



## Legislative Fiscal Bureau

One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703 • (608) 266-3847 • Fax: (608) 267-6873

---

June 2, 2011

Joint Committee on Finance

Paper #497

### **Repeal Municipal and County Recycling Grant Program, Repeal Local Government Recycling Mandates, and Landfill Disposal Restrictions (DNR – Air, Waste, and Contaminated Land)**

[LFB 2011-13 Budget Summary: Pages 328 to 331, #2, #3, and #4]

---

#### **CURRENT LAW**

##### **Municipal and County Recycling Grant Program**

The municipal and county recycling grant program was created in 1989 Act 335 to provide financial assistance to responsible units of local government for a portion of eligible recycling costs. A responsible unit is the local government that administers a recycling program for a geographic area. Eligible recycling costs for planning and operating a recycling program include expenses such as for staff, equipment, land, collection of recyclables, public education and enforcement, written contracts to obtain services necessary for an effective recycling program, and landfill costs directly associated with recovering recyclables from postconsumer waste.

The recycling grant program is funded from the segregated recycling and renewable energy fund. In 2000 and subsequent years, responsible units receive a grant equal to the same percentage of the total grant funding as the responsible unit received, or would have received, in 1999.

In 2009-10, \$31,098,100 was appropriated for the program. DNR awarded \$29,294,200 to 1,025 local government grantees, equaling 26.6% of estimated net eligible recycling costs of \$110.1 million in calendar year 2010. The grant award averaged \$5.16 per capita, but varied substantially by municipality. DNR awarded \$1,803,900 less than the \$31,098,100 appropriated amount to meet part of the Department's obligation to transfer funds to the state's general fund under deficit reduction requirements of the 2009-11 biennial budget.

In 2010-11, \$32,098,100 is appropriated for the program. DNR is distributing grants totaling \$19,000,000. On April 14, 2011, DNR notified 1,020 responsible units of preliminary awards totaling \$18,954,000, which it is required to pay by June 1, 2011. In addition, under 2009 Act 28, DNR was required to provide a grant of \$46,000 from the appropriation to the Town of Wrightstown in Brown County to purchase recycling bins. DNR awarded \$13,098,100 less than the \$32,098,100 appropriated amount to meet part of the Department's obligation to transfer funds to the state's general fund under 2009-11 deficit reduction requirements.

### **Local Government Recycling Mandates**

Each municipality is a "responsible unit" for purposes of operating a recycling program. A responsible unit can include a: (1) municipality (city, village or town); (2) county, if it adopts a resolution to create a responsible unit for all or a portion of the county; (3) multiple-municipality responsible unit consisting of counties, solid waste management commissions or two or more neighboring municipalities; and (4) an Indian tribe.

Each responsible unit of local government must operate, or contract with another entity to operate, a recycling program that manages solid waste generated within its jurisdiction in compliance with landfill disposal restrictions that ban certain materials from landfills (the landfill bans) and the state solid waste policies included in statutes. These policies state that the state encourages the following hierarchy of solid waste management priorities: (1) reduction of the amount of solid waste generated; (2) reuse of solid waste; (3) recycling; (4) composting; (5) recovery of energy from solid waste; (6) land disposal; and (7) burning of solid waste without energy recovery.

Responsible units must be approved by DNR as operating an effective recycling program in order to: (1) landfill "residuals," (materials remaining after other like materials have been separated for recycling) that would otherwise be subject to the landfill disposal bans; and (2) apply for a grant under the municipal and county recycling grant program.

A responsible unit's effective recycling program must include several specific components, including: (1) an ordinance to require recycling of the materials subject to the 1995 landfill bans; (2) a method for collecting, processing and marketing recyclables from single-family and two- to four-unit residences; (3) public education and information about how to recycle; (4) curbside collection of certain recyclable materials in municipalities with a population of 5,000 or greater and a population density of greater than 70 persons per square mile; (5) to meet specific per capita total collection standards for eight recyclable materials; (6) equipment and staff necessary to operate and enforce the program; and (7) other components.

A responsible unit that receives a state grant under the municipal and county recycling grant program, or a county or municipality within such a responsible unit, is prohibited from imposing a restriction, or a tax or fee on the sale or distribution of packaging for a purpose relating to the disposal of the packaging. For example, currently, local governments that receive a recycling grant are not allowed to assess a bottle deposit fee to help pay for the costs of disposing of the bottle, and are not allowed to ban retail sales of a certain type of plastic packaging in order to reduce issues related to the disposal of the plastic.

If a responsible unit levies a "recycling fee," meaning a special assessment or charge, for the purpose of complying with the requirement that a responsible unit administer a recycling program, any unpaid recycling fee is a lien on the property against which it is levied, to the same extent as a lien for a tax that is levied on real property within the jurisdiction.

As of February 1, 2010, a responsible unit is required to provide information to people in its region about the electronic device landfilling ban, why it is important to recycle electronic devices, and opportunities available to those persons for recycling electronic devices.

### **Landfill Disposal Restrictions**

State law prohibits disposal in a solid waste disposal facility of specified materials after certain dates. This would generally ban disposal in a landfill or incinerator. Under 1989 Act 335, bans of specific materials went into effect on January 1 of 1991, 1993, and 1995.

As of January 1, 1991, no person may dispose of lead acid batteries, major appliances or waste oil in a landfill or burn these items in an incinerator. (Waste oil can be burned with energy recovery.) Major appliances include residential or commercial air conditioners, clothes dryers, clothes washers, dishwashers, freezers, microwave ovens, ovens, refrigerators, stoves, furnaces, boilers, dehumidifiers, and water heaters.

As of January 1, 1993, no person may dispose of yard waste in a landfill or burn it in an incinerator, including leaves, grass clippings, yard and garden debris and brush, including clean woody vegetative material no greater than six inches in diameter.

As of January 1, 1995, no person may dispose of in a landfill, burn with or without energy recovery, or convert into fuel, any of the following waste materials: (a) aluminum containers; (b) corrugated paper or other container board; (c) foam polystyrene packaging (packaging made primarily from foam polystyrene that: (1) is designed for serving food or beverages; (2) consists of loose particles intended to fill empty space and cushion the packaged article; or (3) consists of rigid materials shaped to hold and cushion a packaged article); (d) glass containers; (e) magazines or other material printed on similar paper; (f) newspapers or other material printed on newsprint; (g) office paper; (h) plastic containers (plastics #1 through #7 required to be labeled under the plastic container labeling law); (i) steel containers; and (j) containers for carbonated or malt beverages that are primarily made from a combination of steel and aluminum (known as "bi-metal" cans). In addition, waste tires cannot be landfilled without energy recovery, but can be burned with energy recovery.

As of September 1, 2010, 2009 Act 50 requires that no person may dispose of in a landfill, burn with or without energy recovery, or place in a container the contents of which will be disposed of in a landfill or burned, electronic devices such as computers, televisions, video cassette recorders, digital video disc players, and cell phones.

As of January 1, 2011, 2009 Act 86 requires that no person may dispose of a used oil filter (for automotive engine oil) or oil absorbent materials (used to absorb waste oil) in a landfill. The prohibition does not apply to the disposal of one gallon or less of oil absorbent materials that contain waste oil resulting from a nonroutine spill.

Currently, no "person" may dispose of materials subject to the 1995 landfill bans in a landfill, burn with or without energy recovery, or convert the materials into fuel. Under the recycling statutes in s. 287.01 (5m), a "person" includes any individual, corporation, limited liability company, partnership, association, local governmental unit, state agency or authority, or federal agency. In most of the state, private waste haulers or municipalities transport truckloads of materials for disposal in a landfill rather than individuals taking the waste generated by their household to a landfill for disposal.

Materials subject to the 1995 bans from landfills may only be landfilled if the materials are "residuals" from an effective recycling program.

DNR is authorized to issue a citation to any person who violates any of the bans, and to collect a forfeiture of \$50 for the first violation, \$200 for the second, and \$2,000 for the third or subsequent violation.

DNR may grant a waiver to the 1995 landfill bans if: (a) the applicant shows to the satisfaction of the Department that the recyclable material has been contaminated and cannot feasibly be cleaned for recycling; and (b) DNR determines that granting the waiver will not impede progress toward meeting the goals of the state solid waste policy.

DNR may grant a waiver to the 1995 landfill bans for foam polystyrene and plastic containers if the Department determines: (a) recycling of the material is not feasible or practical in light of current markets or available technologies; and (b) granting the waiver will not impede progress toward meeting the goals of the state solid waste policy. In 1996, DNR granted a waiver, to the disposal requirements for foam polystyrene and plastic containers that are labeled #3 through #7. The waiver will remain in effect until one year after DNR determines that markets and technologies are available for recycling the material.

## **GOVERNOR**

### **Municipal and County Recycling Grant Program**

Repeal the municipal and county recycling grant appropriation and program and delete \$32,098,100 SEG annually from the recycling and renewable energy fund.

### **Local Government Recycling Mandates**

Repeal the requirement that local governments implement recycling programs on the effective date of the bill.

Repeal the definition of responsible unit, and all requirements and responsibilities for responsible units.

Repeal the requirement that each responsible unit of local government must operate, or contract with another entity to operate, a recycling program that manages solid waste generated within its jurisdiction in compliance with the landfill bans and the state solid waste policies.

Repeal all provisions related to DNR approval of and local operation of effective recycling programs.

Repeal the requirement that a responsible unit that receives a state grant under the municipal and county recycling grant program, or a county or municipality within such a responsible unit, is prohibited from imposing a restriction, or a tax or fee on the sale or distribution of packaging for a purpose relating to the disposal of the packaging.

Repeal the requirement that, if a responsible unit levies a "recycling fee," for the purpose of complying with the requirement that a responsible unit administer a recycling program, any unpaid recycling fee is a lien on the property against which it is levied, to the same extent as a lien for a tax that is levied on real property within the jurisdiction.

Repeal the requirement that, as of February 1, 2010, a responsible unit must provide information to people in its region about the electronic device landfilling ban, why it is important to recycle electronic devices, and opportunities available to those persons for recycling electronic devices.

### **Landfill Disposal Restrictions**

Modify the 1995 landfill bans so that no "individual" may place the banned materials in a container the contents of which will be disposed of in a landfill, converted into fuel, or burned at an incinerator. This means that an individual would not be allowed to place materials subject to the 1995 landfill bans in a trash can that will be hauled to a landfill. The recycling statutes and bill do not include a definition of "individual," so the common dictionary definition of individual as a human being would likely apply.

Repeal the requirement that materials subject to the 1995 bans from landfills may only be landfilled if the materials are "residuals" from an effective recycling program.

