Luxemburg-Casco | 1,938 | 48 | 75 | -27 | 76 | 90 | -14 |
| Madison Metropolitan | 27,942 | 265 | 1,269 | -1,004 | 331 | 1,362 | -1,031 |
| Manawa | 756 | 9 | 48 | -39 | 22 | 95 | -73 |
| Manitowoc | 5,253 | 75 | 146 | -71 | 135 | 225 | -90 |
| Maple | 1,283 | 35 | 54 | -19 | 116 | 60 | 56 |
| Maple Dale-Indian Hill | 418 | 100 | 13 | 87 | 44 | 9 | 35 |
| Marathon City | 655 | 44 | 17 | 27 | 112 | 43 | 69 |
| Marinette | 2,210 | 15 | 108 | -93 | 16 | 173 | -157 |
| Marion | 504 | 5 | 50 | -45 | 14 | 73 | -59 |
| Markesan | 839 | 17 | 16 | 1 | 46 | 60 | -14 |
| Marshall | 1,105 | 47 | 58 | -11 | 95 | 77 | 18 |
| Marshfield | 4,006 | 93 | 96 | -3 | 282 | 167 | 115 |
| Mauston | 1,486 | 51 | 64 | -13 | 107 | 92 | 15 |
| Mayville | 1,135 | 40 | 45 | -5 | 104 | 73 | 31 |
| McFarland | 2,153 | 2,878 | 28 | 2,850 | 2,647 | 26 | 2,621 |
| Medford Area | 2,132 | 257 | 16 | 241 | 339 | 31 | 308 |
| Mellen | 289 | 11 | 12 | -1 | 15 | 23 | -8 |
| Melrose-Mindoro | 808 | 40 | 28 | 12 | 67 | 106 | -39 |
| Menasha | 3,819 | 190 | 337 | -147 | 242 | 497 | -255 |
| Menominee Indian | 887 | 34 | 59 | -25 | 58 | 128 | -70 |
| Menomonee Falls | 3,807 | 687 | 75 | 612 | 294 | 65 | 229 |
| Menomonie Area | 3,378 | 55 | 83 | -28 | 75 | 151 | -76 |
| Mequon-Thiensville | 3,553 | 458 | 61 | 397 | 70 | 67 | 3 |
| Mercer | 137 | 13 | 14 | -1 | 27 | 19 | 8 |
| Merrill Area | 2,758 | 421 | 75 | 346 | 600 | 99 | 501 |
| Merton Community | 858 | 57 | 42 | 15 | 106 | 53 | 53 |


| School District | 2015-16 Pupil <br> Membership | Applications to Transfer |  |  | Total Transfers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In Less Out | In | Out | In Less Out |
| Middleton-Cross Plains | 6,735 | 348 | 109 | 239 | 258 | 121 | 137 |
| Milton | 3,525 | 113 | 162 | -49 | 267 | 360 | -93 |
| Milwaukee | 78,173 | 816 | 10,778 | -9,962 | 1,191 | 6,438 | -5,247 |
| Mineral Point | 705 | 19 | 18 | 1 | 61 | 48 | 13 |
| Minocqua J1 | 549 | 47 | 41 | 6 | 95 | 96 | -1 |
| Mishicot | 817 | 45 | 38 | 7 | 94 | 68 | 26 |
| Mondovi | 913 | 26 | 24 | 2 | 73 | 52 | 21 |
| Monona Grove | 3,066 | 282 | 66 | 216 | 333 | 76 | 257 |
| Monroe | 2,539 | 48 | 70 | -22 | 99 | 156 | -57 |
| Montello | 718 | 57 | 58 | -1 | 83 | 100 | -17 |
| Monticello | 380 | 6 | 24 | -18 | 28 | 60 | -32 |
| Mosinee | 2,107 | 36 | 45 | -9 | 113 | 139 | -26 |
| Mount Horeb Area | 2,415 | 54 | 36 | 18 | 126 | 61 | 65 |
| Mukwonago | 4,656 | 179 | 132 | 47 | 460 | 223 | 237 |
| Muskego-Norway | 4,880 | 102 | 85 | 17 | 148 | 134 | 14 |
| Necedah Area | 708 | 36 | 34 | 2 | 48 | 58 | -10 |
| Neenah | 6,747 | 137 | 330 | -193 | 201 | 388 | -187 |
| Neillsville | 961 | 24 | 27 | -3 | 50 | 49 | 1 |
| Nekoosa | 1,193 | 55 | 55 | 0 | 104 | 93 | 11 |
| Neosho J3 | 207 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 44 | 27 | 17 |
| New Auburn | 279 | 20 | 16 | 4 | 53 | 21 | 32 |
