



Informational Paper 45

**Child Support
Enforcement Program**

Wisconsin Legislative Fiscal Bureau

January, 2009

Child Support Enforcement Program

Prepared by

Kim Swissdorf

Wisconsin Legislative Fiscal Bureau
One East Main, Suite 301
Madison, WI 53703

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	1
Establishment of Paternity	2
Establishing Support.....	6
Revising Child Support Orders.....	8
Medical Support Obligations.....	11
Collection of Child Support Payments.....	13
Child Support Enforcement Services.....	14
Civil and Criminal Enforcement	20
Distribution of Child Support Collected on Behalf of Public Assistance Recipients.....	21
Program Administration Costs.....	23
Centralized Receipt and Disbursement.....	26
Kids Information Data System	27
Child Support State Operations	27
Attachment 1 Child Support Collections Efficiency by State.....	29
Attachment 2 Child Support Enforcement Costs and Funding by County	30
Attachment 3 Child Support Collections and Costs by County	32
Appendix Licenses and Credentials Subject to Suspension for Nonpayment of Support.....	34

Child Support Enforcement Program

Introduction

In Wisconsin and nationally, there is a significant difference in the economic well-being of children who are raised in two-parent families and children raised in families headed by a single parent. Wisconsin census data for 2007 (the most recent year available) indicate that, among all Wisconsin families (both single- and two-parent households), 12% of families with children under the age of 18 and 14% of families with children under the age of five lived in households with income below the federal poverty level. However, 35% of families with children under the age of 18 and 46% of families with children under the age of five who lived in single-parent, female-headed households lived in poverty.

Among Wisconsin households with children, proportionately more were headed by single parents in 2007 than 37 years ago. The percentage of Wisconsin households with children headed by a married couple declined from 91% in 1970 to 68% in 2007. In contrast, the percentage of households with children headed by a single woman rose from eight percent in 1970 to 22% in 2007, while the percentage of households with children headed by a single man rose from two percent in 1973 to nine percent in 2007.

The child support enforcement program is designed to ensure that parents provide financial and medical support for their children. In addition, the program helps reduce public welfare spending for single-parent families. The creation of Title IV-D of the Social Security Act in 1975 and subsequent federal and state legislation was a response to an increasing awareness that most families are eligible for public welfare programs solely due to the absence of a parent as a result of a nonmarital birth, divorce, desertion, or separation.

In 1996, the federal Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (P.L. 104-193, also referred to as PRWORA) abolished aid to families with dependent children (AFDC) and related programs and replaced them with a block grant program called "temporary assistance for needy families" (TANF). As part of this new federal law, states must operate a child support and paternity establishment program meeting federal requirements in order to be eligible for TANF funds. The new federal law also required states to increase the percentage of fathers identified, establish an automated network linking all states to information about the location and assets of parents, and to implement additional paternity establishment and support enforcement provisions. Wisconsin made a number of changes to its paternity establishment and child support enforcement laws in order to conform to P.L. 104-193 in 1997 Wisconsin Act 191.

The federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (P.L. 109-171) made several additional changes to the child support enforcement program and its funding. These changes are discussed in further detail throughout this paper.

The Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE) in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) administers the child support program at the federal level. The primary federal responsibilities include: (a) establishing regulations and standards for state child support programs; (b) providing technical assistance to help states establish effective child support collection and paternity establishment systems; (c) reviewing and approving state Title IV-D plans; (d) evaluating and auditing state programs; and (e) operating the federal parent locator service. The federal government provides funding to the states to offset the costs of child support administrative

and enforcement activities. In order to receive federal funding, state child support enforcement programs must conform to certain federal regulations and standards.

In Wisconsin, the Department of Children and Families (DCF), Bureau of Child Support administers the child support enforcement program. The Bureau's primary responsibilities include: (a) developing and administering the state Title IV-D plan; (b) monitoring the activities of local agencies to ensure compliance with state and federal law and policies; (c) providing technical assistance, training, and written instructions for county child support agencies; (d) collecting and disbursing child support payments; (e) operating the state parent locator service and a central registry to expedite processing of interstate cases; (f) coordinating intercept programs, property liens, and license suspensions for failure to pay child support; (g) operating a financial record matching program; (h) developing and maintaining a statewide automated child support data system; (i) operating a state directory of new hires in conjunction with the Unemployment Insurance Division in the Department of Workforce Development (DWD); (j) approving reimbursement payments for allowable costs, distributing incentive payments, and establishing fees for non-Wisconsin Works (W-2) child support services; (k) maintaining statewide records of collections and disbursements and providing reports to OCSE; (l) publicizing the availability of child support services; and (m) maintaining the child support lien docket.

Prior to July 1, 2008, DWD administered the child support enforcement program. However, responsibility for these activities was transferred to DCF under 2007 Wisconsin Act 20 (the 2007-09 biennial budget).

Counties are required to contract with DCF to implement and administer the program at the local level. County responsibilities include: (a) establishing child support and medical support orders; (b) establishing paternity; (c) providing data related to

support orders; and (d) enforcing medical and financial child support orders. In order to carry out these activities, counties enter into cooperative agreements with the offices of the corporation counsel or private attorneys, clerks of court, sheriffs, and other officials and agencies. The attorneys responsible for child support enforcement, corporation counsel, circuit court commissioners, clerks of court, and all other county officials are also required to cooperate with the Department, as necessary, to provide the services required under the program.

This paper provides information on federal and state child support enforcement provisions, how child support amounts are determined in Wisconsin, the various methods used by counties and the state to enforce child support orders, and how these enforcement services are funded.

Establishment of Paternity

In 2007, a total of 72,757 babies were born to women who were Wisconsin residents. Of these babies, 36% were born to unmarried mothers. This reflects an increase in the proportion of nonmarital births in Wisconsin from 28% in 1997. Nationally, 32% of all babies born in 2007 were born to unmarried mothers.

A man cannot be ordered to support a child unless he is presumed to be the child's father based on marriage, has filed a voluntary acknowledgment with the state registrar, or is adjudicated the father by a court.

Presumption of Paternity Based on Marriage

Under Wisconsin law, a man is presumed to be the natural father of a child if: (a) he and the child's mother are, or have been, married to each other and the child is conceived or born after marriage,

but before the granting of any legal separation, annulment, or divorce; or (b) he and the child's mother were married to each other after the child was born, but they had a relationship with one another when the child was conceived, and no other man is presumed to be the father under (a) or has been adjudicated to be the child's father.

A presumption that a man is the natural father of a child is rebutted if a genetic test shows that another man is not excluded as the child's father and that the statistical probability of the other man's parentage is 99% or higher, even if the man presumed to be the father is not available for genetic tests.

Presumption of Paternity Based on Voluntary Acknowledgement

A man who is not married to the child's mother is presumed to be the natural father of a child if he and the mother have acknowledged paternity by filing a signed statement with the state registrar and no other man is presumed to be the father. A statement acknowledging paternity, that has not been rescinded, is a conclusive determination of paternity and has the same effect as a judgment of paternity. An action for custody, child support, or physical placement rights may be brought once the statement of acknowledgement is signed and filed. The statement must contain an attestation clause showing that both parties received notice of the legal consequences of, the rights and responsibilities arising from, and the alternatives to, signing the statement.

Under current law, as enacted in 2005 Wisconsin Act 443, a parent under age 18 may not sign a statement acknowledging paternity. Under prior law, a parent under the age of 18 could sign the statement as long as their parent or legal guardian also signed the statement.

A statement acknowledging paternity may be rescinded if the person rescinding the statement files a document with the state registrar. The re-

scinding document must be filed before the day a court or circuit court commissioner makes an order involving the man or 60 days after the acknowledgement statement was filed, whichever is earlier. If the person rescinding the statement was under age 18 when the acknowledgment statement was filed, the rescinding document must be filed before the day a court or circuit court commissioner makes an order affecting the man, or within 60 days after the person attains age 18, whichever is earlier.

A statement acknowledging paternity may be voided at any time if fraud, duress, or mistake of fact is demonstrated. If a court finds that a man who had previously filed a statement acknowledging paternity is not the child's father, the court must vacate any order entered in reliance on that statement, and no further paternity action may be brought against the man with respect to the child.

Adjudication of Paternity

Under state law, the following persons may bring a legal action to determine the paternity of a child: (a) the child; (b) the child's natural mother; (c) a man presumed to be the child's father (unless a statement acknowledging paternity is filed); (d) a man alleged or alleging himself to be the father of the child; (e) the personal representative of an individual listed above if the individual is deceased; (f) the child's legal or physical custodian; (g) a guardian ad litem appointed on behalf of the child; (h) a grandparent (or alleged grandparent) of the child, in conjunction with a petition for visitation rights or if the grandparent is potentially liable for maintenance of the child; and (i) under certain circumstances, a state or county child support enforcement attorney. The clerk of circuit court must provide access to the record of any paternity proceeding to DCF or any child support agency to administer child support enforcement activities regardless of whether they are a party to the proceeding. In general, an action to establish paternity must be commenced within 19 years of the child's birth.

A court may enter a paternity judgment at either the pretrial hearing (based upon the agreement of the parties) or the trial. A judgment or order determining paternity must contain the following: (a) an adjudication of paternity; (b) orders for legal custody and physical placement; (c) an order requiring either or both parents to contribute to the support of a child who is less than 18 years of age (or a child less than 19 years of age if the child is pursuing a high school diploma or its equivalent); (d) a determination of which parent can claim the child as an exemption for federal or state income tax purposes; (e) an order establishing the amount required to be paid or contributed by the father for reasonable expenses associated with the mother's pregnancy and the child's birth; and (f) an order requiring either or both parents to contribute to the cost of a guardian ad litem, genetic test, attorney fees, and other costs.

Under the paternity judgment, liability for past support is limited to the period after the day the petition for determination of paternity was filed. An exception to this limitation is provided if both of the following are shown to the satisfaction of the court: (a) the petitioner was induced to delay because of duress, threats, promises made by the other party upon which the petitioner relied, or actions taken by the other party to evade paternity proceedings; and (b) after the inducement ceased to operate, the petitioner did not unreasonably delay commencing the action. State law specifies that liability for past support may not be imposed for any period before the birth of the child.

Once an alleged father has been properly served and fails to appear for a scheduled court hearing or a scheduled court-ordered genetic test, a court must enter a default judgment adjudicating him to be the father as well as appropriate orders for child support, legal custody, and physical placement. However, a default judgment cannot be entered if there is more than one person alleged to be the father, unless he is the only one who fails to appear and all others have been excluded as the father, or his genetic test shows the statistical

probability of parentage is 99% or higher. A default paternity judgment may be reopened upon motion within one year or at any time upon a showing of good cause. The alleged father may still be adjudicated the child's father if the mother fails to appear at certain proceedings. The court or court commissioner may dismiss a paternity action and refuse to order genetic tests if it is determined that it is not in the best interest of the child to determine if the man is the child's father.

Finally, a paternity judgment must be entered if the father files a written stipulation acknowledging his paternity and resolving issues of child support, legal custody, and physical placement, and the court approves the stipulation. The order takes effect upon entry if the father agrees or 30 days after service (or the date mailed) if the father does not agree, unless the father presents evidence of good cause why the order should not take effect. A stipulated paternity judgment may be reopened upon motion within one year after the judgment or at any time upon a showing of good cause, unless each party appeared personally before the court at least one time during the proceeding.

Genetic Tests

If paternity is contested, the court may, and upon the request of a party or by the guardian ad litem must, order the mother, child, and any alleged father to submit to genetic tests. County child support agencies also have the authority to order genetic tests. An alleged father may be asked to submit to a genetic test only if there is probable cause to believe he and the child's mother engaged in sexual intercourse during a possible time of conception. If the genetic tests show that the alleged father is not excluded and that the statistical probability of the alleged father's paternity is 99% or higher, the alleged father is rebuttably presumed to be the child's father. If the results of the test exclude the man as the father of the child, this evidence is conclusive evidence of nonpaternity and the paternity action is dismissed. Contested paternity actions are usually settled by the results of the

genetic tests. Very few cases go to trial.