Maintain the current bans on any person disposing of several materials in a landfill or incinerator, including: (a) lead acid batteries; (b) major appliances; (c) waste oil; (d) yard waste; (e) waste tires; and (f) electronic devices. In addition, the bill would maintain the current requirement that no person may place electronic devices in a container the contents of which will be disposed of in a landfill.

Maintain DNR's current authority to issue a citation to any person who violates any of the bans.

Provide that the 1995 landfill bans would not apply to a material that has been contaminated and cannot feasibly be cleaned for recycling. Repeal the requirement that DNR may grant a waiver to the 1995 landfill bans if: (a) the applicant shows that the recyclable material has been contaminated and cannot feasibly be cleaned for recycling; and (b) DNR determines that granting the waiver will not impede progress toward meeting the goals of the state solid waste policy.

Provide that DNR may "issue" instead of "grant," a waiver to the 1995 landfill bans for foam polystyrene and plastic containers.

## DISCUSSION POINTS

### **Municipal and County Recycling Grant Program**

1. The state has provided financial assistance to municipalities and counties for 20 years, to assist "responsible units" of local government with a portion of their costs of operating "effective recycling programs." Local governments that meet state criteria are eligible to receive a recycling grant from the state, and are authorized to dispose of recyclable materials in state landfills if the materials are "residuals" from collection of recyclables.

2. When the municipal and county recycling grant program was created in 1990, the grant program was designated to end with calendar year 1999 grants. The original expectation was that by 1999, local recycling programs would receive enough revenue from the sales of recyclable materials to pay for the recycling program. That hope has not been realized. Markets and prices for the sales of recyclable materials have become global rather than local, and the costs of collecting and transporting recyclable materials to markets has been higher than expected.

3. While some materials recovery facilities that process recyclable materials collected by responsible units are able to sell the recyclables at prices that pay for costs of processing the recyclable materials, those costs do not include the costs of collecting recyclables from households and businesses and transporting them to the materials recovery facilities. Revenues from the sales of recyclable materials have generally been insufficient to pay for collecting and transporting recyclables to a processing facility.

4. In proposing the repeal of the recycling grant program in the 2011-13 biennial budget bill, the Governor indicated it was part of a larger goal to eliminate mandates on local governments and let market forces work. The administration indicated local governments could choose whether to continue operating recycling programs, and could choose how to fund their programs.

5. Officials from the administration, DNR, and local governments indicate that if state grants are repealed, local governments might reduce or eliminate recycling collection services, and might increase local fees to residents if the local government continues to collect recyclables. Local governments would determine how expenditures for collection of recyclables fit with other local priorities to provide police, fire, human service, public works, and other services.

6. Currently, state grants pay for a portion of local recycling costs. Table 1 shows the total municipal and county recycling grants awarded in every year of the program, net eligible recycling costs, and the grant award as a percent of net eligible costs. Net eligible recycling program costs include the reasonable and necessary costs for planning, constructing, or operating a recycling program, net of the sale of recovered (recyclable) materials. Other local government revenue sources for local recycling programs currently include local property tax revenues and volume-based or other user fees, such as a per-bag or per-cart fee, a monthly flat fee, or some sort of assessment.

**TABLE 1****Municipal and County Recycling Grants: Eligible Cost, Grant Award and Award as Percent of Costs (\$ in Millions)**

<u>Calendar Year</u>	<u>Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Award Amount**</u>	<u>Grant Award as % of Net Eligible Costs</u>
1992	\$35.6	\$18.5	52.0%
1993	48.5	23.7	48.9
1994	56.5	29.8	52.7
1995	61.0	29.1	47.7
1996	66.3	29.2	44.0
1997	68.8	29.2	42.4
1998	71.4	23.9	33.5
1999	73.3	24.1	32.9
2000	76.6	24.3	31.7
2001	84.1	24.3	28.9
2002	82.6	24.3	29.4
2003	84.4	26.3	31.2
2004	85.7	26.4	30.8
2005	90.1	26.3	29.2
2006	94.0	26.3	28.0
2007	98.4	26.3	26.7
2008	99.1	32.7	33.0
2009	108.0	29.3	27.2
2010*	110.1	29.3	26.6
2011*	111.2	19.0	17.0

\*Estimated net eligible recycling costs in 2010 and 2011. Prior years show final net eligible recycling costs.

\*\*For the 2003 through 2009 grant year, includes basic grant plus efficiency incentive grant.

7. Grants awarded through 1999 were allocated based on a complex formula based on eligible expenses, "avoided disposal costs," and other factors. Avoided disposal costs are those costs that are not incurred by the responsible unit (such as landfill tipping fees) because material is recycled rather than disposed of by landfilling or incineration. The last year the formula was used was in 1999, when grants were first determined by calculating 66% of the difference between eligible expenses and avoided disposal costs or \$8 per capita, whichever was less. The second step was to compare this amount with 33% of eligible expenses. The responsible unit received the greater of these two amounts. Third, counties that are responsible units for at least 75% of the county's population were guaranteed a minimum grant amount of \$100,000 if they had eligible expenses equal to or greater than that amount. The final step was to prorate all grant awards by an equal percentage (after providing the minimum \$100,000 grants to certain counties) to meet available funding.

8. In 1999 Act 9 (the 1999-01 biennial budget), the recycling grant formula was

changed in 1999-00 for 2000 and subsequent grant years. The Legislature adopted a change to a per capita based grant formula. However, as a result of the Governor's partial veto, the formula was changed to a proportional distribution based on 1999 awards. Under 1999 Act 9, the veto provided a transitional year in 2000 where a responsible unit had to have received financial assistance in 1999 to receive a grant in 2000, and then the current grant formula became effective in 2001. Beginning in the 2001 grant year, and every subsequent year, responsible units receive a grant equal to the same percentage of the total grant funding as the responsible unit received, or would have received, in 1999. This would have been the grant formula in the transitional year for 2000 grants under the Legislature's budget, and 2001 would have been the beginning of a per capita based formula. To provide an example of the current grant formula, if a responsible unit received 1% of the total grant funds in 1999, the responsible unit has received 1% of the total grant funds in 2001 and every subsequent year.

9. Since introduction of the budget, the administration and DNR have discussed possible ways of continuing recycling programs but have not submitted any specific recommendations to the Committee for amending the bill. DNR officials, local government solid waste and recycling officials, and private solid waste and recycling business representatives have held informal discussions about possible ways of continuing a recycling program. DNR officials have made suggestions to the Governor and the Legislative Fiscal Bureau about possible ways a recycling program could be continued.

10. If the Committee chooses to maintain funding for recycling grants, it could choose to fund the program at a broad range of amounts. Separate Legislative Fiscal Bureau budget papers discuss other aspects of the revenues and expenditures of the recycling and renewable energy fund, environmental management account, and economic development fund. Examples of possible annual recycling grant amounts include: (a) \$10,000,000, which is approximately half of the amount awarded in 2010-11 [Alternative #A2a]; (b) \$19,000,000, which is the amount awarded in 2010-11 [Alternative #A2b]; (c) \$25,000,000 [Alternative #A2c]; (d) \$30,000,000 [Alternative #A2d]; or (e) \$32,098,100, which is the base funding level [Alternative #A2e].

11. If program funding is maintained, the current grant formula could be maintained [Alternative #A3a]. However, for several years, DNR and local officials have advocated changing the current grant formula. The current grant formula has perpetuated a distribution of funds based on what responsible units reported as recycling expenditures over 10 years ago, rather than reflecting the current structure and costs of programs. While the program caps grant awards at 100% of net eligible costs, the current grant formula does not provide incentives for responsible units to reduce program costs or coordinate programs with neighboring responsible units.

12. If the Committee chooses to retain the recycling grant program, it could also review the formula by which grants are distributed. If a different grant formula existed with the current funding levels, some responsible units would receive a larger grant, and some would receive less. The extent of the change in grant award would vary among responsible units.

13. DNR and local officials suggest revising the grant formula to a per capita based approach, where the total available grant amount would be divided by the total population of eligible responsible units to reach a dollar award amount per person. The per capita amount would



be multiplied by the population of the responsible unit, to reach a grant amount, and would be capped at 100% of the responsible unit's net eligible costs [Alternative #A3b]. This grant formula is often thought of as a simple and fair way of distributing recycling grant funds, under which every responsible unit would receive the same grant per person. However, it does not factor in differences in programs that may affect costs, such as method of collection of recyclables (curbside or dropoff), frequency of collection, population density (miles driven by collection trucks), and types of materials collected. Attachment 1 shows how a per capita formula would have distributed the \$19 million provided by DNR for 2011 grant awards.

14. Another grant formula approach would provide grants of a percentage of net eligible costs. The total available grant amount would be divided by the total estimated net eligible costs reported by eligible responsible unit to reach an average percent of costs. The percent of costs would be multiplied by the net eligible costs of the responsible unit, to reach a grant amount. When the responsible unit reports actual net eligible costs after the end of the calendar grant year (the sum of actual eligible costs has always been less than the estimated costs) a final percent of costs could be calculated, and the grants of responsible units adjusted as needed [Alternative #A3c]. This approach would more accurately reflect municipal costs, but would not include an incentive to contain costs at the local level. Attachment 2 shows how 2011 grants would have been allocated based on this option.

15. DNR officials advocated changing the grant program to encourage consolidation of responsible units into units of a minimum size of 25,000 population. DNR officials believe this could promote efficiency and economies of scale and would simplify DNR administration of the program by receiving fewer grant applications and annual reports.

16. DNR officials suggested: (a) providing financial incentives in 2011-12 by using 20% of available funding to encourage consolidation into responsible units with a minimum population size of 25,000; and (b) requiring responsible units to consolidate to a minimum population size of 25,000 in order to retain eligibility for a recycling grant in 2012-13 [Alternative #A4]. Under DNR's suggested options, the 34 counties that have designated themselves as the responsible unit, and the 10 Indian tribes, would be considered consolidated even if their population is less than 25,000.

17. Table 2 shows the distribution of 2010 recycling grants by the population of the responsible unit. Of the 1,025 total grant recipients, 42 responsible units had a population of 25,000 or greater. In comparison, 728 had a population of less than 2,000. DNR indicates there are 1,059 responsible units, thus 34 of them do not apply for grants. DNR estimates that if the program statutes would be amended to require local governments with smaller populations to consolidate until they form a responsible unit with a population of 25,000 or larger, approximately 986 responsible units would need to take action to consolidate into an estimated maximum number of 165 responsible units.

18. The grant program has had between 999 and 1,025 grant recipients since the earliest years of the program. The 1,025 responsible units in 2010 represented the highest number since the first year of the program. In 2010, 868 towns, villages, and cities, had consolidated into 66 responsible units (34 of which are counties). Many of these consolidated responsible units represent

a contiguous town and village, or three towns, or a small number of neighboring municipalities.