| New Berlin | 4,586 | 176 | 90 | 86 | 42 | 119 | -77 |
| New Glarus | 899 | 55 | 22 | 33 | 98 | 35 | 63 |
| New Holstein | 1,172 | 19 | 54 | -35 | 48 | 150 | -102 |
| New Lisbon | 605 | 50 | 45 | 5 | 117 | 74 | 43 |
| New London | 2,432 | 55 | 63 | -8 | 91 | 168 | -77 |
| New Richmond | 3,342 | 69 | 107 | -38 | 147 | 189 | -42 |
| Niagara | 375 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 80 | 9 | 71 |
| Nicolet UHS | 1,096 | 233 | 43 | 190 | 24 | 40 | -16 |
| Norris | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| North Cape | 190 | 33 | 17 | 16 | 57 | 57 | 0 |
| North Crawford | 462 | 10 | 40 | -30 | 32 | 40 | -8 |
| North Fond du Lac | 1,332 | 120 | 127 | -7 | 253 | 232 | 21 |
| North Lake | 309 | 52 | 16 | 36 | 66 | 25 | 41 |
| North Lakeland | 137 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 21 | 23 | -2 |
| Northern Ozaukee | 836 | 468 | 66 | 402 | 543 | 188 | 355 |
| Northland Pines | 1,257 | 34 | 39 | -5 | 81 | 67 | 14 |
| Northwood | 366 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 31 | -19 |
| Norwalk-Ontario | 713 | 14 | 32 | -18 | 33 | 50 | -17 |
| Norway J7 | 87 | 25 | 11 | 14 | 32 | 21 | 11 |
| Oak Creek-Franklin | 6,410 | 472 | 211 | 261 | 418 | 272 | 146 |
| Oakfield | 499 | 38 | 29 | 9 | 114 | 46 | 68 |
| Oconomowoc Area | 5,528 | 130 | 267 | -137 | 187 | 445 | -258 |
| Oconto | 1,128 | 13 | 40 | -27 | 30 | 84 | -54 |
| Oconto Falls | 1,788 | 44 | 94 | -50 | 129 | 189 | -60 |


| School District | 2015-16 Pupil <br> Membership | Applications to Transfer |  |  | Total Transfers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In Less Out | In | Out | In Less Out |
| Omro | 1,317 | 28 | 75 | -47 | 103 | 132 | -29 |
| Onalaska | 2,965 | 223 | 94 | 129 | 404 | 171 | 233 |
| Oostburg | 1,002 | 49 | 38 | 11 | 76 | 70 | 6 |
| Oregon | 3,760 | 175 | 55 | 120 | 300 | 78 | 222 |
| Osceola | 1,688 | 30 | 60 | -30 | 136 | 92 | 44 |
| Oshkosh Area | 9,859 | 89 | 159 | -70 | 150 | 240 | -90 |
| Osseo-Fairchild | 936 | 20 | 44 | -24 | 53 | 87 | -34 |
| Owen-Withee | 510 | 19 | 16 | 3 | 42 | 37 | 5 |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | 1,169 | 27 | 135 | -108 | 46 | 344 | -298 |
| Pardeeville Area | 888 | 43 | 91 | -48 | 63 | 143 | -80 |
| Paris J1 | 158 | 92 | 2 | 90 | 133 | 9 | 124 |
| Parkview | 881 | 18 | 75 | -57 | 39 | 148 | -109 |
| Pecatonica Area | 462 | 7 | 23 | -16 | 27 | 57 | -30 |
| Pepin Area | 253 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 19 | -15 |
| Peshtigo | 1,104 | 53 | 19 | 34 | 162 | 25 | 137 |
| Pewaukee | 2,754 | 202 | 90 | 112 | 235 | 95 | 140 |
| Phelps | 159 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 25 | 37 | -12 |
| Phillips | 793 | 6 | 17 | -11 | 24 | 71 | -47 |
| Pittsville | 588 | 25 | 18 | 7 | 47 | 56 | -9 |
| Platteville | 1,512 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 79 | 49 | 30 |