The county initially pays the cost of genetic tests. However, at the close of the paternity proceeding, the court may order either or both parties to reimburse the county if they have sufficient resources. If two or more identical tests were performed on the same person, the person requesting the subsequent tests must pay for them in advance, unless the court finds that person to be indigent. If the county child support agency orders genetic tests and the test shows a probability of 99% or greater that a man is the father, the agency may seek reimbursement from either or both parties for the costs of the test.

At any time while a paternity action is pending and a genetic test shows that the alleged father is not excluded as the child's father and shows a probability of 99% or greater that the man is the father, the court is required, upon motion by a party, to make a temporary order for the payment of child support and may make a temporary order regarding the child's health care expenses. Before making a temporary order under this provision, the court must consider the same factors that are considered in granting a final judgment of paternity.

Paternity Cases Involving Public Assistance

Federal law requires applicants for, and recipients of, TANF assistance to assign their support rights to the state in order to receive benefits. In addition, each TANF recipient must cooperate with the state to establish paternity and to obtain child support payments.

All paternity cases involving recipients of Wisconsin Works (W-2), medical assistance (MA), and child care assistance are referred to the appropriate county child support agency. The county agency must attempt to establish paternity in nonmarital cases. In some situations, such as those possibly involving incest or sexual assault, an action to establish paternity may be waived if it is

in the best interest of the child to do so.

Each parent (whether the custodial or noncustodial parent) must cooperate in good faith with the child support agency in establishing paternity and obtaining support payments in order to be eligible under W-2, unless good cause can be shown for refusing to do so. Good cause may be established in a number of ways, such as demonstrating that cooperation may be reasonably anticipated to result in serious physical or emotional harm to the child, the parent, or other caretaker relative. A W-2 group whose members have failed to meet this requirement three times is ineligible for benefits until all members of the group cooperate or for six months, whichever is later. Cooperation with child support enforcement efforts is also required as a condition of eligibility for child care assistance and MA coverage. However, cooperation with the child support agency is not a condition of MA eligibility for children or pregnant women.

State Paternity Establishment Program

For a birth that occurs at, or en route to, a hospital and if the child's parents are not married, the hospital must give the mother a pamphlet on how to add the father's name to the birth certificate and a form for the voluntary acknowledgment of paternity. Before the parents sign the form, trained, designated hospital staff must provide the child's parents with oral and written information about the form and about the significance and benefits of, and alternatives to, establishing paternity. DCF provides training to hospital staff regarding the provision of this information. If the form is completed while the mother is in the hospital and within five days after the birth, the hospital must send the form directly to the state registrar.

DCF pays the hospital a \$20 financial incentive if the statement is filed within 60 days after the child's birth. The Department estimates that such payments totaled approximately \$91,360 in 2007-08.

The state also provides incentive payments to counties based on performance standards, including paternity establishment and support collections. This funding program is described later in this paper.

Establishing Support

Whenever a court enters a judgment of annulment, divorce, or legal separation; approves a stipulation for child support; enters an order or judgment in a paternity action or action for child or family support; or in actions to compel support or in voluntary acknowledgements of paternity, the court must direct either one or both parents to pay an amount reasonable or necessary to fulfill the parental responsibility to provide for their minor children. The parental support obligation continues until a child reaches age 18, unless the child is pursuing an accredited course of instruction leading to a high school diploma or the equivalent. In these cases, the support obligation continues until the child either completes a high school diploma or the equivalent or turns age 19, whichever comes first. As a result of provisions contained in 2001 Wisconsin Act 16, the 2001-03 biennial budget act, the amount of support ordered must be expressed, with limited exceptions, as a fixed dollar amount in the order. Previous law had allowed this amount to be expressed in one of three ways: as a percentage of parental income, as a fixed sum, or as a combination of both (that is, as the greater or lesser of either a percentage of parental income or a fixed sum). This change was made so that the federal government could more accurately assess Wisconsin's performance on collecting current amounts of support due and arrearages. These performance measures are used in determining the amount of federal child support incentive payments awarded to states (discussed in a later section of this paper).

State law requires the court to determine the child support amount by using the percentage

standard established by administrative rule (DCF 150). Under this standard, the amount of child support is based on the obligor's income and the number of children that are to be supported. Special provisions apply to cases in which a parent has support obligations in more than one family, when both parents have substantial periods of physical placement, and when a parent is either a low-income payer or a high-income payer.

Determining Child Support Using the Percentage Standard

Under the percentage standard established in DCF 150, the amount of child support is based on the income of the parent obligated to pay support (payer) and on the number of children that are to be supported, as follows:

- a. for one child, 17% of the payer's income;
- b. for two children, 25% of the payer's income;
- c. for three children, 29% of the payer's income;
- d. for four children, 31% of the payer's income; and
- e. for five or more children, 34% of the payer's income.

The percentage of income standard is applied to the payer's actual and imputed gross income available for child support. Actual gross income includes wages and salary, interest and investment income, Social Security disability and old-age insurance benefits, net proceeds from worker's compensation or other personal injury awards intended to replace income, unemployment insurance, income continuation benefits, voluntary deferred compensation and other voluntary employee contributions to any pension or retirement account, military allowances and veterans benefits, undistributed income of a corporation, and all

other income except for public assistance and child support. Imputed income from assets available for child support is the amount of income ascribed to assets which are underproductive and to which income has been diverted to avoid paying child support or from which income is necessary to maintain the child or children at the economic level they would enjoy if they were living with both parents. Imputed income from assets is determined by multiplying the total net value of such assets by the current six-month treasury bill rate, or any other rate that the court determines is reasonable, and subtracting the actual earnings of the assets. In determining the payer's base income amount, the court may adjust gross income by adding wages paid to dependent household members and deducting necessary business expenses.

As an example, if a payer's annual gross income is \$30,000 and the payer is ordered to provide support for one child, the monthly support obligation would be \$425. This amount is determined by multiplying the payer's \$2,500 monthly income ($\$30,000 \div 12$) by the 17% standard for one child. The court may order the payee to waive the personal exemption for the dependent child for federal income tax purposes, contingent on the receipt of child support payments.

The court may also impute income based on earning capacity. If the income of the parent obligated to pay child support is less than that parent's earning capacity, or if both parents' incomes are considered (certain shared-time payers) and the income of one parent is less than that parent's earning capacity, the court may establish support by applying the percentage standard to: (a) an amount determined by the court to represent the payer's ability to earn, based on the payer's education, training and work experience, earnings during previous periods, current physical and mental health, history of child care responsibilities as the parent with primary physical placement, and the availability of work in or near the payer's community; or (b) the income a person would earn by working 35 hours per week for the federal or state

minimum wage, whichever is higher.

The percentage standard established in DCF 150 is based on research, conducted by the University of Wisconsin's Institute for Research on Poverty in 1982, which produced estimates of the amount of income and disposable assets that parents use to raise their children. The intent of the standard is to ensure that, to the extent possible, a child's standard of living is not adversely affected because his or her parents do not live together.

The court may, upon request, modify the amount of child support payments determined by using the percentage of income standard if the court finds by the greater weight of the credible evidence that use of the percentage standard is unfair to the child or to any of the parties. The court may consider the following factors:

- a. the financial resources of the child;
- b. the financial resources of both parents;
- c. maintenance received by either party;
- d. the needs of each party for support at a level equal to or greater than the federal poverty level;
- e. the needs of any person, other than the child, whom either party is legally obligated to support;
- f. if the parties were married, the standard of living the child would have enjoyed had the marriage not ended in annulment, divorce, or legal separation;
- g. the desirability that the custodian remain in the home as a full-time parent;
- h. the cost of day care if the custodian works outside the home, or the value of custodial services performed by the custodian if the custodian remains in the home;

i. the award of substantial periods of physical placement to both parents;

j. extraordinary travel expenses incurred in exercising visitation rights;

k. the physical, mental, and emotional health needs of the child, including the costs of health insurance and uninsured health care for the child;

l. the child's educational needs;

m. the tax consequences to each party;

n. the earning capacity of each parent, based on each parent's education, training, and work experience, and the availability of work in or near the parent's community;

o. the best interests of the child; and

p. any other factors that the court in each case determines are relevant.

If the court deviates from use of the percentage of income standard, the court must state, in writing or on the record, its reasons for finding that use of the percentage standard is unfair to the child or the parent, the amount of the modification, and the basis for the modification.

Unpaid child support equal to or greater than the amount due in one month accrues interest at a rate of 1% per month. The interest is added to the amount owed by the payer.

DCF 150 also includes special provisions for determining child support obligations in situations under which: (a) an individual has child support obligations in more than one family (serial-family payers); (b) a child has substantial periods of physical placement with each parent (shared custody); (c) an individual has custody of some, but not all, of his or her children (split custody); and (d) the payer is either a low-income payer or a high-income payer.

A low-income payer is a payer who has monthly income up to \$1,050. A low-income payer would pay less than the established percentage standard. DCF 150 establishes the percentage of income a low-income payer is obligated to contribute for child support, beginning with a monthly income of \$650. With a monthly income of \$650, a low-income payer must contribute: (a) 11.13% of income for one child; (b) 16.37% of income for two children; (c) 18.99% of income for three children; (d) 20.30% of income for four children; and (e) 22.27% of income for five or more children. The percentage of income a low-income payer must contribute to child support gradually increases until monthly income equals \$1,050. At a monthly income of \$1,050, the standard percentage amounts listed above would apply.

In addition, if a payer's monthly income is less than \$650, a court may establish an amount of child support appropriate for the payer's total circumstances. This amount may be less than the lowest amount established for a low-income payer in DCF 150.

A high-income payer is a payer whose monthly income is greater than or equal to \$7,000. A high-income payer's monthly income would be divided into three tiers. The high-income payer is required to pay different percentage levels of income based on the tier of income. First, the standard percentage amounts apply up to the first \$7,000 of a high-income payer's monthly income. Second, for the monthly income from \$7,000 to \$12,500, the high-income payer would pay from 14% to 27% based on the number of children supported. Finally, for all monthly income greater than \$12,500, the high-income payer would pay from 10% to 20% based on the number of children supported.

Revising Child Support Orders

A final judgment or order for child support is

periodically subject to modification by court order. A party seeking to modify a child support order may commence an action without the assistance of an attorney. The circuit court commissioner must provide information relating to the procedure for modifying child support orders and the major issues usually addressed in such actions. Some counties also provide "do-it-yourself" packets for filing such actions. If a party desires legal assistance, he or she may seek the services of a private attorney. Alternatively, recipients of child support may seek child support modification services from the county child support agency. These services are provided free of charge to persons receiving foster care assistance, medical assistance, food stamps, W-2 benefits, child care subsidies, or kinship care payments. A nominal fee may be charged to parents who do not receive assistance under these programs.

The following sections describe provisions relating to the revision of child support orders.

Venue for Actions to Revise Child Support Orders

Actions to modify a child support judgment or order generally must be filed in the county where the original judgment or order was rendered or in the county where the minor children reside. However, such actions may be filed in another county if: (a) all parties stipulate to filing in another county; or (b) the court in the original county orders the action to be filed in another county upon a showing of good cause.

Factors Considered in Actions to Modify Support

The amount of child support established under a child support order or judgment may be modified only if the court finds a substantial change in the circumstances of the parties or the children. Under state law, several occurrences give rise to a rebuttable presumption that a substantial change of circumstances has occurred. These include:

- a. Commencement of participation in W-2 by either parent since the entry of the last child support order;
- b. The expiration of 33 months since the date of the last child support order, except in the case of a percentage-expressed order;
- c. Failure of the payer to furnish a timely annual financial disclosure; or
- d. A difference between the amount of child support ordered by a court and the amount that would have been required based on the percentage standard, if the court did not use the percentage standard in determining the child support payments and did not explain its reasons for doing so.