**TABLE 2**

**2010 Municipal and County Recycling Grants to Responsible Units (RUs) by Population Size**

<u>Population</u>	<u>Number of RUs</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Basic Grant Award</u>	<u>Average Per Capita Grant Award</u>	<u>Average Award as a % of Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>
Less than 2,500	728	754,780	\$13,952,468	\$3,347,516	\$4.44	24.0%
2,500 - 4,999	125	432,160	8,495,237	1,803,236	4.17	21.2
5,000 - 9,999	69	491,253	10,181,420	2,588,976	5.27	25.4
10,000 - 24,999	61	938,970	21,021,805	5,258,678	5.60	25.0
25,000 - 49,999	26	925,125	16,964,447	4,815,217	5.20	28.4
50,000 - 99,999	10	656,851	9,734,003	3,492,837	5.32	35.9
100,000 and over	<u>6</u>	<u>1,483,240</u>	<u>29,787,884</u>	<u>7,987,738</u>	<u>5.39</u>	<u>26.8</u>
Total	1,025	5,682,379	\$110,137,264	\$29,294,198	\$5.16	26.6%

19. Some organizations representing local government solid waste management and recycling advocate encouraging consolidation through provision of financial incentives, but not requiring consolidation to receive grant funds. Those who do not support mandatory consolidation believe mandatory consolidation could: (a) limit local choices about how a municipality wants to operate its recycling program; (b) disadvantage municipalities if they have difficulties consolidating with neighboring municipalities; and (c) be difficult to accomplish in areas with small populations or low population densities.

20. In the spring of 2011, a group of students at the UW-Madison Robert M. LaFollette School of Public Affairs, completed a public affairs class project that studied ways in which responsible units can consolidate into larger responsible units or consolidate services among responsible units. The student report recommendations included: (a) each responsible unit may wish to review its particular situation to see if consolidation is beneficial or would save money; and (b) DNR should act as a facilitator and provide technical assistance to help responsible units with the consolidation process. The student group surveyed 993 responsible units and received 375 responses. The survey findings include: (a) 81% of respondents operate recycling services jointly with trash collection; (b) 79% do not coordinate services with adjacent responsible units; (c) 55% do not provide recycling services beyond the minimum required by law; (d) 78% have not made an effort to consolidate or contract with neighboring responsible units; and (e) 57% do not see potential benefits in contracting, cooperating, or consolidating services with nearby responsible units.

21. DNR officials suggested providing \$150,000 to contract with an entity to perform a feasibility study of alternative and sustainable funding approaches for recycling that do not rely on tax expenditures by state and local governments. DNR anticipates the study could be completed by October 1, 2012 [Alternative #A5].

22. During the 20-year existence of the recycling program, several studies have been conducted related to solid waste reduction and the efficiency and effectiveness of recycling programs. In the 1997-99 biennial budget act, DNR was directed to “conduct a study of the future of solid waste management, including an examination of ways to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of current recycling programs and an examination of ways to improve coordinated and cost-effective management of solid waste in Wisconsin.” A January, 2001, final report included several recommendations, including to: (a) develop financial mechanisms such as solid waste disposal fees to encourage recycling and discourage waste disposal; and (b) develop new incentives to encourage communities to examine coordination of solid waste management activities wherever cost savings and increased efficiency can be gained.

23. A January, 2001, Legislative Audit Bureau (LAB) evaluation of state recycling programs examined questions related to the cost-effectiveness of local recycling programs. Among the LAB findings were: (a) in most cases, as municipalities spend more per capita, they collect more recyclable materials per capita; (b) the relationship between the size of a responsible unit’s recycling grant and the amount of recyclables collected is relatively weak; (c) responsible units with average grant sizes recycled widely varying amounts of recyclable materials, with municipalities with grants near the statewide average of \$4.64 per capita at that time collected between 44 and 695 pounds of recyclable materials per capita; and (d) responsible units that collected similar pounds of recyclables per capita to the statewide average of 292 pounds per capita received dissimilar per capita grant amounts, ranging from \$1.75 to \$7.25 per capita in 1999.

24. In 2005, Governor Doyle created a Governor’s Task Force on Waste Materials Recovery and Disposal through issuance of an executive order, and directed the Task Force to: (a) study and make recommendations related to the economics of landfilling and recycling solid wastes; (b) consider the role of municipalities, businesses and residents in the use, management and disposal of waste materials; and (c) study other solid waste management issues. In December, 2006, the Task Force presented a report and recommendations to the Governor. Some of the recommendations were: (a) promote effective solid waste planning and implementation as well as regional cooperation for both; (b) preserve funds generated by the recycling fee and appropriate them to implement recycling, solid waste reduction and beneficial reuse programs; (c) modify the formula for grants from the recycling fund to meet the needs of responsible units more effectively; and (d) expand the landfill disposal ban to more products.

### **Local Recycling Mandates**

25. The current requirement for local governments to operate recycling programs is linked to state funding for local recycling programs and the landfill disposal bans. Local governments are required to administer programs that keep specified recyclable materials out of the solid waste stream. The state's requirements are built on the presumption that local governments that administer "effective" programs will keep most recyclables out of the solid waste stream. Local governments are allowed to put the "residuals" or leftover occasional recyclables that end up in the trash into landfills in the state. For the past 20 years, the state has agreed to fund a portion of local government recycling program costs.

26. Under the bill, local governments could choose whether or not to continue to operate

recycling programs [Alternative #B1]. If they choose to continue operating recycling programs, they could choose what materials to collect, instead of collecting all the materials they are currently required to collect. However, if a banned material is not collected individuals would be prohibited from throwing such materials in the trash bound for a landfill.

27. Under the bill, it is uncertain how many local governments would make changes in their recycling programs, what kinds of changes they make, how any changes in local programs would affect the amount of recyclable materials disposed of landfills, and where individuals would take recyclables for recycling if their local government stops collecting one or more recyclable material.

28. It is uncertain how contracts some local governments have with private solid waste haulers would affect their decisions about future operations of recycling programs. It may depend on the terms and length of the contracts.

29. In the long-term, some local governments may find that collecting recyclable materials and transporting the materials to a processor costs less, per ton collected, than paying for hauling to and disposing in a landfill. Thus, some communities may find it cost-effective to collect as much recyclable material as possible, in order to avoid costs of landfilling materials. However, in the short-term, some local governments may feel enough budget pressure to lead them to a decision to reduce or eliminate recycling collection expenditures. Local governments may find that, in the short-term, it is easier to just operate waste collection routes, instead of separate waste collection and recycling collection routes.

30. Local governments believe if the local recycling mandate and funding are repealed, local governments should not have to pay the \$7 per ton recycling tipping fee. They also believe that if the local recycling mandate is continued, state financial assistance should continue.

31. While the bill repeals the local recycling mandate on the effective date of the bill, DNR indicates it would require a responsible unit to maintain its recycling program through December 31, 2011, if the responsible unit received a recycling grant in May, 2011 (2010-11) for calendar year 2011, in order to comply with the grant agreement between DNR and the responsible unit. If the Committee chooses to repeal local recycling mandates, it could consider changing the effective date of the repeal to January 1, 2012 [Alternative #B2]. This would make it clear that local governments must operate recycling programs under their current recycling grant agreement through the end of calendar year 2011, and could choose what to do about recycling beginning in calendar year 2012.

32. If the Committee chooses to maintain state funding for a portion of local recycling program costs, it could restore the current law provisions related to local government recycling mandates [Alternative #B3]. This would ensure that local governments that receive state funding are keeping as large a quantity of recyclable materials out of landfills as possible. However, the Committee could also consider maintaining the bill's deletion: (a) of obsolete references; and (b) of references to out-of-state local governments that were found unconstitutional.

## **Landfill Disposal Restrictions**

33. The bill would propose banning "individuals" from placing recyclables subject to the 1995 landfill bans in a container the contents would be disposed of in a landfill. The bill and statutes do not define "individual" so the Legislative Reference Bureau indicates the common dictionary definition of individual as a human being would apply. Current law bans "persons" from disposing of the recyclables in a landfill. The recycling statutes, in s. 287.01 (5m) defines "person" as any individual, corporation, limited liability company, partnership, association, local governmental unit, state agency or authority, or federal agency. DNR attorneys and recycling program staff believe that the bill's use of "individuals" would not apply to the other entities listed in the s. 287.01 (5m) definition.

34. The effect of the proposal is primarily to shift enforcement of the landfill bans from entities that collect or haul solid waste to the landfill, to the individual who puts recyclables in a trash can.

35. DNR enforces current law landfill bans by working with responsible units to ensure they are administering recycling programs that keep as many recyclables out of landfills as possible, making inspections at landfills, and occasionally checking random loads brought by solid waste haulers to landfills.

36. DNR's current enforcement citation authority would be maintained. However, DNR officials indicate it does not have adequate staffing to investigate household containers. Department officials indicate they generally would not attempt enforcement of the bans at the household trash can level.

37. Responsible units submit annual reports to DNR that show they collect roughly 400,000 tons annually of recyclable materials that are subject to the 1995 landfill bans. It is probable that if local governments stop recycling some of the materials subject to the 1995 landfill bans, some individuals may put those recyclable materials in their trash containers rather than find a place to recycle the materials.

38. It could be argued that the language in the bill requiring "individuals" to recycle the 1995 banned materials is unenforceable. If DNR does not enforce "individuals" and does not consider some entities that are currently required to recycle to be subject to the landfill bans, the language in the bill could be considered to have the same effect as eliminating the 1995 landfill bans. If the Committee wishes to place sole responsibility for recycling with individuals, it could consider repealing the 1995 landfill bans [Alternative #C1b]. Individuals and persons (including corporations, businesses, industries, and governmental units) could choose whether to recycle.

39. Some prefer statutory language that applies the landfill bans to both the person disposing of materials in the landfill (as under current law) and to the individual placing materials in a trash container destined for a landfill (under the bill). They view this as more clearly placing responsibility on both the solid waste hauler and the residential waste generator. When the electronics waste recycling legislation was enacted in 2009 Act 50, both wordings of landfill bans were placed in statutes. The Committee could consider amending the bill to include both types of

landfill disposal restrictions in statutes. In addition, since the language in the bill related to "individual" has been interpreted in varying manners by some, the Committee could consider applying the landfill bans to "persons who place materials in a container" that will be disposed of in a landfill, of which individuals are a subset [Alternative #C3].

**ALTERNATIVES**

**A. Municipal and County Recycling Grant Program**

1. Approve the Governor’s recommendation to delete \$32,098,100 SEG annually and repeal the municipal and county recycling grant program.