| Plum City | 293 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 35 | 32 | 3 |
| Plymouth | 2,312 | 73 | 84 | -11 | 182 | 176 | 6 |
| Port Edwards | 386 | 36 | 56 | -20 | 78 | 98 | -20 |
| Port Washington-Saukville | 2,683 | 82 | 114 | -32 | 169 | 149 | 20 |
| Portage Community | 2,443 | 101 | 109 | -8 | 190 | 156 | 34 |
| Potosi | 334 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| Poynette | 1,117 | 42 | 38 | 4 | 97 | 74 | 23 |
| Prairie du Chien Area | 1,118 | 58 | 25 | 33 | 75 | 57 | 18 |
| Prairie Farm | 314 | 27 | 13 | 14 | 101 | 37 | 64 |
| Prentice | 428 | 8 | 24 | -16 | 46 | 50 | -4 |
| Prescott | 1,411 | 15 | 36 | -21 | 23 | 61 | -38 |
| Princeton | 393 | 7 | 21 | -14 | 24 | 61 | -37 |
| Pulaski Community | 3,826 | 87 | 178 | -91 | 184 | 305 | -121 |
| Racine | 21,281 | 53 | 1,271 | -1,218 | 34 | 1,301 | -1,267 |
| Randall J1 | 546 | 54 | 39 | 15 | 160 | 64 | 96 |
| Randolph | 529 | 20 | 27 | -7 | 41 | 44 | -3 |
| Random Lake | 926 | 38 | 49 | -11 | 50 | 123 | -73 |
| Raymond \#14 | 326 | 93 | 25 | 68 | 107 | 20 | 87 |
| Reedsburg | 2,672 | 55 | 120 | -65 | 114 | 165 | -51 |
| Reedsville | 663 | 15 | 35 | -20 | 46 | 115 | -69 |
| Rhinelander | 2,480 | 36 | 72 | -36 | 55 | 121 | -66 |
| Rib Lake | 491 | 4 | 8 | -4 | 15 | 19 | -4 |
| Rice Lake Area | 2,291 | 63 | 107 | -44 | 121 | 168 | -47 |
| Richfield J1 | 447 | 24 | 69 | -45 | 48 | 68 | -20 |
| Richland | 1,439 | 42 | 71 | -29 | 71 | 162 | -91 |


| School District | 2015-16 Pupil <br> Membership | Applications to Transfer |  |  | Total Transfers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In Less Out | In | Out | In Less Out |
| Richmond | 457 | 45 | 35 | 10 | 58 | 27 | 31 |
| Rio Community | 446 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 25 | 37 | -12 |
| Ripon | 1,658 | 39 | 49 | -10 | 107 | 87 | 20 |
| River Falls | 3,201 | 112 | 48 | 64 | 156 | 48 | 108 |
| River Ridge | 529 | 14 | 74 | -60 | 35 | 51 | -16 |
| River Valley | 1,298 | 15 | 51 | -36 | 40 | 110 | -70 |
| Riverdale | 710 | 8 | 21 | -13 | 5 | 70 | -65 |
| Rosendale-Brandon | 977 | 69 | 42 | 27 | 172 | 51 | 121 |
| Rosholt | 545 | 4 | 24 | -20 | 42 | 47 | -5 |
| Royall | 599 | 19 | 37 | -18 | 36 | 78 | -42 |
| Rubicon J6 | 91 | 4 | 13 | -9 | 19 | 38 | -19 |
| Saint Croix Central | 1,560 | 87 | 48 | 39 | 111 | 86 | 25 |
| Saint Croix Falls | 1,131 | 54 | 67 | -13 | 131 | 144 | -13 |
| Saint Francis | 834 | 310 | 89 | 221 | 475 | 125 | 350 |
| Salem J2 | 1,064 | 39 | 110 | -71 | 61 | 189 | -128 |
| Sauk Prairie | 2,730 | 63 | 38 | 25 | 152 | 52 | 100 |
| Seneca | 298 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 34 | 42 | -8 |
| Sevastopol | 592 | 56 | 36 | 20 | 117 | 97 | 20 |
| Seymour Community | 2,432 | 41 | 77 | -36 | 95 | 153 | -58 |
| Sharon J11 | 279 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 24 | 16 | 8 |