In addition to the above-identified rebuttable presumptions, the statutes specify several other occurrences that may be found to constitute a substantial change in circumstances. These conditions include: (a) a change in the payer's income from the last time support was set (except for orders expressed as a percentage of income); (b) a change in the needs of the child; (c) a change in the payer's earning capacity; and (d) any other condition the court determines to be relevant.

If the court decides to modify a child support order, it generally may not revise the amount of support due, or the arrearages that have accrued, prior to the date that notice of the action to modify the order is given to the responding party, except to correct previous errors in calculations. However, the statutes specify exceptions to this restriction to allow the court to grant credit against support due for certain payments the non-custodial parent may have made to the custodial parent that fall outside the regular court-ordered support. Examples include non-regular payments made directly to the custodial parent by check or money order that--by a preponderance of the evidence--can be shown to be intended for support (and not, for example, as a

gift to the child) and payments made to the custodial parent that can clearly be shown to have resulted from a written agreement under which the payee expressly agreed to accept the payments in lieu of child or family support (subject to the restriction that the payments were not gifts or contributions for entertainment).

Determining the Amount of Modified Support

In modifying a child support order, a court must apply the percentage-of-income standard discussed above. If married or remarried, the obligor is treated as if he or she were single for purposes of applying the percentage standard. Thus, the percentage standard is applied only to the income of the obligor and not to the income of that parent's spouse. Upon request of a party to the action, the court may deviate from the percentage standard if it finds by the greater weight of the credible evidence that the use of the percentage standard is unfair to the child or any of the parties. In determining whether the percentage of income standard is unfair, the court must consider the factors identified in the section entitled "Establishing Support."

Under state law, if the state is a real party in interest, DCF must periodically review the case to determine if a modification is necessary. The state is a real party in interest whenever: (a) in an action to establish paternity, a completed application for legal services has been filed with the child support agency or the agency has received notice that no father is named on the child's birth certificate; (b) in an action to establish or enforce a child support obligation, a completed application for legal services has been filed with the child support agency; or (c) the child receives or has received medical assistance, kinship care, AFDC, or foster care benefits, or the custodial parent receives or has received W-2 or child care benefits. If the county child support agency determines it appropriate to modify the child support order, the agency must seek a modification of the order.

Annual Adjustments in Support

A child support order may provide for an annual adjustment to the support obligation based on a change in the payer's income and based on the percentage standard established by administrative rule DCF 150. No adjustment may be made under this provision unless the order specifically allows for the adjustment, and an adjustment under this provision may not be made more than once per year. However, there is no limit on a party's right to file, at any time, a petition for a change in the support amount under other sections of Wisconsin's child support enforcement laws.

2001 Wisconsin Act 16 modified the existing statutes providing for annual adjustments to allow either party--not just the person entitled to the payments--to request such an adjustment. In the order, the court or circuit court commissioner must specify what information the parties are required to exchange to determine whether the payer's income has changed, as well as the manner and timing of the information exchange. In addition, if the order provides for an annual adjustment, a form must be provided by the court or circuit court commissioner for the parties to use in stipulating to an adjustment of the support amount. The form must include an order, to be signed by a judge or circuit court commissioner, for approval of the stipulation of the parties.

If the payer's income changes from the amount used in determining the existing support order, the parties may implement an annual adjustment by stipulating to the changed income amount and the adjusted support amount, using the form described above. An adjustment made in this way takes effect on the date when the revised order is signed by the judge or court commissioner.

If the payer's income changes, but a party refuses to sign the stipulation for an adjustment in the amount of support, any party (including the

state if the state is a real party in interest) may file a motion, petition, or order to show cause for implementation of an annual adjustment. Such a filing may also be made if a party refuses to provide the information required by the court in order to determine whether the payer's income has changed. If it is determined after a hearing that an adjustment should be made, the court or circuit court commissioner must enter an order for the revised amount of support. In general, such an adjustment may not take effect before the date on which the responding party received notice of the action. However, the court or circuit court commissioner has discretion to order that all or part of the adjustment not take effect until a date of the court's determination under any of the following circumstances: (a) the payee was seeking an adjustment and the payer establishes that extraordinary circumstances beyond his or her control prevent fulfillment of the adjusted support obligation; (b) the payer was seeking an adjustment and the payee establishes that the payer voluntarily and unreasonably reduced his or her income below his or her earning capacity; or (c) the payer was seeking an adjustment and the payee establishes that the adjustment would be unfair to the child.

Finally, if the court or circuit court commissioner determines that a party has unreasonably failed to provide the information required in order to determine whether the payer's income has changed, or to provide the information on a timely basis, or unreasonably failed or refused to sign a stipulation for an annual adjustment, the court or circuit court commissioner may award actual costs (including service costs, any costs attributable to time missed from employment, the cost of travel to and from court, and reasonable attorney fees) to the aggrieved party.

Mandatory Review and Adjustment of Support for Families Receiving TANF

The federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 requires states, beginning October 1, 2007, to review and adjust, if necessary, child support

orders every three years (or sooner as the state may determine), in actions involving families receiving TANF. One of three methods may be used to review and adjust these child support orders: (a) full review and adjustment; (b) cost-of-living adjustment; or (c) automated adjustment. Under the options of (b) and (c), the procedures must include the opportunity for either party to contest the adjustment within 30 days after the date of the notice of the adjustment. Current procedures for annual adjustments and adjustments due to motions filed by a party are based on the full review and adjustment method. However, annual adjustments do not require the parties to show a substantial change of circumstances before an adjustment can be made outside the normal three-year review and adjustment cycle.

Medical Support Obligations

As part of a child support proceeding, courts are required to assign responsibility for, and direct the manner of payment of, a child's health care expenses. In assigning responsibility for a child's health care expenses, courts must consider specific factors, including: (a) whether a child is covered under a parent's health insurance policy or plan at the time of the court action; (b) the availability of health insurance to each parent through an employer or other organization; (c) the extent of coverage available to a child; and (d) the costs to the parent for the coverage of the child. Courts may require a parent to initiate or continue health care insurance coverage for a child and to provide copies of necessary program or policy identification to the custodial parent.

Courts may, in directing the manner of payment of a child's health care expenses, order that payment be withheld from the payer's income and sent directly to the appropriate health care insurer, provider, or plan. An employer who receives a notice of assignment for health insurance premiums

must send the withheld premiums to the appropriate insurer, provider, or plan. Alternatively, a court may order that medical support payments be withheld from a payer's income and sent to DCF (or its designee) for disbursement to the person, other than a health care insurer, provider, or plan, for whom payment has been awarded. In addition, if a court orders a parent to initiate or continue health insurance for a child under a health insurance policy available to the parent through an employer, and the court does not specify how the premiums must be paid, the court, circuit court commissioner, or county child support agency may provide notice to the employer of an income assignment for health insurance premiums.

If a court orders a person to provide coverage for a child's health care expenses and the parent is eligible for family coverage, the employer must: (a) permit the parent to obtain family coverage for the person's child, if eligible for coverage, without regard to any enrollment period or waiting period restrictions that may apply to the policy; (b) provide family coverage for the person's child, if eligible for coverage, upon application by the person, the child's other parent, DCF, or a county child support enforcement agency; (c) notify the county child support agency when coverage under the plan is in effect and, upon request, provide copies of necessary program or policy identification to the child's other parent; and (d) after the child is covered, and as long as the parent is eligible for family coverage under the policy, continue to provide coverage for the child unless the employer receives satisfactory written evidence that the court order is no longer in effect or that the child is covered under another policy that provides comparable coverage.

If a parent who is ordered to provide health care coverage changes employers, the county child support agency must notify the new employer and the parent (parents must notify the county child support agency of any change in employer within

ten business days) that he or she must continue to provide health care coverage. The new employer is required to provide coverage to the child upon receiving the notice. The parent may, within 10 business days, request a hearing before the court on the issue of whether the order should remain in effect. The court must notify the employer if the court or circuit court commissioner determines that the order should not remain in effect.

Wisconsin insurance laws prohibit health insurance policies that provide coverage to dependent children from denying coverage, or setting a premium for any child that differs from the amount set for other dependent children, based solely on: (a) the fact that the child does not reside with the group member or insured or is dependent upon another parent rather than the group member or insured; (b) the proportion of the child's support provided by the group member or insured; (c) the fact that the child is a nonmarital child; (d) the fact that the child resides outside the insurer's geographical service area; or (e) the fact that the group member or insured does not claim the child as an exemption for federal or state income tax purposes.

In addition, if an insurer provides coverage for a child of a group member or insured who is not the child's custodial parent, the insurer must provide information related to the child's enrollment to the custodial parent and must allow the custodial parent, a health care provider, or the Department of Health Services (DHS) to submit claims for covered services on behalf of the child to the insurer without approval of the parent who is the group member or insured. The insurer is required to pay claims directly to the health care provider, the custodial parent, or DHS, as appropriate.

The federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 now requires states to consider either parent or both parents in determining who should provide health insurance.

Collection of Child Support Payments

Immediate Income Withholding

In 1983, Wisconsin became the first state in the nation to implement immediate income withholding on a pilot basis. Immediate income withholding was enacted statewide in 1987. Under this process, child support is automatically withheld from an obligor's paycheck or other income source when the obligor is paid so as to prevent a child support payment from becoming overdue.

Under state law, each child support order constitutes an assignment to DCF (or its support-collection designee) of all earnings, pension benefits, worker's compensation, unemployment compensation, lottery prizes payable in installments, and other money due or to be due in the future. The assignment is for an amount sufficient to ensure payment under the order and to pay any arrearages due at a periodic rate not to exceed 50% of the amount of support due. However, the addition of arrearages may not leave the obligor with income below the federal poverty level. If the obligation for support terminates (as occurs when the child turns 18, for example), the assignment remains in effect if there are arrearages outstanding.

The court, circuit court commissioner, or county child support agency must provide notice of each child support assignment to the last-known address of the employer or other person from whom the obligor receives or will receive money. A court may exempt a person from the withholding requirement if the court finds that income withholding is likely to cause the payer irreparable harm. In addition, the amount withheld may not exceed the maximum amount allowed under federal law. Federal law limits the maximum amount that can be withheld to 50% of the obligor's disposable income if the obligor is supporting dependents in addition to the person for whom support has been ordered (60% if the obligor is not supporting other

dependents). These amounts may be increased by 5% if the withholding is to enforce certain past-due obligations. As described below, a court also may require the use of a deposit account in lieu of withholding. Child support withholding assignments have priority over any other assignment, garnishment, or similar legal process under state law.

If immediate income withholding is not required, the court or circuit court commissioner must initiate income withholding if the obligor fails to make a required payment within 10 days after its due date. Withholding must be implemented within 20 days after the payment's due date and a notice must be provided to the obligor and their employer (or other person from whom the obligor receives money). The notice to the obligor indicates that they may request (within 10 days after the notice is mailed) a hearing on the issue of whether the assignment should remain in effect. If requested, the hearing must be held within 10 working days. If the obligor establishes at the hearing that the assignment is not proper because of a mistake of fact, the court or circuit court commissioner may direct that the assignment be withdrawn. If the decision is made by a circuit court commissioner, either party may seek review of the decision by the court with jurisdiction over the action within 15 working days.

Employers and other persons who receive notice of assignment under these provisions or similar laws of another state must withhold the amount specified in the notice from any money paid to the obligor. Withheld child support must be remitted to DCF (or its designee) within five days after the employer or other person pays the obligor. In the case of amounts withheld for health care expenses, the funds must be sent to the appropriate health care insurer, provider, or plan within the five days. Along with the child support submitted, the obligor's gross income from which the payment was withheld must be reported. Each time income is withheld, the employer (or other person from whom the obligor receives money) may retain an amount to cover administrative expenses associated with withholding and remitting the funds, not

to exceed \$3. The administrative reimbursement is deducted from the money to be paid to the obligor.