2. Restore the municipal and county recycling grant program. Provide funding at one of the following annual amounts:

a. \$10,000,000

<b>ALT A2a</b>	<b>Change to Bill Funding</b>
SEG	\$20,000,000

b. \$19,000,000

<b>ALT A2b</b>	<b>Change to Bill Funding</b>
SEG	\$38,000,000

c. \$25,000,000

<b>ALT A2c</b>	<b>Change to Bill Funding</b>
SEG	\$50,000,000

d. \$30,000,000

<b>ALT A2d</b>	<b>Change to Bill Funding</b>
SEG	\$60,000,000

e. \$32,098,100 (This would restore base funding.)

<b>ALT A2e</b>	<b>Change to Bill</b>
	Funding
SEG	\$64,196,200

3. If funding is provided for the municipal and county recycling grant program, restore the current October 1 application deadline, late application provisions, and requirement that DNR pay annual grants to responsible units no later than June 1, and approve one of the following methods of distributing grant funds:

a. Maintain the current law grant formula, which specifies that responsible units receive a grant equal to the same percentage of the total grant funding as the responsible unit received, or would have received, in 1999.

b. Distribute grant funds according to a per capita formula. Direct DNR to divide the available funds by the total population of all eligible responsible unit grant recipients. Multiply the resulting per capita amount by the population of each eligible responsible unit to determine the total grant for the responsible unit. Specify that a responsible unit may not receive a grant equaling more than 100% of its net eligible recycling costs. Require responsible units to submit a report of actual eligible recycling costs to DNR after the end of the grant year, and to refund to DNR any amount by which the grant exceeded 100% of actual eligible recycling costs.

c. Distribute grant funds under a percent of net eligible recycling costs formula. Direct DNR to divide the available funds by the sum of all estimated net eligible recycling costs submitted by responsible units in grant applications. Multiply the resulting percent of net eligible recycling costs by the estimated net eligible recycling costs of each responsible unit to determine the total grant for the responsible unit. Require responsible units to submit a report of actual net eligible recycling costs to DNR after the end of the grant year, and to refund to DNR any amount by which the grant as a percentage of actual net eligible recycling costs exceeded the awarded percentage of estimated net eligible recycling costs.

4. In addition to approving any of Alternatives #A2a through #A3c, require responsible units to consolidate in order to receive a recycling grant by approving the following: (a) require that, in 2011-12, 20% of the available municipal and county recycling grant funding be set aside for a municipal responsible unit with a minimum population size of 25,000, responsible units consisting of two or more municipalities with a minimum consolidated population of 25,000, counties that are the responsible unit for 75% or more of the county's population, and Indian tribes, to be distributed on a per capita basis; and (b) require that eligibility for a recycling grant beginning in 2012-13 would be available only to a municipal responsible unit with a minimum population size of 25,000, responsible units consisting of two or more municipalities with a minimum consolidated population of 25,000, counties that are the responsible unit for 75% or more of the county's population, and Indian Tribes.

5. In addition to any of the above Alternatives, provide \$150,000 SEG in 2011-12 and direct DNR to contract with an entity to perform a feasibility study of alternative and sustainable funding approaches for recycling that do not rely on tax expenditures by state and local

governments. Require DNR to submit a report of the results and recommendations to the Governor and Legislature by October 1, 2012.

<b>ALT A5</b>	<b>Change to Bill</b>
	Funding
SEG	\$150,000

**B. Local Government Recycling Mandates**

1. Approve the Governor’s recommendation to repeal local government recycling mandates on the effective date of the bill.
2. Approve the Governor’s recommendation, as modified to change the effective date of the repeal of local government recycling mandates to January 1, 2012.
3. Restore the current law provisions related to local government recycling mandates, except: (a) approve the deletion of obsolete references; and (b) approve the deletion of references to out-of-state local governments that were found unconstitutional.

**C. Landfill Disposal Restrictions**

1. If Alternative B1 or B2 is approved, approve one of the following:
  - a. Approve the Governor’s recommendation to modify the landfill disposal restrictions so no individual may place the materials subject to the 1995 landfill bans in a container the contents of which will be disposed of in a landfill, converted into fuel, or burned at an incinerator.
  - b. Delete the 1995 landfill disposal restrictions.
2. Maintain the current law landfill disposal restrictions.
3. Maintain the current law landfill disposal restrictions. In addition to the current prohibition on a person disposing of materials that are subject to the 1995 landfill bans in a landfill, prohibit a person from placing materials subject to the 1995 landfill bans in a container the contents of which will be disposed of in a landfill, converted into fuel, or burned at an incinerator.

Prepared by: Kendra Bonderud  
Attachments



## ATTACHMENT 1

### State Recycling Grants to Municipal and County Responsible Units Alternative 3.b Distribution on Per Capita Basis

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Adams</b>					
Adams, City	1,967	\$6,460	\$6,599	\$139	2.2%
Adams County	18,847	91,766	63,226	-28,540	-31.1
<b>Ashland</b>					
Agenda, Town	422	2,221	1,416	-806	-36.3
Ashland, City	8,216	56,432	27,562	-28,870	-51.2
Bad River Tribe	2,110	31,197	7,078	-24,119	-77.3
Butternut, Village	375	916	1,258	342	37.3
Chippewa, Town	374	2,168	1,255	-914	-42.1
Gingles, Town	778	1,537	2,100	563	36.7
Gordon, Town	283	3,325	949	-2,375	-71.4
Jacobs, Town	847	6,342	2,841	-3,501	-55.2
La Pointe, Town	261	8,817	876	-7,942	-90.1
Marengo, Town	390	1,555	1,308	-247	-15.9
Peeksville, Town	141	907	473	-434	-47.8
Sanborn, Town	1,331	2,638	4,465	1,827	69.3
TRI-M Sanitary Commission	1,818	11,705	6,099	-5,606	-47.9
White River, Town	921	3,346	3,090	-256	-7.6
<b>Barron</b>					
Barron County	34,464	78,997	115,616	36,618	46.4
Rice Lake, City	8,438	9,539	28,307	18,768	196.8
Rice Lake, Town	3,041	819	2,958	2,139	261.1
<b>Bayfield</b>					
Barnes, Town	769	3,978	2,580	-1,399	-35.2
Bay Area Solid Waste District	2,631	7,199	8,826	1,627	22.6
Bell, Town	263	1,703	882	-821	-48.2
Cable, Town	825	4,714	2,768	-1,946	-41.3
Clover, Town	223	1,525	748	-777	-50.9
Delta, Town	273	982	916	-66	-6.7
Grand View, Town	468	3,131	1,570	-1,561	-49.9
Hughes, Town	383	1,986	1,285	-701	-35.3
Iron River, Town	1,123	5,925	3,767	-2,158	-36.4
Keystone, Town	378	1,655	1,268	-387	-23.4
Mason Area Solid Waste District	1,158	3,425	3,885	460	13.4
Namakagon, Town	246	1,894	825	-1,069	-56.4
Oulu, Town	527	2,993	1,768	-1,225	-40.9
Port Wing, Town	490	3,824	1,644	-2,180	-57.0
Red Cliff Tribe	1,651	30,013	5,539	-24,474	-81.5
Russell, Town	2,446	8,867	8,206	-662	-7.5
Tripp, Town	231	1,305	775	-530	-40.6
Washburn, City	2,117	15,285	7,102	-8,183	-53.5

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Brown</b>					
Allouez, Village	13,975	\$95,118	\$46,882	-\$48,237	-50.7%
Ashwaubenon, Village	16,963	76,254	56,905	-19,348	-25.4
Bellevue, Village	14,570	41,999	48,878	6,879	16.4
De Pere, City	23,800	91,422	79,841	-11,581	-12.7
Denmark, Village	2,123	6,911	7,122	211	3.0
Eaton, Town	1,508	3,318	5,059	1,741	52.5
Glenmore, Town	1,135	1,733	3,808	2,074	119.7
Green Bay, City	104,057	406,923	349,078	-57,845	-14.2
Green Bay, Town	2,035	4,358	6,827	2,468	56.6
Hobart, Village	6,182	18,327	20,739	2,412	13.2
Holland, Town	1,519	3,197	5,096	1,899	59.4
Howard, Village	17,399	44,271	58,368	14,097	31.8
Humboldt, Town	1,311	2,486	4,398	1,912	76.9
Lawrence, Town	4,284	1,292	14,371	13,079	1,012.0
Ledgeview, Town	6,555	15,547	21,990	6,443	41.4
Morrison, Town	1,599	4,139	5,364	1,225	29.6
New Denmark, Town	1,541	4,869	5,170	300	6.2
Oneida Tribe	5,571	26,420	18,689	-7,731	-29.3
Pittsfield, Town	2,608	3,585	8,749	5,164	144.1
Pulaski, Village	3,539	9,779	11,872	2,093	21.4
Scott, Town	3,545	4,626	11,892	7,266	157.1
Suamico, Village	11,346	20,530	38,062	17,532	85.4
Wrightstown, Town	2,221	4,034	7,451	3,417	84.7
Wrightstown, Village	2,827	5,398	9,484	4,086	75.7
<b>Buffalo</b>					
Alma, City	781	4,127	2,620	-1,507	-36.5
Buffalo County	11,565	95,701	38,797	-56,904	-59.5
Waumandee, Town	756	2,447	2,536	90	3.7
<b>Burnett</b>					
Burnett County	15,146	83,340	50,810	-32,530	-39.0
St Croix Tribe	1,414	8,995	4,744	-4,252	-47.3
Webb Lake, Town	311	2,283	1,043	-1,239	-54.3
<b>Calumet</b>					
Brillion, City	3,148	10,473	10,561	87	0.8
Brillion, Town	1,486	4,607	4,985	378	8.2
Brothertown, Town	1,329	9,396	4,458	-4,937	-52.5
Charlestown, Town	775	4,572	2,600	-1,972	-43.1
Chilton, City	3,933	22,782	13,194	-9,588	-42.1
Chilton, Town	1,143	5,558	3,834	-1,724	-31.0
Harrison, Town	10,839	15,919	36,361	20,443	128.4
Hilbert, Village	1,132	8,004	3,797	-4,207	-52.6
New Holstein, City	3,236	22,136	10,856	-11,280	-51.0
New Holstein, Town	1,508	9,099	5,059	-4,040	-44.4
Potter, Village	253	946	849	-98	-10.3
Rantoul, Town	798	4,260	2,677	-1,583	-37.2
Sherwood, Village	2,713	6,958	9,101	2,143	30.8
Stockbridge, Town	1,456	9,512	4,884	-4,627	-48.6
Stockbridge, Village	636	2,243	2,134	-109	-4.9
Woodville, Town	980	788	3,288	2,499	317.0