| Shawano | 2,546 | 92 | 105 | -13 | 209 | 174 | 35 |
| Sheboygan Area | 10,399 | 177 | 256 | -79 | 307 | 449 | -142 |
| Sheboygan Falls | 1,727 | 102 | 143 | -41 | 236 | 246 | -10 |
| Shell Lake | 631 | 47 | 24 | 23 | 88 | 33 | 55 |
| Shiocton | 724 | 14 | 48 | -34 | 63 | 64 | -1 |
| Shorewood | 1,838 | 910 | 35 | 875 | 185 | 15 | 170 |
| Shullsburg | 384 | 7 | 29 | -22 | 25 | 32 | -7 |
| Silver Lake J1 | 486 | 32 | 23 | 9 | 89 | 55 | 34 |
| Siren | 467 | 55 | 27 | 28 | 80 | 70 | 10 |
| Slinger | 2,692 | 236 | 76 | 160 | 528 | 95 | 433 |
| Solon Springs | 290 | 6 | 19 | -13 | 6 | 44 | -38 |
| Somerset | 1,576 | 57 | 29 | 28 | 109 | 66 | 43 |
| South Milwaukee | 3,109 | 270 | 197 | 73 | 340 | 203 | 137 |
| South Shore | 193 | 12 | 23 | -11 | 15 | 51 | -36 |
| Southern Door | 1,120 | 73 | 62 | 11 | 136 | 164 | -28 |
| Southwestern Wisconsin | 565 | 21 | 14 | 7 | 39 | 45 | -6 |
| Sparta Area | 2,935 | 27 | 62 | -35 | 51 | 129 | -78 |
| Spencer | 809 | 18 | 38 | -20 | 59 | 120 | -61 |
| Spooner | 1,328 | 30 | 119 | -89 | 41 | 200 | -159 |
| Spring Valley | 780 | 29 | 49 | -20 | 84 | 100 | -16 |
| Stanley-Boyd Area | 1,095 | 31 | 48 | -17 | 67 | 64 | 3 |
| Stevens Point Area | 7,425 | 85 | 122 | -37 | 125 | 276 | -151 |
| Stockbridge | 245 | 11 | 20 | -9 | 19 | 52 | -33 |
| Stone Bank | 280 | 47 | 26 | 21 | 116 | 32 | 84 |
| Stoughton Area | 3,234 | 55 | 105 | -50 | 67 | 194 | -127 |


| School District | 2015-16 Pupil <br> Membership | Applications to Transfer |  |  | Total Transfers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In Less Out | In | Out | In Less Out |
| Stratford | 960 | 23 | 33 | -10 | 84 | 74 | 10 |
| Sturgeon Bay | 1,115 | 77 | 89 | -12 | 199 | 169 | 30 |
| Sun Prairie Area | 8,185 | 218 | 197 | 21 | 261 | 249 | 12 |
| Superior | 4,720 | 17 | 122 | -105 | 30 | 124 | -94 |
| Suring | 420 | 7 | 28 | -21 | 22 | 46 | -24 |
| Swallow | 507 | 90 | 28 | 62 | 69 | 28 | 41 |
| Thorp | 587 | 31 | 22 | 9 | 68 | 39 | 29 |
| Three Lakes | 480 | 26 | 34 | -8 | 64 | 67 | -3 |
| Tigerton | 258 | 5 | 23 | -18 | 10 | 25 | -15 |
| Tomah Area | 3,107 | 38 | 87 | -49 | 56 | 119 | -63 |
| Tomahawk | 1,269 | 20 | 39 | -19 | 60 | 51 | 9 |
| Tomorrow River | 982 | 81 | 29 | 52 | 208 | 48 | 160 |
| Trevor-Wilmot | 469 | 46 | 16 | 30 | 106 | 50 | 56 |
| Tri-County Area | 640 | 20 | 34 | -14 | 52 | 61 | -9 |
| Turtle Lake | 466 | 20 | 31 | -11 | 50 | 89 | -39 |
| Twin Lakes \#4 | 471 | 13 | 66 | -53 | 30 | 146 | -116 |
| Two Rivers | 1,804 | 57 | 79 | -22 | 93 | 115 | -22 |
| Union Grove J1 | 679 | 207 | 18 | 189 | 198 | 42 | 156 |
| Union Grove UHS | 766 | 145 | 33 | 112 | 291 | 24 | 267 |
| Unity | 1,110 | 37 | 70 | -33 | 68 | 158 | -90 |
| Valders Area | 974 | 38 | 56 | -18 | 112 | 95 | 17 |
| Verona Area | 5,222 | 267 | 118 | 149 | 228 | 124 | 104 |
| Viroqua Area | 1,171 | 53 | 77 | -24 | 94 | 111 | -17 |