DWD withholds child support payments from unemployment insurance benefits and forwards the withheld amounts to the state's support collections trust fund. When money is withheld from unemployment insurance benefits, no administrative fee may be deducted and no fine may be levied for failure to withhold the money.

Child support paid through income withholding is first applied to cover support due within the calendar month during which the payment is received. Any remaining monies are applied to the payment of delinquent support and then to the payment of any interest that may have accrued.

If an employer or other person fails to withhold or remit the required amounts, the person may be proceeded against for contempt of court or required to forfeit not less than \$50 nor more than an amount equal to 1% of the amount not withheld or sent. An employer who receives an assignment for income withholding on behalf of an employee must notify DCF within 10 days after the employee is terminated or otherwise leaves employment. An employer who fails to provide such notice may be proceeded against for contempt of court.

No employer may use a withholding assignment as a basis for the denial of employment, the discharge of an employee, or any disciplinary action against an employee. An employer who violates this provision may be fined not more than \$500 and may be required to make full restitution, including reinstatement and back pay. An aggrieved person may apply to the district attorney or to DCF for enforcement of this provision.

Transfers from Deposit Account

If a court or circuit court commissioner determines that income withholding is inapplicable, ineffective, or insufficient to satisfy a child support or medical support obligation, the court or circuit

court commissioner may require the obligor to identify or establish a deposit account from which funds may be periodically transferred for payment of support. The obligor must complete an authorization to transfer funds to DCF and file it with the financial institution at which the account is located. The authorization must specify the frequency and the amount of transfer, sufficient to meet the individual's child support obligation. The authorization must also include the obligor's consent for the financial institution to disclose information regarding the account to the court, circuit court commissioner, county child support agency, or DCF.

Financial institutions must transfer the specified amounts (or any available funds if the account balance is less than the authorized amount) by any lawful means, including payment by check, subject to the terms of the account. The financial institution may deduct its usual fee for such fund transfers. If the account is closed, or if no funds are available at the time of transfer, the financial institution must notify the county child support agency or DCF within 10 days. An authorization for a child support transfer has priority over any other authorization for transfer and over an assignment, garnishment, or similar legal process under state law or the laws of another state. An authorization for a child support transfer may not be revoked except by court order. No financial institution or officer, employee, or agent of a financial institution is liable to an account owner for any sum transferred, or for any information disclosed, in compliance with these provisions.

Child Support Enforcement Services

Any parent who needs help in locating an absent parent, establishing a support obligation, or enforcing or modifying a support obligation may apply for these services from the county child support agency. Parents who receive public assistance receive these services at no cost. Efforts to collect delinquent amounts generally include the

collection of child or family support, maintenance, medical expenses, or birth expenses, and accrued interest and penalties. DCF and county child support agencies have the authority to subpoena financial and employment information and to obtain records from state or other governmental entities for use in enforcement efforts. Several new administrative powers were created under 1997 Act 191 in order to comply with PRWORA. As part of the Act 191 modifications, applications for licenses, permits, or credentials issued by state agencies and documents related to matters affecting families must include the social security numbers of the persons involved. Judicial remedies are also available for enforcing child support orders. Several enforcement services offered by child support agencies are described below.

Tax Refund, Lottery, and Benefits Intercepts

Under federal law, anyone entitled to a federal income tax refund who owes past due child support may have his or her refund check intercepted and applied to past-due support. Beginning October 1, 2007, the federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 permits states to intercept a federal tax refund and apply it to non-assigned arrearages for children over age 18.

Wisconsin law also provides for the interception of state income tax refunds, Wisconsin lottery winnings equal to or greater than \$1,000, court judgments and settlements, and lump sum retirement benefits to satisfy past-due support obligations. In addition, certain benefits received by the obligor, such as unemployment compensation and worker's compensation, may be intercepted and applied to past due support. These activities can be initiated by DCF based on the child support order, without an additional court order. Federal law also authorizes the Internal Revenue Service to assist in collecting delinquent child support obligations, if the state has made diligent and reasonable efforts to collect the amount due. However, this service is used infrequently.

Child Support Lien Docket

The federal PRWORA legislation required all states to establish a process for placing administrative liens against the property of delinquent obligors. Wisconsin's child support lien docket took effect in October, 2000. The lien docket contains the name, social security number, the amount of the lien, and the date the entry was made for obligors whose arrearages exceed a certain threshold. Initially, obligors who exceeded a threshold of \$30,000 were placed on the lien docket and were notified of the lien and enforcement actions that can be taken to enforce the lien. Approximately 4,000 obligors met this threshold. The \$30,000 threshold has been reduced several times since 2000. The threshold is currently \$500. As of August, 2008, there were 138,500 obligors listed on the lien docket.

The financial record matching program was also created as part of this initiative. Amounts collected under these provisions are deposited to the support collections trust fund for disbursement to the appropriate payee.

Liens and Levies Against Property

Under state law, if a person fails to pay court-ordered support, the delinquent amount becomes a lien in favor of DCF upon all of the person's property, including accounts at financial institutions, real and personal property, tangible and intangible property, and rights to property at the time of levy. The Wisconsin Department of Transportation, for example, automatically records a child support lien on any vehicle registrations that are issued to individuals whose names appear on the child support lien docket.

The federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 requires all states to implement interstate enforcement of liens on accounts at financial institutions and to give full faith and credit to other states' due process rights, rather than their own state's processes. Interstate enforcement must be through the state's automated financial institution data match program. 2007 Act 20 implemented

these changes under state law.

Procedures are provided regarding the notification of the obligor and appeal of the lien. A lien under these provisions has priority over, from the lien's effective date, any other judgment constituting a lien on the property, except tax and special assessments, purchase money mortgages, construction liens, environmental liens, and any other lien given priority under the law. A lien becomes effective when the information is entered into the statewide lien docket and the docket is delivered to the register of deeds. The lien is effective for a maximum of five years. Payment of the delinquent support extinguishes the lien.

A copy of the docket must be provided to the register of deeds and child support agency in each county and to each state agency that titles personal property. DCF updates the docket to reflect changes in the amounts of the liens and in response to orders issued by a court or circuit court commissioner.

If an obligor neglects or refuses to pay delinquent support after a demand for payment has been made under these provisions, or has not entered into a satisfactory payment plan, DCF may enforce the lien by seizing and selling any personal property (including motor vehicles) and real property (including homesteads) and by seizing any financial accounts belonging to the obligor until the support owed and levy fees and costs are paid in full. The statutes establish a number of due-process procedures regarding notification, hearings, judicial review, and the treatment of jointly-held property. DCF must apply all proceeds from the sale of the property first against the support and then against levy fees and costs. Any remaining amount may be refunded or credited.

In general, DCF may delegate its authority under the financial record matching program and the provisions relating to liens and levies against property to county child support agencies. However, a county agency may not initiate a levy proceeding against real property without approval

by the Department. Administrative rule DCF 152 establishes additional conditions that must be met before property can be seized.

Financial Record Matching Program

Under the financial record matching program, financial institutions, in agreement with DCF, must provide specified information for each noncustodial parent who has an account at the institution and is identified as owing past-due child support. There are two options available to financial institutions for conducting data matches, which are done quarterly: (a) DCF provides the institution with information regarding delinquent support obligors (including names and social security numbers), and the financial institution determines whether any delinquent obligors maintain an account; or (b) the financial institution provides DCF with information concerning all accounts and DCF determines whether any support obligor has an account. Financial institutions must be reimbursed for costs they incur by participating in the program, up to \$125 per quarter. The information provided by DCF to financial institutions may only be used for the purpose of matching records; violations are punishable with a fine of \$25 to \$500, imprisonment for 10 days to one year, or both.

The financial record-matching program was implemented in September, 2000. DCF indicates that it and OCSE currently have data-exchange arrangements with 4,985 financial institutions, both in-state and out-of-state. For the period June, 2006, through June, 2008, 550 account seizures were implemented, yielding past-due support collections of approximately \$1 million.

License Suspension

Licensing agencies and credentialing boards are required (and the Supreme Court and the Lac du Flambeau Band of the Lake Superior Chippewa are requested) to restrict, suspend, or deny the driver's, professional, occupational, and recreational licenses of individuals who owe past-due support or who fail to comply with subpoenas or warrants

relating to paternity or child support proceedings. A license restriction, suspension, or denial remains in effect for five years (six months for failure to comply with a subpoena or warrant) or until the individual satisfies the support delinquency, complies with the subpoena or warrant, or enters into an alternative payment arrangement, whichever comes first. The licenses subject to this provision are listed in the Appendix.

DCF is required to enter into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the licensing agencies outlining the following: (a) the circumstances for license restriction, suspension, or denial; (b) the procedures used by DCF to certify to the licensing entity that a person is delinquent in paying support or has failed to comply with a subpoena or warrant; (c) the procedures used by the licensing entities in restricting, suspending, or denying a license, issuing or reinstating a license upon expiration of the restriction, suspension, or denial, and providing notice to the individual; and (d) procedures for the use of social security numbers obtained from license applications and for safeguarding confidentiality. Procedures to notify the person of these actions are also outlined in the MOU.

A delinquent obligor must owe at least three months of support and have an enforceable lien before a license can be restricted, suspended, or denied. In addition, DCF or a county child support agency must notify the individual, who may request a hearing before the circuit court that ordered the support payments within 20 business days after receiving the notice. If requested in a timely manner, a hearing must be scheduled within 10 business days. The hearing will address only issues related to the delinquent support. If an initial hearing is not requested or full payment or alternative payment arrangement is not made, the individual's name is placed on a certification list, which subjects the individual to license restriction, suspension, or denial for five years. Again, the individual must be notified of the certification and has 20 business days to schedule a second hearing. Licenses will not be restricted, suspended, or

denied if delinquent amounts are paid in full or if satisfactory alternative payment arrangements are made. An individual whose driver's license is suspended may be eligible for an occupational license.

All subpoenas and warrants related to support or paternity proceedings must include information to the individual regarding the effect noncompliance may have on any licenses held or applied for. If the individual fails to comply, notice is provided that any license will be subject to restriction, suspension, or denial for six months. If the individual still does not satisfy the subpoena or warrant, DCF places his or her name on the certification list.

A license that has been restricted, suspended, or denied under these provisions will be reinstated or issued if the obligor pays the delinquent amount of support in full, makes satisfactory payment arrangements, or complies with the subpoena or warrant.

As of November, 2008, DCF had license suspension processes in place with the Department of Transportation--driver's licenses, the Department of Natural Resources--recreational licenses and professional licenses, the Department of Regulation and Licensing, the Division of Gaming, the Department of Health Services--Division of Health Care Financing, the State Bar, the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance, and the Ethics Board.

In addition, DCF is currently in the process of adding license-suspension arrangements with the Department of Health Services--Division of Public Health. Completion of these suspension processes is subject to the availability of information technology resources and the priority of other work efforts mandated through state and federal regulations.

Credit Bureau Reporting

DCF must disclose the amount of delinquent support to consumer reporting agencies. Individuals must be notified of the disclosure at least 20

business days beforehand. If the amounts reported are paid in full or are found to be erroneous, the consumer reporting agency must be notified within 30 days.

State Loans, Grants, and Waivers

State agencies and authorities are prohibited from providing grants, loans, or waivers to individuals who have been certified by DCF as owing delinquent support. Grant, loan, and waiver programs administered by the Departments of Military Affairs, Veterans' Affairs, Commerce, Natural Resources, Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection, Justice, the University of Wisconsin System, the Higher Educational Aids Board, and the Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority are affected by this provision. These agencies and authorities refer to the lien docket, rather than the certification list, to determine who owes delinquent support.

Court-Ordered Employment and Training

In any action to establish or modify a child support order, state law permits courts to order either or both parents to seek employment or participate in an employment or training program as a means of increasing financial support for the child. Unemployed teenage parents (less than 20 years of age) are required to do one or more of the following: (a) register for work at a public employment office; (b) apply for jobs; (c) participate in a job training program; or (d) pursue a high school degree or its equivalent.