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Chippewa</b>					
Chippewa County	57,509	\$202,569	\$192,924	-\$9,645	-4.8%
Cornell, City	1,467	10,206	4,921	-5,285	-51.8
Delmar, Town	936	1,876	3,140	1,264	67.4
New Auburn, Village	548	1,967	1,838	-129	-6.5
<b>Clark</b>					
Abbotsford, City	2,310	7,798	7,749	-49	-0.6
Beaver, Town	885	610	2,969	2,359	386.8
Butler, Town	96	337	322	-14	-4.3
Colby, City	1,852	4,616	6,213	1,597	34.6
Colby, Town	874	1,559	2,932	1,373	88.0
Curtiss, Village	216	630	725	94	14.9
Dewhurst, Town	323	939	1,084	144	15.4
Dorchester, Village	876	1,531	2,939	1,408	92.0
Eaton, Town	712	2,158	2,389	230	10.7
Foster, Town	95	301	319	18	5.9
Fremont, Town	1,265	694	4,244	3,550	511.8
Grant, Town	916	408	3,073	2,664	652.4
Granton, Village	355	1,336	1,191	-145	-10.8
Green Grove, Town	756	2,414	2,536	122	5.1
Greenwood, City	1,026	2,767	3,442	675	24.4
Hendren, Town	499	1,600	1,674	74	4.6
Hewett, Town	293	803	983	180	22.5
Hixon, Town	808	1,746	2,711	964	55.2
Hoard, Town	841	2,471	2,821	350	14.2
Levis, Town	492	2,105	1,651	-454	-21.6
Longwood, Town	858	516	2,878	2,362	458.0
Loyal, City	1,261	4,581	4,230	-351	-7.7
Loyal, Town	826	1,634	2,771	1,137	69.5
Lynn, Town	861	329	2,888	2,560	778.9
Mayville, Town	961	525	1,752	1,227	234.0
Mead, Town	321	833	1,077	244	29.3
Mentor, Town	584	3,646	1,959	-1,687	-46.3
Neillsville, City	2,463	9,347	8,263	-1,084	-11.6
Owen, City	940	2,740	3,153	413	15.1
Pine Valley, Town	1,157	3,767	3,881	115	3.0
Reseburg, Town	776	2,473	2,603	130	5.2
Seif, Town	172	705	577	-128	-18.2
Sherman, Town	882	561	2,959	2,398	427.5
Sherwood, Town	220	477	738	261	54.7
Thorp, City	1,621	10,828	5,438	-5,390	-49.8
Thorp, Town	808	2,787	2,711	-77	-2.8
Unity, Town	878	3,490	2,945	-544	-15.6
Warner, Town	669	2,288	2,244	-43	-1.9
Washburn, Town	290	1,050	973	-77	-7.3
Weston, Town	699	2,338	2,345	7	0.3
Withee, Town	966	1,363	3,241	1,877	137.7
Withee, Village	487	2,223	1,634	-589	-26.5
Worden, Town	666	1,992	2,234	242	12.1
York, Town	886	1,973	2,972	999	50.6

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Columbia</b>					
Columbia County	41,549	\$127,506	\$139,384	\$11,878	9.3%
Lodi, Town	3,273	9,048	10,980	1,932	21.3
Newport, Town	586	702	1,966	1,264	180.2
Portage, City	10,324	20,431	34,634	14,203	69.5
Wisconsin Dells, City	2,678	6,909	8,984	2,075	30.0
<b>Crawford</b>					
Bell Center, Village	117	103	392	290	282.2
Eastman, Town	739	1,532	2,479	947	61.8
Eastman, Village	428	1,230	1,436	206	16.7
Ferryville, Village	176	307	590	283	92.1
Freeman, Town	686	894	2,301	1,407	157.3
Gays Mills, Village	491	2,130	1,647	-483	-22.7
Lynxville, Village	132	619	443	-177	-28.5
Marietta, Town	470	2,318	1,577	-741	-32.0
Mount Sterling, Village	211	536	708	172	32.2
Prairie du Chien, City	5,911	18,408	19,830	1,422	7.7
Scott, Town	462	1,238	1,550	312	25.2
Seneca, Town	866	1,742	2,905	1,163	66.8
Soldiers Grove, Village	592	835	1,986	1,151	137.8
Steuben, Village	131	644	439	-204	-31.7
Utica, Town	661	611	2,217	1,607	263.1
Wauzeka, Town	422	309	1,416	1,107	358.3
Wauzeka, Village	711	2,420	2,385	-35	-1.4
<b>Dane</b>					
Albion, Town	1,951	4,957	6,545	1,588	32.0
Belleville, Village	2,385	11,941	8,001	-3,940	-33.0
Berry, Town	1,127	3,296	3,781	485	14.7
Black Earth, Village	1,338	4,854	4,489	-366	-7.5
Blooming Grove, Town	1,815	10,261	6,089	-4,172	-40.7
Blue Mounds, Town	968	4,023	3,247	-776	-19.3
Blue Mounds, Village	855	2,211	2,868	657	29.7
Bristol, Town	3,765	8,025	12,630	4,605	57.4
Burke, Town	3,284	11,075	11,017	-59	-0.5
Cambridge, Village	1,457	3,951	4,888	937	23.7
Christiana, Town	1,235	4,081	4,143	62	1.5
Cottage Grove, Town	3,875	7,445	12,999	5,555	74.6
Cottage Grove, Village	6,192	9,672	20,772	11,100	114.8
Cross Plains, Town	1,507	3,321	5,056	1,734	52.2
Cross Plains, Village	3,538	6,617	11,869	5,252	79.4
Dane, Town	990	365	3,321	2,956	810.0
Dane, Village	995	2,654	3,338	684	25.8
Deerfield, Town	1,585	4,680	5,317	637	13.6
Deerfield, Village	2,319	6,608	7,780	1,171	17.7
De Forest, Village	8,936	17,052	29,977	12,925	75.8
Dunkirk, Town	1,945	7,382	6,525	-857	-11.6
Dunn, Town	4,931	34,786	16,542	-18,244	-52.4
Fitchburg, City	25,260	96,507	84,739	-11,768	-12.2
Madison, City	233,209	740,877	782,342	41,465	5.6
Madison, Town	6,279	23,509	21,064	-2,445	-10.4
Maple Bluff, Village	1,313	31,418	4,405	-27,013	-86.0
Marshall, Village	3,862	10,304	12,956	2,652	25.7

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Dane continued</b>					
Mazomanie, Town	2,392	\$7,866	\$8,024	\$159	2.0%
Mazomanie, Village	1,652	5,146	5,542	396	7.7
McFarland, Village	7,808	21,610	26,193	4,584	21.2
Medina, Town	1,376	3,686	4,616	930	25.2
Middleton, City	17,442	55,250	58,512	3,263	5.9
Middleton, Town	5,877	14,608	19,715	5,107	35.0
Monona, City	7,533	30,591	25,271	-5,320	-17.4
Montrose, Town	1,081	5,285	3,626	-1,658	-31.4
Mount Horeb, Village	7,009	17,806	23,513	5,707	32.1
Oregon, Town	3,184	17,800	10,681	-7,118	-40.0
Oregon, Village	9,231	33,666	30,967	-2,699	-8.0
Perry, Town	729	1,984	2,446	461	23.2
Pleasant Springs, Town	3,154	10,378	10,581	203	2.0
Primrose, Town	731	1,459	2,452	993	68.1
Rockdale, Village	214	826	718	-108	-13.0
Roxbury, Town	1,794	4,940	6,018	1,079	21.8
Rutland, Town	1,966	9,494	6,595	-2,899	-30.5
Shorewood Hills, Village	1,565	14,927	5,250	-9,677	-64.8
Springdale, Town	1,904	7,688	6,387	-1,301	-16.9
Springfield, Town	2,734	7,728	9,172	1,444	18.7
Stoughton, City	12,611	34,110	42,306	8,196	24.0
Sun Prairie, City	29,364	39,142	98,507	59,365	151.7
Sun Prairie, Town	2,326	13,627	7,803	-5,824	-42.7
Verona, City	10,619	21,928	35,623	13,695	62.5
Verona, Town	1,948	9,780	6,535	-3,245	-33.2
Vienna, Town	1,482	4,936	4,972	36	0.7
Waunakee, Village	12,097	28,426	40,582	12,155	42.8
Westport, Town	3,950	6,674	13,251	6,577	98.6
Windsor, Town	6,345	15,490	21,285	5,796	37.4
York, Town	652	1,639	2,187	548	33.4
<b>Dodge</b>					
Ashippun, Town	2,559	11,687	8,585	-3,102	-26.5
Beaver Dam, City	16,214	53,146	54,393	1,247	2.3
Beaver Dam, Town	3,962	4,952	13,291	8,339	168.4
Brownsville, Village	581	1,729	1,949	220	12.7
Burnett, Town	904	4,246	3,033	-1,213	-28.6
Calamus, Town	1,048	565	2,632	2,067	366.0
Clyman, Village	422	686	1,416	730	106.5
Emmet, Town	1,302	4,634	4,368	-266	-5.7
Fox Lake, City	1,519	8,063	5,096	-2,967	-36.8
Fox Lake, Town	2,465	6,097	8,269	2,172	35.6
Herman, Town	1,108	4,950	3,717	-1,233	-24.9
Horicon, City	3,655	25,642	12,261	-13,381	-52.2
Hubbard, Town	1,774	5,414	5,951	537	9.9
Hustisford, Village	1,123	7,071	3,767	-3,303	-46.7
Iron Ridge, Village	929	6,014	3,116	-2,898	-48.2
Juneau, City	2,814	8,333	9,440	1,107	13.3
Kekoskee, Village	161	845	540	-305	-36.1
Lebanon, Town	1,659	4,924	5,565	641	13.0
Leroy, Town	1,002	2,175	3,361	1,186	54.5
Lomira, Town	1,137	6,364	3,814	-2,550	-40.1
Lomira, Village	2,430	9,842	8,152	-1,690	-17.2