| Wabeno Area | 420 | 10 | 27 | -17 | 34 | 32 | 2 |
| Walworth J1 | 513 | 26 | 60 | -34 | 63 | 99 | -36 |
| Washburn | 535 | 42 | 23 | 19 | 74 | 29 | 45 |
| Washington | 78 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Washington-Caldwell | 166 | 22 | 19 | 3 | 41 | 32 | 9 |
| Waterford J1 | 1,449 | 72 | 46 | 26 | 139 | 71 | 68 |
| Waterford UHS | 1,127 | 35 | 41 | -6 | 66 | 68 | -2 |
| Waterloo | 858 | 19 | 52 | -33 | 28 | 94 | -66 |
| Watertown | 3,942 | 59 | 199 | -140 | 91 | 267 | -176 |
| Waukesha | 12,977 | 1,210 | 486 | 724 | 903 | 671 | 232 |
| Waunakee Community | 4,052 | 141 | 53 | 88 | 175 | 43 | 132 |
| Waupaca | 2,132 | 43 | 82 | -39 | 112 | 102 | 10 |
| Waupun | 2,034 | 31 | 99 | -68 | 42 | 198 | -156 |
| Wausau | 8,593 | 193 | 190 | 3 | 335 | 320 | 15 |
| Wausaukee | 485 | 13 | 23 | -10 | 21 | 46 | -25 |
| Wautoma Area | 1,422 | 55 | 71 | -16 | 107 | 145 | -38 |
| Wauwatosa | 6,112 | 2,043 | 121 | 1,922 | 1,398 | 164 | 1,234 |
| Wauzeka-Steuben | 308 | 6 | 15 | -9 | 32 | 17 | 15 |
| Webster | 662 | 21 | 35 | -14 | 57 | 71 | -14 |
| West Allis | 8,736 | 907 | 659 | 248 | 1,292 | 696 | 596 |
| West Bend | 7,001 | 100 | 266 | -166 | 160 | 399 | -239 |
| West DePere | 3,561 | 58 | 122 | -64 | 59 | 283 | -224 |


| School District | 2015-16 Pupil <br> Membership | Applications to Transfer |  |  | Total Transfers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In Less Out | In | Out | In Less Out |
| West Salem | 1,725 | 72 | 81 | -9 | 184 | 127 | 57 |
| Westby Area | 1,189 | 38 | 65 | -27 | 67 | 119 | -52 |
| Westfield | 1,196 | 27 | 85 | -58 | 47 | 174 | -127 |
| Weston | 307 | 13 | 25 | -12 | 28 | 30 | -2 |
| Weyauwega-Fremont | 875 | 38 | 45 | -7 | 60 | 74 | -14 |
| Wheatland J1 | 445 | 77 | 29 | 48 | 134 | 67 | 67 |
| White Lake | 179 | 9 | 11 | -2 | 11 | 15 | -4 |
| Whitefish Bay | 2,787 | 651 | 21 | 630 | 38 | 21 | 17 |
| Whitehall | 775 | 19 | 33 | -14 | 46 | 53 | -7 |
| Whitewater | 1,988 | 134 | 50 | 84 | 105 | 129 | -24 |
| Whitnall | 2,129 | 410 | 75 | 335 | 427 | 111 | 316 |
| Wild Rose | 555 | 25 | 35 | -10 | 67 | 75 | -8 |
| Williams Bay | 537 | 101 | 54 | 47 | 192 | 63 | 129 |
| Wilmot UHS | 1,118 | 48 | 51 | -3 | 108 | 75 | 33 |
| Winneconne Community | 1,517 | 59 | 47 | 12 | 159 | 100 | 59 |
| Winter | 313 | 5 | 13 | -8 | 7 | 60 | -53 |
| Wisconsin Dells | 1,740 | 32 | 138 | -106 | 67 | 155 | -88 |
| Wisconsin Heights | 793 | 22 | 38 | -16 | 44 | 85 | -41 |
| Wisconsin Rapids | 5,126 | 76 | 139 | -63 | 143 | 219 | -76 |
| Wittenberg-Birnamwood | 1,153 | 42 | 61 | -19 | 87 | 126 | -39 |
| Wonewoc-Union Center | 378 | 20 | 29 | -9 | 38 | 74 | -36 |
| Woodruff J1 | 442 | 64 | 44 | 20 | 132 | 77 | 55 |
| Wrightstown Community | 1,288 | 35 | 32 | 3 | 66 | 45 | 21 |
| Yorkville J2 | 327 | 200 | 7 | 193 | 171 | 16 | 155 |
| TOTAL | 854,402 | 41,118 | 41,118 | 0 | 55,737 | 55,737 | 0 |