The state work experience and job training program for noncustodial parents who fail to pay child support is referred to as children first. A noncustodial parent who has no current means of meeting a child support obligation may be ordered by the court into the program. A participant successfully completes the children first program when he or she either fulfills child support obligations for three consecutive months, or completes 16 weeks of employment and training activities.

The children first program requires a formal partnership between the county child support agency, the county/tribal judicial system, and the W-2 agency. The amount provided to county child support agencies or W-2 agencies administering the program is "up to \$400" for each participant. Additional program costs are paid by the agency. State funding for the children first program of approximately \$1.1 million per year is provided under the TANF block grant. The program was operated in 35 counties in calendar year 2008.

Interstate Enforcement

It has been estimated that approximately 30% of a state's child support cases involve parents living in different states. It is usually more difficult to establish paternity and support orders and make collections when parents live in different states. The Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA) is used in actions to establish, enforce, or modify support orders when the parties do not reside in the same state and in situations in which support orders have been issued in more than one state. Wisconsin's UIFSA statutes are based on the uniform act, which was drafted and approved by the National Conference of Commissioners of Uniform State Laws.

Under Wisconsin's UIFSA law, a Wisconsin employer is required to treat an order for income withholding from another state as if it were issued by a court in Wisconsin. The employer must comply with the order's terms as they relate to: (a) duration and amount of support; (b) the designated payee; (c) medical support; (d) payment of fees and costs; and (e) payment of arrears and interest. The employer must comply with Wisconsin's laws with respect to: (a) the employer's fee for processing the order; (b) the maximum amount allowed to be withheld; and (c) the time period in which the order must be implemented. In addition, Wisconsin's laws regarding the receipt of multiple orders to withhold income, immunity from civil liability, and penalties for noncompliance govern Wisconsin employers in multijurisdictional support cases.

Wisconsin courts may exercise personal jurisdiction over nonresidents under limited circumstances in child support cases and paternity actions. Additionally, Wisconsin courts may make determinations as to which order among multiple state orders is controlling (so that only one support order is in effect at any time) and may provide for enforcement of interstate wage withholding. Wisconsin courts may modify support orders of another state if: (a) the parties and the child are not residents of the issuing state; (b) the nonresident petitioner seeks modification; and (c) the respondent is subject to personal jurisdiction in Wisconsin. Wisconsin courts may also modify a support order from another state if an individual party or the child is subject to personal jurisdiction in Wisconsin and all parties file written consent for the Wisconsin court to modify the order.

Parent Locator Service: Case Registries and Directory of New Hires

The PRWORA legislation required the establishment of federal and state directories of new hires and case registries. The federal activities operate within the federal parent locator service (PLS). The federal PLS is a computerized national location network operated by the Office of Child Support Enforcement. It provides address, employment, asset, and social security number information on persons to assist in the location of non-custodial parents and delinquent obligors. Information also may be requested of the PLS with regard to enforcement of custody and visitation rights, investigating parental kidnappings, adoption, or foster care.

A state's directory of new hires is a registry of all newly hired employees in that state. The state case registry is a registry of the state's TANF child support cases and all support cases established or modified in the state on or after October 1, 1998. Each state registry transmits data to the corresponding component of the federal PLS. States also are required to transmit quarterly wage and unemployment insurance data to the national directory of new hires. Further, the federal PLS can ac-

cess data from the U.S. Social Security Administration, the Internal Revenue Service, the Department of Defense, the Veterans Administration, the National Personnel Records Center, and state employment security agencies.

Wisconsin employers began reporting to the state's directory of new hires on January 1, 1998. Employers are required to report the name, date of birth, address, and social security number of each newly hired employee in addition to their own name, address, and federal employer identification number. Employers must also report the date the employee started work. Federal law requires this information to be reported within 20 days of a new employee's hire. Under Wisconsin law, as required by federal law, multi-state employers may designate another state for purposes of providing the required information upon notification of DCF and the U.S. DHHS. Employers who fail to comply may be fined up to \$25 for each new employee they fail to report. However, if the failure is found to be the result of a conspiracy between the employer and employee, a fine of up to \$500 may be imposed.

Passport Denial

PRWORA required states to report individuals owing \$5,000 or more in support to the U.S. State Department. These individuals' passport privileges may then be restricted. DCF began implementing this provision in September, 2000. The federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 lowered the threshold from \$5,000 to \$2,500, beginning October 1, 2006.

Child Support Public Awareness Program

State law requires DCF to establish a program to increase public awareness about the importance of the payment of child support, including the publication of information, such as names and photographs, which identifies significantly delinquent child support obligors. The Department may use posters, media presentations, or other appropriate means for the publication of the information. The publications must include information about the child support owed by each obligor, and, if appro-

appropriate, must solicit information from the public to assist in locating the delinquent obligor.

Court-Ordered Enforcement Remedies

In addition to the administrative options available to DCF for enforcement of support orders, a court may order a lien against the obligor's real property for any unpaid child support. Further, a claim for child support arrearages automatically results in a lien against a ship, boat, or vessel owned by the obligor; proceeds from the sale of the vessel may be used to satisfy the child support obligation.

Child Support Collections

Table 1 identifies child support, medical support, and other support-related collections of \$953.6 million in federal fiscal year (FFY) 2008. DCF indicates that approximately 69% of child and medical support was paid on behalf of families who used county child support enforcement services and that approximately 31% was paid to families who did not use county services in FFY 2008. In addition to the amounts identified in the table, \$19.9 million was collected for costs, fees, and other debt-types that are not support-related.

Table 1: Child Support Collections Made in FFY 2008

Type of Collection	Amount
Income Withholding	\$670,013,900
Federal Tax Intercept	53,620,900
Collections Received from Other States	25,021,300
State Tax Intercept	18,267,700
Unemployment Compensation Intercept	26,654,100
Collections from Other Sources	<u>160,015,000</u>
Total	\$953,592,900

Civil and Criminal Enforcement

In situations where a person has failed to meet

an obligation to support a child and where wage assignment or account transfer have not been feasible, the court may, on its own initiative, and must, upon application of a person owed support, issue an order for the obligor to show cause for the nonpayment or be held in contempt of court. The obligor may be required to provide payment for past due support or be incarcerated for up to six months, or both. Other remedies designed to ensure compliance with the obligation may also be ordered. Contempt proceedings may also be initiated by the county child support agency or circuit court commissioner if court-ordered child support payments are not paid when due.

Criminal penalties for failure to provide support may also be imposed. Intentionally failing to pay child support for 120 or more consecutive days is a Class I felony, punishable by a fine of not more than \$10,000 or imprisonment for up to three-and-a-half years, or both. A person may be charged with multiple counts of felony nonsupport if each count covers a distinct period of at least 120 consecutive days. Thus, a person who intentionally fails to provide support for a period of a year could be charged with up to three counts of felony nonsupport. Failure to pay support for less than 120 consecutive days is a Class A misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of up to \$10,000 or imprisonment for up to nine months, or both.

A person who is charged with failure to support may raise the defense of inability to pay. However, a person may not demonstrate inability to provide child support if the person is employable but, without reasonable excuse, fails to diligently seek employment, terminates employment, or reduces his or her earnings or assets. A person who raises an affirmative defense of inability to pay must prove the defense by a preponderance of the evidence.

In a criminal action for failure to support, a court must (in addition to, or instead of, imposing the criminal penalty for a Class I felony or a Class A misdemeanor) order the defendant to pay the amount required under a court order for child

support, including any amount necessary to meet a past legal obligation for support. If no court order exists, the court must enter an order for child support in the manner prescribed under the family-actions statutes (see earlier section in this paper on establishing support).

The willful failure to pay a past-due child support obligation on behalf of a child residing in another state is a federal crime under the Deadbeat Parents Punishment Act of 1998 (P.L. 105-187). Under the law, any person who willfully fails to pay a support obligation for a child residing in another state, if the obligation has not been paid in more than a year or exceeds \$5,000, is subject to a fine of up to \$5,000, imprisonment for not more than six months, or both. A person who has done either of the following is subject to a \$5,000 fine or imprisonment for not more than two years, or both: (a) willfully fails to pay a support obligation for a child residing in another state, if the obligation has not been paid in more than two years or exceeds \$10,000; or (b) travels nationally or internationally to evade a support obligation, if the obligation has not been paid in more than a year or exceeds \$5,000. The court must order a person found to have violated any of these provisions to make restitution in an amount equal to the total unpaid support obligation as it exists at the time of sentencing.

Distribution of Child Support Collected on Behalf of Public Assistance Recipients

AFDC Provisions

Under prior federal law, as a condition of eligibility for AFDC, an applicant was required to assign all rights to court-ordered child support and maintenance (alimony) to the state. The assignment included all unpaid support and maintenance obligations for as long as the family received AFDC. If the child support collected was

insufficient to disqualify the family from receiving AFDC payments, up to \$50 each month collected from an absent parent was provided to the family without affecting the family's AFDC grant. Thus, the family received its full monthly AFDC payment plus the first \$50 of the child support payment made in the child's behalf for the month. This payment was referred to as the \$50 disregard or the \$50 DEFRA payment, named after the federal legislation that created it (the Deficit Reduction Act of 1984).

All child support collected on behalf of an AFDC family that exceeded the \$50 DEFRA payment was divided between the state and the federal government in proportion to funding used to support the AFDC program (approximately 60% federal and 40% state). The state's share was used to offset state AFDC expenditures. The federal share was used to offset federal AFDC expenditures and to fund incentive payments to the state.

Historically, annual child support collections assigned to the state by AFDC recipients totaled approximately \$60 million. Of this amount, approximately \$10 million was paid to the recipient under DEFRA, \$20 million was retained by the state, and \$30 million was retained by the federal government.

TANF and Child Support Pass-Through

As noted, the 1996 federal welfare reform legislation (P.L. 104-193) eliminated the AFDC program and replaced it with a block grant program called "temporary assistance for needy families" (TANF). Like the AFDC program, under the TANF provisions, states required recipients to assign to the state the right to collect any child support obligations that accumulated before the family received welfare as well as support that came due while the family received benefits, not to exceed the total amount of assistance provided.

However, under the federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, states can no longer require TANF recipients to assign to the state the right to collect any

child support obligations that accumulated before the family received welfare. This provision must be implemented no later than October 1, 2009. States also may eliminate all existing assigned child support arrearages for AFDC and TANF recipients for child support that accrued before the family received assistance. In addition, states may change the order of distribution of arrearages so that any collections made through federal tax intercepts would be paid to family-owed arrearages first, before satisfying government-owed arrearages. If a state exercises this option and the money is paid to former TANF participants, then the federal share of the intercept amount is waived. DCF anticipates that the decisions on whether to eliminate all existing assigned child support arrearages for AFDC and TANF recipients for child support that accrued before the family received assistance and whether to change the order of distribution of arrearages would be made during the 2009-11 biennial budget process. The Department's 2009-11 budget request includes a statutory change to eliminate all existing assigned child support arrearages for AFDC and TANF recipients that accrued before the family received assistance.

States may not require the assignment of support that accrues after the date the family leaves the program.

Under current federal law, child support collected on behalf of families who have never received public assistance must be distributed to the family. However, in the case of families receiving assistance from the state, the state must: (a) first pay to the federal government the federal share of the support collected; and (b) retain, or distribute to the family, the remaining amount collected. The federal share is based on the federal financial participation rate for the medicaid program in effect during the year in which the collections were made (currently about 59% in Wisconsin). There is no longer a requirement for states to pass through the first \$50 of support to the family. However, under the federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, states now have the option to pass through \$100 per month (\$200 per month for a family that has two or

more children) without being required to pay the federal share on that amount. States have the option of passing through the full amount of support to the family, but are still required to pay the federal government its share.

Research indicates that TANF participants in most states either do not receive any of the child support paid on behalf of their children or receive only up to \$50. Instead, the revenue is used to defray public assistance and child support enforcement costs.