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Dodge continued</b>					
Lowell, Town	1,190	\$2,462	\$3,992	\$1,531	62.2%
Lowell, Village	340	491	1,141	649	132.1
Mayville, City	5,154	30,884	17,290	-13,594	-44.0
Neosho, Village	574	1,189	1,926	737	62.0
Oak Grove, Town	1,080	507	3,623	3,116	614.4
Portland, Town	1,079	2,544	3,620	1,076	42.3
Reeseville, Village	708	2,481	2,375	-105	-4.2
Rubicon, Town	2,207	8,984	7,404	-1,580	-17.6
Shields, Town	554	1,307	1,858	552	42.2
Theresa, Town	1,075	3,000	3,606	606	20.2
Theresa, Village	1,262	3,309	4,234	924	27.9
Trenton, Town	1,293	1,294	2,320	1,026	79.3
Waupun, City	11,340	50,095	38,042	-12,053	-24.1
Westford, Town	1,228	541	2,750	2,209	408.2
Williamstown, Town	755	404	2,533	2,129	527.4
<b>Door</b>					
Door County	27,785	78,997	93,210	14,212	18.0
<b>Douglas</b>					
Douglas County	16,915	51,388	56,744	5,357	10.4
Superior, City	27,244	93,756	91,395	-2,361	-2.5
<b>Dunn</b>					
Dunn County	41,288	146,100	138,508	-7,592	-5.2
Hay River, Town	558	1,318	1,872	554	42.1
Knapp, Village	463	2,912	1,553	-1,359	-46.7
Stanton, Town	791	2,500	2,654	154	6.1
<b>Eau Claire</b>					
Eau Claire County	100,717	459,258	337,873	-121,385	-26.4
<b>Florence</b>					
Florence County	4,423	78,997	14,838	-64,160	-81.2
<b>Fond du Lac</b>					
Alto, Town	1,045	951	2,570	1,619	170.2
Ashford, Town	1,747	1,295	5,861	4,565	352.5
Auburn, Town	2,352	7,745	7,890	145	1.9
Brandon, Village	879	3,154	2,949	-205	-6.5
Byron, Town	1,634	3,596	5,482	1,886	52.4
Calumet, Town	1,470	5,219	4,931	-288	-5.5
Campbellsport, Village	2,016	7,234	6,763	-471	-6.5
Eden, Town	1,028	2,879	3,449	569	19.8
Eden, Village	875	1,979	2,935	956	48.3
Eldorado, Town	1,462	1,755	4,905	3,149	179.4
Empire, Town	2,797	3,602	9,383	5,781	160.5
Fairwater, Village	371	1,014	1,245	230	22.7
Fond du Lac, City	43,021	145,639	144,322	-1,317	-0.9
Fond du Lac, Town	3,015	6,524	10,114	3,590	55.0
Forest, Town	1,080	1,447	3,623	2,176	150.3
Friendship, Town	2,675	7,994	8,974	980	12.3
Lamartine, Town	1,737	2,404	5,827	3,423	142.4

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Fond du Lac continued</b>					
Marshfield, Town	1,138	\$1,477	\$3,818	\$2,340	158.4%
Metomen, Town	741	680	2,486	1,806	265.5
Mount Calvary, Village	762	1,404	2,556	1,152	82.1
North Fond du Lac, Village	5,014	16,390	16,820	430	2.6
Oakfield, Town	703	2,414	2,358	-55	-2.3
Oakfield, Village	1,075	3,490	3,606	116	3.3
Osceola, Town	1,865	1,692	6,256	4,564	269.7
Ripon, City	7,733	26,821	25,942	-879	-3.3
Ripon, Town	1,400	3,109	4,697	1,587	51.0
Rosendale, Town	695	2,536	2,332	-204	-8.1
Rosendale, Village	1,063	5,848	3,566	-2,281	-39.0
Saint Cloud, Village	477	813	1,600	787	96.9
Springvale, Town	707	497	2,372	1,875	377.3
Taycheedah, Town	4,205	6,972	14,106	7,134	102.3
Waupun, Town	1,375	966	3,425	2,459	254.5
<b>Forest</b>					
Forest County	9,304	78,997	31,212	-47,785	-60.5
Forest County Potawatomi Tribe	462	10,936	1,550	-9,387	-85.8
Mole Lake Tribe	512	12,256	1,718	-10,539	-86.0
<b>Grant</b>					
Bagley, Village	379	513	1,271	758	147.6
Beetown, Town	777	519	2,607	2,088	402.2
Bloomington, Town	350	1,300	1,174	-126	-9.7
Bloomington, Village	735	877	2,466	1,589	181.2
Blue River, Village	434	1,200	1,456	256	21.3
Boscobel, City	3,231	9,878	10,839	961	9.7
Boscobel, Town	376	1,236	1,261	26	2.1
Cassville, Town	416	813	1,396	583	71.7
Cassville, Village	947	4,993	3,177	-1,817	-36.4
Castle Rock, Town	248	375	832	457	121.7
Clifton, Town	385	1,134	1,292	158	13.9
Cuba City, City	2,086	5,832	6,998	1,166	20.0
Dickeyville, Village	1,061	1,890	3,559	1,670	88.4
Ellenboro, Town	525	842	1,761	919	109.1
Fennimore, City	2,497	10,862	8,377	-2,486	-22.9
Fennimore, Town	612	1,581	2,053	472	29.9
Harrison, Town	495	1,603	1,661	58	3.6
Hazel Green, Town	1,132	2,132	3,797	1,665	78.1
Hazel Green, Village	1,256	4,269	4,213	-56	-1.3
Hickory Grove, Town	455	456	1,526	1,071	234.9
Jamestown, Town	2,076	2,064	6,964	4,900	237.4
Lancaster, City	3,868	15,171	12,976	-2,196	-14.5
Liberty, Town	553	849	1,855	1,006	118.5
Lima, Town	805	2,032	2,701	669	32.9
Little Grant, Town	283	705	949	245	34.7
Livingston, Village	664	2,062	2,228	166	8.0
Marion, Town	572	1,060	1,919	859	81.0
Millville, Town	166	490	513	23	4.7
Montfort, Village	718	952	2,409	1,457	153.0
Mount Hope, Town	300	404	1,006	602	148.8
Mount Hope, Village	225	978	755	-223	-22.8

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Grant continued</b>					
Mount Ida, Town	561	\$1,002	\$1,882	\$880	87.8%
Muscoda, Village	1,299	5,208	4,358	-850	-16.3
North Lancaster, Town	509	1,462	1,708	245	16.8
Paris, Town	702	2,455	2,355	-100	-4.1
Patch Grove, Town	339	619	1,137	519	83.9
Platteville, City	11,224	43,871	37,653	-6,219	-14.2
Platteville, Town	1,509	3,996	5,062	1,067	26.7
Potosi, Town	849	2,262	2,848	586	25.9
Potosi, Village	688	1,413	2,308	895	63.3
Smelser, Town	794	1,635	2,664	1,028	62.9
South Lancaster, Town	843	1,792	2,828	1,036	57.8
Tennyson, Village	355	707	1,191	484	68.4
Waterloo, Town	550	450	1,845	1,395	309.8
Watterstown, Town	330	303	1,107	804	265.1
Wingville, Town	357	739	1,198	459	62.1
Woodman, Town	185	362	621	259	71.5
Woodman, Village	132	114	443	329	289.3
Wyalusing, Town	346	468	1,161	693	148.2
<b>Green</b>					
Adams, Town	530	1,721	1,778	56	3.3
Albany, Village	2,124	9,683	7,125	-2,558	-26.4
Brodhead, City	3,293	12,303	11,047	-1,256	-10.2
Brooklyn, Town	1,083	5,013	3,633	-1,380	-27.5
Brooklyn, Village	1,401	3,164	4,700	1,536	48.6
Browntown, Village	280	1,567	939	-627	-40.0
Cadiz, Town	815	1,782	2,734	952	53.4
Clarno, Town	1,166	1,859	3,912	2,053	110.4
Decatur, Town	1,767	1,655	5,425	3,770	227.8
Exeter, Town	2,023	2,271	6,787	4,515	198.8
Jefferson, Town	1,217	4,832	4,083	-750	-15.5
Jordan, Town	641	2,009	2,150	141	7.0
Monroe, City	10,827	71,304	36,321	-34,983	-49.1
Monroe, Town	1,245	1,864	4,177	2,313	124.1
Monticello, Village	1,217	7,286	4,083	-3,203	-44.0
Mount Pleasant, Town	598	1,160	2,006	846	72.9
New Glarus, Town	1,335	1,002	4,478	3,477	347.1
New Glarus, Village	2,172	7,763	7,286	-477	-6.1
Spring Grove, Town	874	1,559	2,932	1,373	88.1
Sylvester, Town	1,004	1,127	3,368	2,242	199.0
Washington, Town	809	2,119	2,714	595	28.1
York, Town	910	883	3,053	2,170	245.7
<b>Green Lake</b>					
Berlin, City	5,524	24,588	18,531	-6,057	-24.6
Brooklyn, Town	1,826	2,578	6,126	3,548	137.6
Green Lake, City	960	6,836	3,220	-3,615	-52.9
Green Lake, Town	1,154	994	3,800	2,806	282.4
Kingston, Town	1,064	2,894	3,569	675	23.3
Kingston, Village	326	852	1,094	242	28.4
Mackford, Town	560	746	1,879	1,133	151.9
Manchester, Town	1,022	617	1,934	1,317	213.5
Markesan, City	1,476	5,878	4,952	-927	-15.8



**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Green Lake continued</b>					
Marquette, Village	150	\$122	\$503	\$381	310.9%
Princeton, City	1,214	4,327	4,073	-254	-5.9
Princeton, Town	1,434	1,580	4,811	3,231	204.5
Saint Marie, Town	351	438	1,177	739	168.6
Seneca, Town	408	589	1,369	779	132.3
<b>Iowa</b>					
Arena, Town	1,456	1,407	4,884	3,477	247.1
Arena, Village	834	1,052	2,798	1,746	165.9
Avoca, Village	637	2,436	2,137	-299	-12.3
Barneveld, Village	1,231	1,900	4,130	2,230	117.4
Brigham, Town	1,034	4,339	3,469	-870	-20.1
Clyde, Town	306	807	1,027	220	27.3
Cobb, Village	458	1,810	1,536	-273	-15.1
Dodgeville, City	4,693	15,136	15,744	608	4.0
Dodgeville, Town	1,708	2,518	5,730	3,211	127.5
Eden, Town	355	833	1,191	357	42.9
Highland, Town	750	1,046	2,516	1,470	140.6
Highland, Village	842	2,417	2,825	408	16.9
Hollandale, Village	288	950	966	17	1.7
Linden, Village	549	1,688	1,842	154	9.1
Mifflin, Town	585	1,124	1,962	838	74.6
Mineral Point, City	2,487	9,134	8,343	-791	-8.7
Mineral Point, Town	1,033	3,459	3,465	7	0.2
Moscow, Town	576	811	1,932	1,121	138.2
Pulaski, Town	400	1,300	1,342	42	3.3
Rewey, Village	292	918	980	62	6.7
Ridgeway, Town	568	3,776	1,905	-1,870	-49.5
Ridgeway, Village	653	2,636	2,191	-446	-16.9
Waldwick, Town	473	444	1,587	1,143	257.4
Wyoming, Town	302	1,229	1,013	-216	-17.6
<b>Iron</b>					
Iron County	5,916	86,514	19,846	- 66,668	-77.1
<b>Jackson</b>					
Jackson County	20,037	79,290	67,218	-12,072	-15.2
<b>Jefferson</b>					
Aztalan, Town	1,457	5,071	4,888	-183	-3.6
Cold Spring, Town	727	2,639	2,439	-200	-7.6
Fort Atkinson, City	12,368	35,135	41,491	6,356	18.1
Hebron, Town	1,094	3,660	3,670	10	0.3
Ixonia, Town	6,457	7,716	13,185	5,469	70.9
Jefferson, City	7,973	23,867	26,747	2,880	12.1
Jefferson, Town	2,178	5,373	7,306	1,934	36.0
Johnson Creek, Village	2,738	5,633	9,185	3,552	63.1
Koshkonong, Town	3,692	8,087	12,385	4,299	53.2
Lake Mills, City	5,708	19,727	19,149	-579	-2.9
Lake Mills, Town	2,070	2,296	6,944	4,649	202.5
Milford, Town	1,099	2,853	3,687	833	29.2
Oakland, Town	3,100	7,611	10,400	2,789	36.6

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Jefferson continued</b>					
Palmyra, Town	1,186	\$4,485	\$3,979	-\$507	-11.3%
Palmyra, Village	1,781	6,009	5,975	-35	-0.6
Sullivan, Town	2,208	7,398	7,407	9	0.1
Sullivan, Village	669	2,176	2,244	69	3.2
Sumner, Town	832	2,923	2,791	-132	-4.5
Waterloo, City	3,333	12,392	11,181	-1,211	-9.8
Waterloo, Town	909	888	3,049	2,161	243.4
Watertown, City	23,861	99,446	80,046	-19,400	-19.5
Watertown, Town	1,975	5,549	6,625	1,077	19.4
<b>Juneau</b>					
Armenia, Town	699	1,661	2,345	684	41.1
Camp Douglas, Village	601	6,200	2,016	-4,184	-67.5
County Recycling Agency for Municipalities	8,046	4,747	26,992	22,245	468.6
Elroy, City	1,442	10,343	4,837	-5,505	-53.2
Hustler, Village	194	563	651	88	15.5
Kildare, Town	681	844	2,285	1,441	170.8
Lyndon, Town	1,384	914	4,643	3,729	408.0
Lyndon Station, Village	500	2,334	1,677	-656	-28.1
Mauston, City	4,423	13,324	14,838	1,514	11.4
Necedah, Town	2,327	846	3,870	3,024	357.4
Necedah, Village	916	1,828	3,073	1,245	68.1
New Lisbon, City	2,554	5,325	8,568	3,243	60.9
Seven Mile Creek, Town	358	169	1,201	1,032	610.4
Summit, Town	646	2,141	2,167	26	1.2
Union Center, Village	200	268	671	403	150.5
Wonewoc, Town	687	2,792	2,305	-487	-17.4
Wonewoc, Village	816	4,103	2,737	-1,366	-33.3
<b>Kenosha</b>					
Brighton, Town	1,456	606	4,884	4,279	706.1
Bristol, Village	4,914	15,622	16,485	863	5.5
Kenosha, City	99,218	362,418	332,845	-29,573	-8.2
Paddock Lake, Village	2,992	14,604	10,037	-4,567	-31.3
Pleasant Prairie, Village	19,719	45,964	66,151	20,187	43.9
Randall, Town	3,180	7,632	10,668	3,036	39.8
Salem, Town	12,067	12,035	40,481	28,446	236.4
Silver Lake, Village	2,411	7,295	8,088	793	10.9
Somers, Town	9,597	19,199	32,195	12,996	67.7
Twin Lakes, Village	5,989	17,403	20,091	2,688	15.4
Wheatland, Town	3,373	6,009	11,315	5,307	88.3
<b>Kewaunee</b>					
Ahnapee, Town	940	1,736	3,153	1,417	81.6
Algoma, City	3,167	24,392	10,624	-13,767	-56.4
Carlton, Town	1,014	1,661	3,402	1,740	104.8
Casco, Town	1,165	1,966	3,908	1,942	98.8
Casco, Village	583	3,541	1,956	-1,586	-44.8
Franklin, Town	993	345	345	0	0.0
Kewaunee, City	2,952	19,341	9,903	-9,438	-48.8
Lincoln, Town	948	1,127	3,180	2,054	182.3
Luxemburg, Town	1,469	1,219	4,928	3,709	304.3

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Kewaunee continued</b>					
Luxemburg, Village	2,515	\$8,972	\$8,437	-\$535	-6.0%
Montpelier, Town	1,306	1,332	4,381	3,049	228.9
Pierce, Town	833	1,271	2,794	1,523	119.9
Red River, Town	1,393	1,897	4,673	2,776	146.4
West Kewaunee, Town	1,296	2,212	4,348	2,136	96.6
<b>La Crosse</b>					
Bangor, Village	1,459	2,612	4,894	2,283	87.4
Barre, Town	1,234	1,446	4,140	2,693	186.2
Burns, Town	947	739	3,177	2,437	329.6
Campbell, Town	4,314	9,580	14,472	4,892	51.1
Farmington, Town	2,061	4,133	6,914	2,781	67.3
Greenfield, Town	2,060	1,523	6,911	5,387	353.6
Hamilton, Town	2,436	2,704	8,172	5,468	202.2
Holland, Town	3,701	2,753	12,416	9,663	351.0
Holmen, Village	9,005	15,241	30,209	14,968	98.2
La Crosse, City	51,320	167,719	172,162	4,444	2.6
Medary, Town	1,461	1,916	4,901	2,985	155.7
Onalaska, City	17,736	35,764	59,499	23,735	66.4
Onalaska, Town	5,623	29,522	18,863	-10,659	-36.1
Rockland, Village	594	2,437	1,993	-444	-18.2
Shelby, Town	4,715	7,401	15,817	8,416	113.7
Washington, Town	558	1,765	1,872	107	6.1
West Salem, Village	4,799	4,692	16,099	11,407	243.1
<b>Lafayette</b>					
Argyle, Village	1,293	4,567	4,338	-229	-5.0
Belmont, Town	767	2,081	2,573	492	23.7
Belmont, Village	986	3,118	3,308	190	6.1
Benton, Town	504	228	1,691	1,462	640.6
Benton, Village	973	3,238	3,264	26	0.8
Blanchard, Town	264	726	886	160	22.0
Blanchardville, Village	825	3,030	2,768	-262	-8.6
Darlington, City	2,451	8,103	8,222	120	1.5
Darlington, Town	875	684	2,935	2,251	329.1
Elk Grove, Town	551	568	1,848	1,280	225.4
Fayette, Town	376	536	1,261	726	135.5
Gratiot, Town	786	3,154	2,637	-517	-16.4
Kendall, Town	454	743	1,523	780	104.9
Lamont, Town	314	982	1,053	71	7.3
Monticello, Town	133	411	446	35	8.6
New Diggings, Town	502	541	1,684	1,143	211.2
Seymour, Town	446	2,032	1,496	-536	-26.4
Shullsburg, City	1,226	2,963	4,113	1,150	38.8
Shullsburg, Town	354	1,279	1,188	-91	-7.1
Wayne, Town	979	5,769	3,284	-2,484	-43.1
White Oak Springs, Town	118	393	396	2	0.6
Willow Springs, Town	758	1,815	2,543	728	40.1
Wiota, Town	856	3,742	2,872	-870	-23.3
<b>Langlade</b>					
Ackley, Town	524	840	840	0	0.0
Ainsworth, Town	469	1,549	1,573	24	1.6

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Langlade continued</b>					
Antigo, City	8,234	\$56,971	\$27,622	-\$29,348	-51.5%
Antigo, Town	1,412	5,039	4,737	-302	-6.0
Elcho, Town	1,233	4,082	4,136	55	1.3
Evergreen, Town	495	1,648	1,661	13	0.8
Langlade, Town	473	1,637	1,587	-50	-3.1
Neva, Town	902	3,214	3,026	-188	-5.8
Norwood, Town	913	1,598	1,598	0	0.0
Peck, Town	349	961	1,171	210	21.9
Polar, Town	984	3,337	3,301	-36	-1.1
Price, Town	228	868	765	-103	-11.9
Rolling, Town	1,504	863	3,000	2,137	247.4
Summit, Town	163	577	547	-30	-5.2
Upham, Town	676	2,351	2,268	-83	-3.5
Vilas, Town	233	223	782	559	250.7
White Lake, Village	363	762	1,218	455	59.7
Wolf River, Town	731	2,163	2,452	289	13.4
<b>Lincoln</b>					
Birch, Town	594	961	1,275	314	32.6
Bradley, Town	2,408	6,296	8,078	1,782	28.3
Corning, Town	883	1,736	2,962	1,227	70.7
Harding, Town	372	1,025	1,248	223	21.7
King, Town	855	2,486	2,868	382	15.4
Merrill, City	9,661	32,614	32,410	-204	-0.6
Merrill, Town	2,980	4,122	9,997	5,875	142.5
Pine River, Town	1,869	2,517	6,270	3,753	149.1
Rock Falls, Town	618	1,099	2,073	974	88.7
Russell, Town	677	1,285	2,271	987	76.8
Schley, Town	934	2,316	3,133	817	35.3
Scott, Town	1,432	2,192	4,804	2,612	119.1
Skanawan, Town	391	724	1,312	588	81.3
Tomahawk, City	3,397	12,320	11,396	-924	-7.5
Tomahawk, Town	416	2,152	1,396	-756	-35.1
Wilson, Town	309	3,192	1,037	-2,156	-67.5
<b>Manitowoc</b>					
Cato, Town	1,566	5,942	5,253	-688	-11.6
Centerville, Town	645	3,644	2,164	-1,480	-40.6
Cleveland, Village	1,485	2,680	4,982	2,301	85.9
Cooperstown, Town	1,292	6,745	4,334	-2,410	-35.7
Eaton, Town	833	4,004	2,794	-1,210	-30.2
Francis Creek, Village	669	739	2,244	1,506	203.8
Gibson, Town	1,344	3,265	4,509	1,244	38.1
Kellnersville, Village	332	2,375	1,114	-1,261	-53.1
Kiel, City	3,738	21,527	12,540	-8,987	-41.7
Kossuth, Town	2,090	1,542	7,011	5,469	354.7
Liberty, Town	1,281	6,255	4,297	-1,958	-31.3
Manitowoc, City	33,736	207,066	113,174	-93,893	-45.3
Manitowoc Rapids, Town	2,150	8,013	7,213	-800	-10.0
Manitowoc, Town	1,083	2,093	3,633	1,540	73.6
Maple Grove, Town	835	2,246	2,801	556	24.7
Maribel, Village	351	1,580	1,177	-403	-25.5
Meeme, Town	1,446	5,956	4,851	-1,106	-18.6