Under state law for the W-2 program, which replaced AFDC in Wisconsin, an eligible individual must assign any right to child support to the state in order to receive cash assistance or a child care subsidy. However, former state law required any support money received by DWD to be paid to the W-2 participant in addition to their W-2 program benefits. As noted, federal law requires that the state also pay the federal government 59% of child support collections. In Wisconsin's case, though, the federal share was offset against an accumulated balance of unclaimed waiver savings, under a child support demonstration waiver. As a result, all of the support was passed through to W-2 participants without having to pay the federal share. This waiver expired December 31, 2005. Therefore, the federal share was required to be paid again, beginning January 1, 2006.

To address this requirement of paying the federal share, 2005 Wisconsin Act 25 modified state law so that the state would not be required to pass all child support collected to the W-2 participant and pay the federal share. Without the Act 25 changes, the state would have had to pay approximately \$1.59 for each dollar received (\$1.00 to the W-2 participant and \$0.59 to the federal government). Act 25 changed the requirement that all child support be passed through to the W-2 participant. Instead, the state will first pay the federal government its share of the assigned child support collected and then pass the remainder of the support collected through to the W-2 participant.

In addition, DWD, in agreement with the federal government, phased-in the reduction to the amount of child support passed through to the W-2 participant. Instead of passing 100% through, the percentage decreased as follows: (a) 85% from January, 2006, through March, 2006; (b) 71% from April, 2006, through June, 2006; (c) 56% from July, 2006, through September, 2006; and (d) 42% (the state's share of support collected) each month thereafter.

Finally, as indicated above, under the federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, the first \$100 per month (\$200 per month if there are two or more children) may be passed through without being subjected to the federal share. Whether to implement this option has not yet been determined. DCF expects that this decision would be made during the 2009-11 biennial budget process. The Department did not include any recommendation in its budget request.

Program Administration Costs

The costs of administering the child support program in Wisconsin are supported by a combination of federal funds, state general purpose revenue (GPR), county tax revenue, program revenue collected from service fees, interest on balances in the support collections trust fund, and unclaimed child support.

Federal Funds

Federal Matching Funds

Most administrative and enforcement costs incurred by the state and counties are reimbursed by the federal government based on a federal financial participation (FFP) rate of 66% of eligible costs. Costs that are reimbursed at this rate include the costs of administering the child support enforcement program, the establishment of paternity, es-

tablishment and enforcement of support obligations, the collection and distribution of support payments, the state parent locator service, activities related to federal tax intercepts, establishing and maintaining case records, operating a computerized support enforcement system, securing medical support, and performing laboratory tests for paternity establishments.

The federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 made two significant changes regarding child support matching funds. First, beginning October 1, 2006, laboratory costs for establishing paternity are eligible for reimbursement at the regular 66% rate, rather than the enhanced 90% rate that was in effect prior to that date. Second, beginning October 1, 2007, child support expenditures funded with federal incentive payments (described below) are no longer eligible to receive the 66% federal match. This change significantly reduced the amount of federal revenue available for child support enforcement activities in Wisconsin and other states, beginning in the 2007-09 biennium.

Federal Incentive Payments

In addition to the matching funds, the federal government distributes incentive payments to states in order to encourage and reward state programs that operate effectively.

Under the program, the annual incentive payment to each state is based on that state's performance, relative to the other states, on several criteria. Currently, performance on five criteria determines the amount of the award: (a) paternity establishment; (b) establishment of support orders; (c) collection of current child support due; (d) collection of child support arrearages; and (e) cost-effectiveness. Standards for a sixth criterion--medical support enforcement--are being developed. This standard has not been finalized. DCF indicates that implementation of this measure would require congressional action. Therefore, implementation is not expected until at least 2010.

Attachment 1 provides information on the relative efficiency of state child support programs between FFY 2000 and FFY 2007. The attachment shows that, in FFY 2007, the statewide collection-to-cost ratio for Wisconsin was \$5.65 in support distributions per dollar spent on enforcement efforts statewide compared with the national collection-to-cost ratio of \$4.73. Of the fifty states plus Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands, and the District of Columbia, Wisconsin ranked 19th highest on this measure of program efficiency.

Attachment 1 also shows that Wisconsin's collection efficiency has decreased by approximately 13.2% since FFY 2000, compared with a national increase of about 12.4%. Despite this divergence, Wisconsin's efficiency has exceeded the national average each year.

Federal Medical Support Incentive Payments

Federal law permits child support agencies to attempt to recover birth costs that were paid by medicaid, rather than the responsible parents, by permitting the child support agency to retain an incentive payment equal to 15% of the amount of medical support recovered by the agency. A total of \$3.2 million was earned by counties in FFY 2007 under this program. These federal incentive payments are supported from monies that would otherwise be used to offset federally funded MA costs.

State Payments to Counties

Child Support Incentive Payments

The state distributes federal child support incentive payments and state funding to counties for child support enforcement activities. Under the incentive program, an allocation is determined for each county based on its share of statewide support cases that receive enforcement services from a county child support agency. Four standards were used to determine calendar year 2009 awards: (a) percentage of cases with a child support order; (b) percentage of children for whom

paternity was established; (c) percentage of child support received compared to the total amount of child support due in each month; and (d) percentage of cases with arrearages for which a collection was made on the arrearages during the federal fiscal year. Each county is guaranteed 80% to 90% of the amount of the incentive payment allocated to each performance measure. The rest of the allocation is earned based on performance. Any amount that is unearned is reallocated across all child support agencies based on each agency's portion of the earnings. Administrative rule DCF 153 specifies the formula under this program. Counties must use the funds only to pay the costs of their child support programs.

Provisions of 2003 Act 33 established the current methodology to distribute federal child support incentive awards. DCF distributes the entire amount of federal incentive payments to counties if the award is less than \$12,340,000. For any child support incentive award amounts that exceed \$12,340,000, 30% of the excess plus \$12,340,000 will be distributed to counties, and 70% of the excess may be retained by the Department.

Under prior state law, if the state received a federal child support incentive payment that was less than \$12,340,000, then the state could provide supplemental state payments. However, the total of federal incentive payments and supplemental state funding could not exceed \$12,340,000, with supplemental state payments capped at \$5,690,000. The supplemental state payments under the incentive program were funded from child support assigned to the state by public assistance recipients.

Because the federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 eliminated the ability to receive federal matching funds for child support incentive payments, federal revenue for the child support enforcement program significantly decreased. As a result, 2007 Act 20 eliminated the \$12,340,000 cap for federal incentive payments and supplemental state funding. Instead, Act 20 provides \$2,750,000 GPR in 2007-08 and \$5,500,000 GPR each state fiscal year thereafter for supplemental state incentive

payments. These GPR funds are eligible for the 66% federal match. However, Act 20 also indicates that if federal legislation reinstates the ability to match federal child support incentive payments, then prior state law that capped incentive payments, as noted above, would be reinstated.

A total of \$13.62 million was received in federal child support incentive payments in federal fiscal year 2006 for distribution in calendar year 2008. Under the formula established in Act 33, \$12.34 million plus 30% of the amount in excess of \$12.34 million was allocated to the counties. Therefore, a total of \$12.72 million in incentive payments was allocated to the counties in calendar year 2008. Under the formula, DCF retained 70% of the amount of federal incentive payments in excess of \$12.34 million. Therefore, DCF retained \$0.9 million in federal incentive payments in calendar year 2008.

Also, \$5.5 million GPR was allocated to the counties in calendar year 2008. Federal matching funds for the \$5.5 million GPR provided an additional \$10.68 million, for a total of \$16.18 million allocated to counties in calendar year 2008. As a result, counties received a total of \$28.9 million in federal and state incentive payments in calendar year 2008.

Fees for Child Support Enforcement Services

Parents who receive assistance under the W-2, foster care, MA, food stamp, child care, or kinship care programs automatically receive child support services at no cost. Under federal and state law, an application fee must be charged to parents who do not receive public assistance, taking into account the ability to pay. Federal law also requires an annual fee for parents who have never received public assistance. Fees for child support services are charged as follows:

a. Service application fee. The one-time application fee for case management services is \$25. These services include: (1) parent location;

(2) establishment of paternity; (3) setting child support amounts; (4) modification of a child support order; (5) processing child support payments; (6) medical support; and (7) enforcement of child support orders. This fee may be waived by a court based on an indigency determination.

b. Annual fee. The Federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 requires an annual fee of \$25 for each case in which an individual has never received public assistance and for whom the state has collected at least \$500 of child support. 2007 Act 20 authorized the fee to be charged to the custodial parent. The fee is taken out of the support payment before the payment is sent to the custodial parent.

c. State and Federal Tax Intercept Fees. A fee is charged to the custodial parent for each federal or state tax intercept, when the intercepted amount to be paid to the applicant is at least \$10. The fee is 10% of intercepted amounts, with a maximum of \$25.

d. Other potential fees. Other fees may be charged for requesting the location of the noncustodial parent (\$25 if that is the only service requested of the child support agency), by other states for interstate case enforcement (fee varies by state), and if the child support agency files a motion to modify child support at the custodial parent's request (\$30 filing fee if required by the court).

Local Revenues

In addition to federal reimbursement and incentive payments, many counties support a portion of their child support enforcement costs with local revenues. According to DCF, the counties spent an estimated total of \$78.7 million on child support enforcement activities in calendar year 2007. While the majority of these expenditures were covered by federal payments, all counties provided a total of approximately \$9.0 million in county funds, including funds collected from service fees, to support

the operation of their child support enforcement programs in 2007.

Attachment 2 details the total costs of child support enforcement and total reimbursement and incentive payments by county for 2007. The data are based on the county in which the court order for support was entered, rather than on the residency of the obligor or the child. Attachment 3 shows total child support collections and total child support enforcement costs by county for FFY 2007 (the administrative costs are shown for calendar year 2007).

Fees for State Services

All child support payments collected from the noncustodial parent by the state and counties for non-TANF recipients are paid to the person to whom the money is owed. However, if DCF has contracted with, or employed, a collection agency, attorney, or other person to enforce a child support obligation of a delinquent parent, DCF may defray the administrative costs by: (a) charging a fee to counties; (b) using federal matching funds or federal incentive payments retained by DCF; or (c) using up to 30% of the state's share of a collection made on behalf of a recipient of kinship care payments under such agreements. In addition, DCF may charge other states and counties for administrative costs related to interstate child support collections, the federal parent locator service, the interception of unemployment compensation, or the intercept of state and federal income tax refunds.

Centralized Receipt and Disbursement

Under state law prior to January 4, 1999, the county clerk of court or a support-collection designee collected and disbursed support payments. A \$25 annual fee was collected from each support obligor for this service. However, the 1996 federal welfare reform legislation required state child support agencies to operate a

centralized, automated unit for collection and disbursement of payments on child support orders

enforced by the agency and payments on orders issued after December 31, 1993, which are not enforced by the state but for which income is subject to withholding. The disbursement unit generally must distribute all amounts within two business days after receipt.

Wisconsin's statewide, automated system for the receipt and disbursement of child support, maintenance (alimony), health care expenses, birth expenses, and other support-related expenses commenced operations on January 4, 1999. The system is funded from a \$65 annual receipt and disbursement fee (\$35 prior to January 1, 2008) charged by DCF to support obligors (the same fee that previously was charged by the clerks of court or support collection designees), from interest on balances in the support collections trust fund, unclaimed child support, GPR, federal incentive funds, and federal matching funds.

Under the centralized receipt and disbursement (CR&D) function, a vendor receives all child support payments from employers and individuals, enters the information into the statewide KIDS computer system, and distributes the child support to the appropriate payees through one of the following means: (a) prints and distributes checks; (b) deposits the funds into a direct deposit account; or (c) deposits the funds into a debit card account. Most custodial parents receive child support through an electronic form of payment. As of November, 2008, only 1,100 custodial parents receive a check, while 117,000 have a direct deposit account, and 97,000 have a debit card account.

Beginning January 1, 2000, state provisions regarding income withholding and assignment of support and the assignment of arrearages also applied to the CR&D fee.

Contract costs for the CR&D system were estimated at \$7.9 million in 2008-09 under 2007 Wisconsin Act 20. Funding for CR&D activities is

included in the child support state operations budget, discussed in more detail below.

Kids Information Data System

Federal law requires each state to have a certified statewide automated child support system. The systems were required to be operational by October 1, 1997. The Kids Information Data System (KIDS) was developed in Wisconsin to replace the previous automated system, which did not meet the federal requirements. From January, 1993, to June, 2004, the state contracted with IBM Global to develop the system in Wisconsin.

The 1996 PRWORA legislation also imposed a number of new requirements on states relating to child support enforcement, which necessitated changes to the KIDS system. The federal government has certified the KIDS system as the statewide automated child support system. State operation of the system is generally funded at the FFP rate of 66%.

Funding for the KIDS system is included in the child support state operations budget, discussed in more detail below.

Child Support State Operations

The child support state operations budget includes funding for the CR&D system, the KIDS system, and state staff. The child support state operations budget for the 2008-09 state fiscal year is \$29.9 million (\$4.7 million GPR, \$10.0 million FED, \$10.7 million in CR&D fees, \$0.5 million in unclaimed support, \$0.2 million in interest earnings from the child support collections trust fund, \$1.0 million in tax intercept fees, \$2.7 million in annual fees charged to the custodial parent, and \$0.1 million in the state's share of assigned child support).

Budgeted expenditures for child support state operations in 2008-09 total: \$7.9 million for system maintenance and contracts; \$6.7 million for DCF Bureau of Information Technology Services' costs; \$3.3 million for DCF Bureau of Child Support staff; \$9.9 million for the use of the Department of Administration's mainframe computer and related costs; and \$3.0 million for supplies and services and debt write-offs. Budgeted expenditures exceed budgeted revenues by \$0.9 million. Act 20 was enacted with the assumption that DCF would make reductions in expenditures to balance the child support budget.

The unclaimed support component is a revenue source made possible by provisions included in 2001 Wisconsin Act 16. Prior to enactment of Act 16, unclaimed child support dollars were subject to the state's unclaimed property laws and were deposited to the school fund.

ATTACHMENT 1

Total Child Support Collections Per Dollar of Total Administrative Expenditures Federal Fiscal Years 2000 through 2007

State	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Alabama	\$3.66	\$4.01	\$3.64	\$3.78	\$3.95	\$4.26	\$4.38	\$4.54
Alaska	3.89	4.14	4.49	4.24	4.50	4.54	4.27	4.41
Arizona	3.72	4.12	4.25	4.47	4.42	4.73	4.35	4.27
Arkansas	3.28	2.83	2.66	3.12	3.88	3.68	4.08	4.07
California	3.23	2.61	1.91	2.31	2.12	2.15	2.03	2.01
Colorado	3.23	3.58	3.66	3.22	3.55	3.68	3.94	4.12
Connecticut	3.75	3.86	3.76	4.04	3.20	3.68	3.74	3.47
Delaware	3.19	2.93	3.66	3.03	3.01	3.10	2.70	3.14
District of Columbia	2.64	2.26	2.69	2.09	3.14	2.45	2.55	2.42
Florida	3.45	3.60	4.03	4.39	4.50	4.80	4.60	4.80
Georgia	3.72	3.96	4.24	4.47	4.67	5.20	6.18	5.43
Guam	2.67	1.33	1.64	2.10	2.26	2.11	1.84	2.21
Hawaii	4.54	6.16	6.53	5.08	8.70	4.39	5.00	5.40
Idaho	4.32	4.62	5.29	5.70	5.94	5.58	5.35	5.39
Illinois	2.42	2.50	2.80	2.64	3.22	3.68	3.84	4.26
Indiana	7.69	6.34	7.80	7.91	7.04	8.53	8.92	9.93
Iowa	4.24	5.27	5.63	5.52	5.59	5.80	5.79	5.75
Kansas	2.91	2.51	2.61	3.12	3.15	3.39	3.38	3.60
Kentucky	4.02	4.08	4.71	4.88	5.95	5.95	6.16	6.36
Louisiana	4.92	4.38	4.87	5.11	5.04	4.71	4.58	4.66
Maine	4.90	6.01	4.28	4.99	4.35	4.27	4.16	4.53
Maryland	3.60	4.22	4.19	4.53	4.57	4.88	5.20	4.35
Massachusetts	3.50	5.14	5.77	5.46	4.88	5.93	5.59	6.81
Michigan	5.52	4.82	4.59	4.79	5.42	6.70	5.29	6.38
Minnesota	4.11	4.13	4.05	4.05	4.10	4.22	4.05	4.01
Mississippi	4.92	5.96	7.12	7.50	7.96	8.53	9.45	8.28
Missouri	3.37	3.81	4.63	4.95	5.40	5.41	5.58	6.27
Montana	3.58	3.91	4.10	3.63	3.94	4.02	4.19	4.12
Nebraska	3.78	3.35	2.87	3.22	3.63	3.57	3.78	4.22
Nevada	2.52	3.24	2.87	3.12	3.31	2.98	3.34	3.51
New Hampshire	4.82	5.40	4.37	4.72	5.27	4.75	4.70	4.35
New Jersey	4.60	5.27	4.83	5.06	4.89	4.74	4.56	4.59
New Mexico	1.31	1.07	1.46	1.57	1.87	2.10	2.36	2.07
New York	4.90	5.07	4.49	5.00	4.31	4.79	4.75	4.62
North Carolina	3.86	4.04	4.43	4.99	5.01	5.10	4.97	5.23
North Dakota	4.61	4.19	4.71	5.10	5.37	6.03	5.86	5.59
Ohio	4.82	4.23	4.81	4.91	5.46	5.66	6.29	6.70
Oklahoma	2.83	2.90	2.80	3.12	3.64	3.79	3.99	4.00
Oregon	5.54	6.63	5.85	5.93	6.17	5.93	5.86	5.98
Pennsylvania	6.05	6.98	6.85	6.80	7.01	6.39	6.45	6.58
Puerto Rico	6.31	5.51	6.27	5.67	7.88	6.01	5.43	7.03
Rhode Island	4.44	4.23	4.52	4.63	5.01	6.45	4.70	6.53
South Carolina	5.08	4.60	5.87	6.32	7.00	7.07	7.40	6.83
South Dakota	6.95	7.72	7.59	7.80	7.49	7.76	8.23	9.09
Tennessee	4.85	4.99	4.50	5.47	5.16	5.44	6.08	6.11
Texas	4.96	5.23	5.41	5.63	5.95	6.81	7.52	8.29
Utah	3.47	3.69	3.89	4.13	4.08	4.03	4.28	3.97
Vermont	4.02	3.90	3.93	3.78	4.22	3.91	3.80	3.47
Virgin Islands	1.63	1.12	1.58	1.84	1.83	2.11	2.13	2.22
Virginia	5.00	6.12	6.34	6.52	6.33	6.52	6.58	7.01
Washington	4.53	4.55	4.95	4.54	4.52	4.74	4.41	4.60
West Virginia	4.15	4.64	4.87	4.54	4.42	4.90	5.00	5.22
WISCONSIN	6.51	6.06	6.11	5.95	5.91	5.41	5.79	5.65
Wyoming	<u>4.33</u>	<u>4.09</u>	<u>5.00</u>	<u>5.57</u>	<u>5.16</u>	<u>6.25</u>	<u>6.29</u>	<u>5.77</u>
U.S. Ratio	\$4.21	\$4.18	\$4.13	\$4.33	\$4.38	\$4.58	\$4.58	\$4.73

Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Child Support Enforcement

ATTACHMENT 2

Total Child Support Enforcement Costs, Reimbursement Payments, and Incentive Payments by County Calendar Year 2007

County	Child Support Enforcement Costs	Federal Reimbursements				Fees Paid To Counties	Net County Contribution**
		Matching Funds	Incentive Payment	Medical Incentive	Special Improvement Funds*		
Adams	\$253,340	\$166,683	\$50,707	\$6,765	\$865	\$840	\$27,480
Ashland	472,908	311,302	71,689	21,241	1,139	999	66,538
Barron	690,016	455,255	137,882	35,360	2,515	2,364	56,641
Bayfield	217,433	144,192	38,917	5,627	666	616	27,414
Brown	2,835,079	1,864,271	588,810	149,720	10,888	11,011	210,379
Buffalo	177,342	118,458	27,249	1,236	419	395	29,586
Burnett	343,143	225,941	56,133	11,862	893	797	47,517
Calumet	613,640	403,890	80,133	24,692	1,092	1,124	102,710
Chippewa	891,385	587,023	153,522	35,296	2,486	2,289	110,769
Clark	450,121	296,666	65,316	22,238	973	853	64,075
Columbia	932,605	614,371	135,346	20,595	1,991	1,742	158,561
Crawford	270,060	178,310	44,093	7,796	706	630	38,524
Dane	5,260,137	3,458,099	959,598	128,348	17,161	15,765	681,166
Dodge	1,442,099	950,409	225,082	43,235	3,379	3,021	216,973
Door	572,124	377,158	78,810	11,111	1,030	912	103,102
Douglas	1,081,147	712,001	181,259	27,805	2,863	2,358	154,861
Dunn	609,688	401,173	100,626	28,537	1,733	1,426	76,193
Eau Claire	1,165,585	766,603	225,525	41,641	3,973	3,876	123,967
Florence	112,387	73,944	17,043	-187	151	200	21,237
Fond du Lac	1,131,982	743,636	213,561	59,369	3,907	3,410	108,099
Forest	269,017	177,219	43,196	10,477	675	598	36,852
Grant	494,234	326,443	89,229	32,741	1,513	1,328	42,980
Green	327,941	215,847	65,253	32,235	1,183	1,092	12,332
Green Lake	276,034	183,499	38,677	8,360	779	732	43,987
Iowa	154,629	101,819	36,359	8,104	749	633	6,964
Iron	100,483	66,189	12,108	190	194	200	21,602
Jackson	448,896	295,897	67,747	-994	1,067	902	84,277
Jefferson	1,224,878	808,162	197,573	22,365	3,090	2,837	190,851
Juneau	473,003	311,690	84,315	13,301	1,434	1,297	60,966
Kenosha	4,346,273	2,874,277	665,177	67,244	9,608	8,607	721,360
Kewaunee	249,333	164,290	34,671	3,180	551	476	46,165
La Crosse	892,893	589,423	212,867	32,900	4,481	4,267	48,954
Lafayette	84,397	52,182	24,804	5,916	544	441	510
Langlade	358,580	236,124	69,438	12,837	1,346	1,143	37,693
Lincoln	263,432	173,882	48,893	8,505	1,085	896	30,171
Manitowoc	975,679	641,975	153,780	60,614	3,179	2,990	113,141
Marathon	1,385,114	912,186	237,018	45,337	4,688	3,790	185,095
Marquette	718,377	474,626	119,124	30,735	1,903	1,883	90,106
Marquette	202,329	132,880	32,578	15,186	510	486	20,689
Milwaukee	19,251,628	12,682,798	4,001,678	622,786	119,437	77,943	1,746,986

ATTACHMENT 2 (continued)

**Total Child Support Enforcement Costs, Reimbursement Payments,
and Incentive Payments by County
Calendar Year 2007**

County	Child Support Enforcement Costs	Federal Reimbursements				Fees Paid To Counties	Net County Contribution**
		Matching Funds	Incentive Payment	Medical Incentive	Special Improvement Funds*		
Monroe	\$462,127	\$304,019	\$102,978	\$36,484	\$2,134	\$1,887	\$14,626
Oconto	444,851	292,627	85,330	20,138	1,790	1,478	43,489
Oneida	572,818	377,594	74,864	28,779	1,491	1,352	88,738
Outagamie	2,148,642	1,421,581	342,613	108,299	5,595	4,956	265,598
Ozaukee	549,437	362,490	89,773	18,075	1,435	1,351	76,313
Pepin	81,391	53,506	11,861	331	236	224	15,233
Pierce	424,041	281,703	63,199	3,814	944	799	73,582
Polk	558,796	368,108	80,203	13,673	1,139	959	94,715
Portage	784,554	516,564	130,987	30,026	2,135	1,907	102,935
Price	257,488	170,111	37,620	6,491	540	510	42,216
Racine	3,166,421	2,081,367	768,631	115,402	15,927	13,972	171,122
Richland	197,555	129,534	35,833	-2,134	748	676	32,899
Rock	3,047,910	2,005,196	606,486	76,741	11,001	9,735	338,751
Rusk	223,532	146,516	40,323	6,415	840	698	28,740
Sauk	765,793	503,071	142,152	53,211	2,390	1,998	62,971
Sawyer	355,725	233,313	63,929	14,659	1,210	1,011	41,603
Shawano	408,832	268,473	81,944	23,179	1,472	1,312	32,452
Sheboygan	1,340,058	881,792	244,525	66,969	4,180	3,980	138,611
St. Croix	678,098	451,056	114,033	2,737	1,872	1,568	106,832
Taylor	327,658	215,761	36,779	10,263	799	651	63,404
Trempealeau	508,446	335,463	60,993	6,537	1,155	1,111	103,187
Vernon	144,685	93,284	40,604	4,953	808	60	4,976
Vilas	280,133	184,510	28,216	8,858	566	521	57,462
Walworth	1,316,512	866,444	250,250	80,123	4,282	3,854	111,559
Washburn	264,409	174,022	37,185	12,137	747	739	39,579
Washington	1,071,250	709,012	182,006	25,594	2,833	2,583	149,221
Waukesha	3,314,385	2,187,577	506,000	89,662	7,734	7,235	516,177
Waupaca	517,667	340,305	100,163	15,378	2,049	1,902	57,871
Waushara	274,598	180,758	45,598	31,449	872	898	15,023
Winnebago	1,288,400	845,926	309,892	63,451	6,077	5,805	57,248
Wood	<u>902,696</u>	<u>594,977</u>	<u>161,791</u>	<u>62,116</u>	<u>3,399</u>	<u>2,962</u>	<u>77,451</u>
Total	\$78,698,273	\$51,847,448	\$14,562,547	\$2,748,115	\$305,246	\$245,861	\$8,989,057

*Special improvement funds are FFY 2004 incentive payments received in excess of what was projected and distributed to counties to improve performance on collections of current support and arrearage payments.

**Medical incentive payments are not subject to the local spending restrictions that govern federal child support incentive payments. Counties may spend medical incentive dollars on any costs; they are not required to reinvest the monies in child support enforcement activities. Without the offset from medical incentive payments, counties contributed \$11.7 million in 2007.

Source: Department of Children and Families

ATTACHMENT 3

**Child Support Collections and Costs by County*
Federal Fiscal Year 2007**

County	Child Support Collections			Child Support Enforcement Costs**
	TANF Cases	Non-TANF Cases	Total	
Adams	\$628,120	\$1,466,975	\$2,095,095	\$253,340
Ashland	922,184	1,787,652	2,709,837	4472,908
Barron	1,984,144	3,903,502	5,887,646	690,016
Bayfield	608,463	1,186,857	1,795,320	217,433
Brown	6,923,642	19,353,378	26,277,020	2,835,079
Buffalo	360,697	921,620	1,282,317	177,342
Burnett	753,583	1,628,509	2,382,092	343,143
Calumet	975,786	3,319,880	4,295,666	613,640
Chippewa	2,431,533	4,741,252	7,172,785	891,385
Clark	899,395	2,048,534	2,947,929	450,121
Columbia	1,323,327	3,925,992	5,249,319	932,605
Crawford	673,860	1,244,204	1,918,064	270,060
Dane	11,754,848	32,255,063	44,009,911	5,260,137
Dodge	2,703,206	7,623,251	10,326,456	1,442,099
Door	973,414	2,250,949	3,224,363	572,124
Douglas	2,575,314	3,803,833	6,379,146	1,081,147
Dunn	1,464,648	2,308,955	3,773,603	609,688
Eau Claire	3,919,763	7,403,684	11,323,447	1,165,585
Florence	181,976	502,965	684,941	112,387
Fond du Lac	3,493,668	8,724,642	12,218,310	1,131,982
Forest	564,982	802,522	1,367,504	269,017
Grant	1,253,163	3,299,508	4,552,671	494,234
Green	1,074,627	2,576,777	3,651,404	327,941
Green Lake	647,738	2,027,066	2,674,804	276,034
Iowa	545,956	2,024,476	2,570,432	154,629
Iron	165,254	369,005	534,259	100,483
Jackson	893,280	1,732,349	2,625,629	448,896
Jefferson	2,487,477	7,075,189	9,562,666	1,224,878
Juneau	1,060,838	2,548,513	3,609,350	473,003
Kenosha	7,386,309	11,806,306	19,192,614	4,346,273
Kewaunee	372,741	1,094,584	1,467,324	249,333
La Crosse	3,878,500	6,118,571	9,997,071	892,893
Lafayette	403,267	1,301,098	1,704,365	84,397
Langlade	1,042,775	1,806,458	2,849,234	358,580
Lincoln	1,011,239	2,222,000	3,233,239	263,432
Manitowoc	2,834,370	7,035,906	9,870,275	975,679
Marathon	3,782,358	8,490,629	12,272,987	1,385,114
Marinette	1,480,847	4,589,280	6,070,127	718,377
Marquette	482,098	1,283,434	1,765,531	202,329
Milwaukee	63,894,714	47,604,072	111,498,785	19,251,628

ATTACHMENT 3 (continued)

**Child Support Collections and Costs by County*
Federal Fiscal Year 2007**

County	Child Support Collections			Child Support Enforcement Costs**
	TANF Cases	Non-TANF Cases	Total	
Monroe	\$1,838,725	\$3,786,913	\$5,625,638	\$462,127
Oconto	882,766	2,703,185	3,585,951	444,851
Oneida	1,707,926	2,737,043	4,444,970	572,818
Outagamie	5,427,978	14,032,273	19,460,251	2,148,642
Ozaukee	1,245,987	5,507,629	6,753,617	549,437
Pepin	242,617	498,050	740,666	81,391
Pierce	585,262	2,258,533	2,843,795	424,041
Polk	1,425,235	3,079,453	4,504,688	558,796
Portage	2,090,954	4,404,353	6,495,307	784,554
Price	533,983	1,051,462	1,585,445	257,488
Racine	11,866,783	19,193,839	31,060,622	3,166,421
Richland	675,032	1,363,297	2,038,328	197,555
Rock	8,537,953	10,980,365	19,518,318	3,047,910
Rusk	751,909	1,210,548	1,962,457	223,532
Sauk	1,759,805	5,379,409	7,139,214	355,725
Sawyer	841,203	1,370,572	2,211,775	408,832
Shawano	1,465,481	3,005,908	4,471,390	1,340,058
Sheboygan	3,853,062	8,956,570	12,809,632	678,098
St. Croix	1,553,849	4,877,398	6,431,247	765,793
Taylor	629,557	1,570,759	2,200,316	327,658
Trempealeau	1,094,654	2,690,055	3,784,709	508,446
Vernon	616,356	1,765,520	2,381,876	144,685
Vilas	595,432	1,140,415	1,735,846	280,133
Walworth	3,413,078	9,485,192	12,898,270	1,316,512
Washburn	557,917	1,274,774	1,832,691	264,409
Washington	2,764,732	7,353,087	10,117,819	1,071,250
Waukesha	6,287,633	15,623,409	21,911,041	3,314,385
Waupaca	1,866,587	4,550,978	6,417,565	517,667
Waushara	813,886	2,260,179	3,074,065	274,598
Winnebago	4,562,005	11,764,923	16,326,928	1,288,400
Wood	<u>3,155,096</u>	<u>6,065,265</u>	<u>9,220,361</u>	<u>902,696</u>
Total	\$214,457,542	\$388,150,793	\$602,608,335	\$78,698,273

*Does not include amounts paid to families who do not use county child support enforcement services.

**Costs are for calendar year 2007.

Source: Department of Children and Families

APPENDIX

Licenses and Credentials Subject to Suspension Requirements for Failure to Pay Support or Comply with a Warrant or Subpoena

The following licenses and credentials are subject to suspension for failure to pay support or comply with a warrant or subpoena:

a. A license to act as a lobbyist or a registration issued to a principal for the purpose of lobbying.

b. An approval of a fish and game license by the Department of Natural Resources (DNR).

c. A license issued by DCF for a child welfare agency, group home, shelter care facility, day care center, foster home, treatment foster home, or a county department of human/social services; or issued by the Department of Corrections for a secured child caring institution operated by a child welfare agency.

d. A certification, license, training permit, registration, approval, or certificate issued to medical assistance providers, ambulance service providers, emergency medical technicians, operators of defibrillators, first responders, tattooists, body piercers, individuals who perform or supervise lead hazard reduction or lead management activities, lead training instructors, individuals performing asbestos abatement or management activities, individuals performing food protection activities, and persons who operate campgrounds, swimming pools, camping resorts, recreational and educational camps, hotels, other lodging establishments, restaurants, vending machines, or tanning facilities.

e. A business tax registration certificate issued by the Department of Revenue.

f. Specified licenses, registrations, regis-

tration certificates, or certifications issued by the Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection.

g. Specified licenses, permits, or certificates of certification or registration issued by the Department of Commerce regarding the regulation of industry, buildings, and safety.

h. A license issued by DWD for: appearing on behalf of an individual in a worker's compensation hearing; employers of persons unable to earn the living wage in sheltered workshops and other settings; and employment agents.

i. A certificate issued by DWD to an employer in a house-to-house street trade, a migrant labor contractor, or an operator of a migrant labor camp.

j. A license or permit issued under state provisions relating to general school operations.

k. A license or certificate of registration issued by the Department of Financial Institutions under provisions relating to precomputed loans, insurance premium finance companies, sellers of checks, sales finance companies, adjustment service companies, collection agencies, community currency exchanges, mortgage bankers, loan originators, loan solicitors, securities brokers-dealers, agents, or investment advisors.

l. A permit issued by the Board of Commissioners of Public Lands to raise and remove sunken logs from submerged land owned by the state.

m. A certification by the Law Enforcement Standards Board for a law enforcement, tribal law enforcement, jail, or secure detention officer.

n. A license, permit, or registration issued under provisions relating to motor vehicle manufacturers, distributors, dealers, and salespersons, mobile home dealers and salespersons, motor vehicle salvage dealers and buyers, motor vehicle auction dealers, moped dealers, motor vehicle transporters, analysis of blood and urine tests, driving schools, and driving instructors.

o. Specified licenses, registrations, or certifications issued by DNR relating to drinking water, water quality, servicing of septic tanks, solid waste disposal and incineration, and transporting hazardous waste or medical waste.

p. A motor vehicle operator's license or, with respect to restriction, limitation or suspension, an individual's operating privilege.

q. A credential, which means a license, permit, certificate or registration that is granted by the Department of Regulation and Licensing (R&L) or under state law relating to the regulation of nursing, accounting, architects, geolo-

gists, engineers, surveyors, boxing, funeral directors, chiropractors, dentistry, medical practices, optometry, pharmacy, acupuncture, real estate practice and appraisal, veterinary services, barbering, cosmetology, psychology, massage therapy, nursing home administration, social work and counseling, hearing and speech examination, professional employer organizations, and auctioneers.

r. A bingo supplier's license or a license issued under provisions relating to racing and pari-mutuel wagering.

s. A license issued under provisions relating to insurance agents, viatical settlement providers and brokers, and administrators of employee benefit plans; or a temporary license issued to an insurance marketing intermediary.

t. A license to practice law.

u. A fishing approval issued by the Lac du Flambeau Band of the Lake Superior Chippewa (subject to cooperation with the Lac du Flambeau).