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Manitowoc continued</b>					
Mishicot, Town	1,289	\$938	\$4,324	\$3,386	361.1%
Mishicot, Village	1,442	500	500	0	0.0
Newton, Town	2,264	12,420	7,595	-4,825	-38.8
Reedsville, Village	1,206	2,120	4,046	1,925	90.8
Rockland, Town	1,001	4,039	3,358	-681	-16.9
Saint Nazianz, Village	783	2,646	2,627	-20	-0.7
Schleswig, Town	1,963	4,100	6,585	2,486	60.6
Two Creeks, Town	437	700	1,466	766	109.5
Two Rivers, City	11,712	79,838	39,290	-40,548	-50.8
Two Rivers, Town	1,795	7,485	6,022	-1,464	-19.6
Valders, Village	962	3,405	3,227	-178	-5.2
Whitelaw, Village	757	3,420	2,539	-880	-25.7
<b>Marathon</b>					
Athens, Village	1,105	3,603	3,707	104	2.9
Bergen, Town	641	817	2,150	1,334	163.3
Bern, Town	591	1,482	1,983	500	33.7
Bevent, Town	1,118	1,600	2,344	744	46.5
Brighton, Town	612	1,164	2,053	889	76.3
Brokaw, Village	251	316	842	526	166.1
Cassel, Town	911	2,218	3,056	838	37.8
Cleveland, Town	1,488	1,442	4,010	2,568	178.1
Day, Town	1,085	2,603	3,640	1,037	39.8
Easton, Town	1,111	652	3,727	3,075	471.9
Eau Pleine, Town	773	1,141	2,593	1,452	127.2
Edgar, Village	1,479	5,106	4,962	-144	-2.8
Elderon, Town	606	1,127	2,033	906	80.3
Elderon, Village	179	652	600	-51	-7.9
Emmet, Town	931	840	3,123	2,283	271.9
Fenwood, Village	152	283	510	227	80.3
Frankfort, Town	670	1,874	2,248	374	20.0
Franzen, Town	578	6	50	44	804.2
Green Valley, Town	541	782	1,815	1,033	132.1
Halsey, Town	651	1,178	2,184	1,006	85.4
Hamburg, Town	918	738	3,080	2,342	317.4
Hatley, Village	574	648	1,926	1,278	197.3
Hewitt, Town	606	950	2,033	1,083	113.9
Holton, Town	873	1,838	2,929	1,090	59.3
Hull, Town	750	1,914	2,516	602	31.4
Johnson, Town	985	2,245	3,304	1,060	47.2
Knowlton, Town	1,910	9,060	6,407	-2,653	-29.3
Kronenwetter, Village	7,210	26,126	24,187	-1,939	-7.4
Maine, Town	2,337	2,002	7,840	5,838	291.6
Marathon, Town	1,048	1,845	3,516	1,670	90.5
Marathon, Village	1,524	10,510	5,113	-5,397	-51.4
Mosinee, City	3,988	14,587	13,378	-1,208	-8.3
Mosinee, Town	2,174	4,583	7,293	2,710	59.1
Norrie, Town	976	1,379	3,274	1,896	137.5
Plover, Town	689	1,867	2,311	445	23.8
Rib Falls, Town	993	2,562	3,331	769	30.0
Rib Mountain, Town	6,825	45,515	22,896	-22,619	-49.7
Rietbrock, Town	981	1,443	3,291	1,848	128.0
Ringle, Town	1,711	4,655	5,740	1,085	23.3

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Marathon continued</b>					
Rothschild, Village	5,269	\$10,335	\$17,676	\$7,341	71.0%
Schofield, City	2,169	9,006	7,276	-1,730	-19.2
Spencer, Town	1,581	3,037	5,304	2,267	74.7
Spencer, Village	1,925	6,721	6,458	-263	-3.9
Stettin, Town	2,554	2,123	8,568	6,444	303.5
Stratford, Village	1,578	8,826	5,294	-3,532	-40.0
Texas, Town	1,615	629	5,418	4,789	761.6
Unity, Village	343	1,612	1,151	-462	-28.6
Wausau, City	39,106	137,391	131,188	-6,202	-4.5
Wausau, Town	2,229	758	7,478	6,719	886.0
Weston, Village	15,507	74,388	52,021	-22,367	-30.1
Wien, Town	825	1,424	2,768	1,344	94.4
<b>Marinette</b>					
Amberg, Town	726	3,288	2,435	-852	-25.9
Beaver, Town	1,523	6,230	5,109	-1,121	-18.0
Beecher, Town	724	2,462	2,429	-33	-1.3
Coleman, Village	724	1,663	2,429	766	46.1
Dunbar, Town	1,094	3,783	3,670	-112	-3.0
Goodman, Town	619	976	2,077	1,101	112.8
Grover, Town	1,768	9,112	5,931	-3,181	-34.9
Marinette, City	10,968	42,742	36,794	-5,947	-13.9
Middle Inlet, Town	840	982	2,700	1,718	175.0
Niagara, Town	853	1,350	1,350	0	0.0
Northwoods Recycling Coalition	7,186	11,765	24,107	12,342	104.9
Pembine, Town	889	4,297	2,982	-1,314	-30.6
Peshtigo, City	3,502	16,458	11,748	-4,710	-28.6
Peshtigo, Town	4,057	8,367	13,610	5,243	62.7
Porterfield, Town	1,971	6,966	6,612	-354	-5.1
Pound, Town	1,425	3,081	4,780	1,700	55.2
Wagner, Town	681	1,360	2,285	925	68.0
Wausaukee, Village	575	1,561	1,929	368	23.6
<b>Marquette</b>					
Endeavor, Village	468	1,085	1,570	485	44.6
Marquette County	14,329	75,058	48,069	-26,989	-36.0
Oxford, Village	607	1,875	2,036	162	8.6
<b>Menominee</b>					
Menominee County	8,204	78,997	27,522	-51,476	-65.2
<b>Milwaukee</b>					
Bayside, Village	4,389	25,706	14,724	-10,982	-42.7
Brown Deer, Village	11,999	43,725	40,253	-3,472	-7.9
Cudahy, City	18,267	67,017	61,280	-5,737	-8.6
Fox Point, Village	6,701	58,515	22,480	-36,035	-61.6
Franklin, City	35,451	59,818	118,927	59,109	98.8
Glendale, City	12,872	61,590	43,181	-18,409	-29.9
Greendale, Village	14,046	54,567	47,120	-7,448	-13.6
Greenfield, City	36,720	114,835	123,184	8,348	7.3
Hales Corners, Village	7,692	19,989	25,804	5,815	29.1
Milwaukee, City	594,833	2,164,861	1,995,475	-169,386	-7.8

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Milwaukee continued</b>					
Oak Creek, City	34,451	\$85,229	\$115,572	\$30,343	35.6%
River Hills, Village	1,597	10,837	5,357	-5,479	-50.6
Saint Francis, City	9,365	33,394	31,417	-1,977	-5.9
Shorewood, Village	13,162	52,762	44,154	-8,608	-16.3
South Milwaukee, City	21,156	81,456	70,972	-10,485	-12.9
Wauwatosa, City	46,396	173,838	155,644	-18,195	-10.5
West Allis, City	60,411	238,266	202,660	-35,606	-14.9
West Milwaukee, Village	4,206	15,030	14,110	-920	-6.1
Whitefish Bay, Village	14,110	63,900	47,335	-16,566	-25.9
<b>Monroe</b>					
Glendale, Town	667	1,130	2,238	1,108	98.1
Grant, Town	495	765	1,661	895	116.9
Ho Chunk Nation -- Tribe	2,608	6,671	8,749	2,078	31.2
Monroe County	43,511	132,833	145,966	13,133	9.9
<b>Oconto</b>					
Oconto County	37,660	197,307	126,337	-70,969	-36.0
<b>Oneida</b>					
Little Rice, Town	306	790	1,027	237	29.9
Oneida County	26,801	63,967	89,909	25,941	40.6
Rhineland, City	7,798	22,597	26,160	3,563	15.8
Three Lakes, Town	2,131	8,050	7,149	-901	-11.2
<b>Outagamie</b>					
Outagamie County	194,807	517,907	653,515	135,608	26.2
<b>Ozaukee</b>					
Belgium, Town	1,415	1,165	4,747	3,582	307.4
Belgium, Village	2,245	5,373	7,531	2,158	40.2
Cedarburg, City	11,412	37,946	38,284	337	0.9
Cedarburg, Town	5,760	5,088	19,323	14,235	279.8
Fredonia, Town	2,172	4,687	7,286	2,600	55.5
Fredonia, Village	2,160	6,682	7,246	564	8.4
Grafton, Town	4,053	10,038	13,597	3,558	35.4
Grafton, Village	11,459	24,119	38,441	14,322	59.4
Mequon, City	23,132	15,418	54,200	38,782	251.5
Port Washington, City	11,250	19,224	37,740	18,516	96.3
Saukville, Town	1,822	3,267	6,112	2,845	87.1
Saukville, Village	4,451	2,526	14,932	12,405	491.0
Thiensville, Village	3,235	9,512	10,852	1,340	14.1
<b>Pepin</b>					
Pepin County	8,226	65,072	27,596	-37,476	-57.6
<b>Pierce</b>					
Pierce County	41,903	190,107	140,571	-49,536	-26.1
River Falls, Town	2,271	6,289	7,618	1,330	21.1
<b>Polk</b>					
Polk County	44,112	147,747	147,982	235	0.2

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Portage</b>					
Carson, Town	1,305	\$2,857	\$4,378	\$1,521	53.3%
Eau Pleine, Town	908	1,210	3,046	1,836	151.7
Grant, Town	1,906	1,840	6,394	4,554	247.5
Junction City, Village	439	1,796	1,473	-324	-18.0
New Hope, Town	718	929	2,409	1,480	159.3
Portage County	61,826	204,168	207,407	3,239	1.6
Stockton, Town	2,917	5,269	9,786	4,516	85.7
<b>Price</b>					
Catawba, Village	379	1,225	1,271	46	3.8
Eisenstein, Town	630	3,436	2,113	-1,323	-38.5
Elk, Town	988	4,180	3,314	-865	-20.7
Emery, Town	297	1,366	996	-369	-27.0
Fifield, Town	901	2,365	3,023	657	27.8
Flambeau, Town	489	2,394	1,640	-754	-31.5
Harmony, Town	222	881	745	-136	-15.5
Kennan-Georgetown Disposal Unit	662	1,959	2,221	262	13.4
Knox, Town	341	2,562	1,144	-1,418	-55.4
Lake, Town	1,128	1,394	3,784	2,391	171.6
Ogema, Town	1,046	2,437	3,509	1,072	44.0
Park Falls, City	2,462	8,922	8,259	-663	-7.4
Phillips, City	1,478	8,866	4,958	-3,908	-44.1
Prentice, Village	1,304	1,294	4,375	3,081	238.1
Spirit, Town	277	1,262	929	-333	-26.4
Worcester, Town	1,555	5,946	5,217	-730	-12.3
<b>Racine</b>					
Burlington, City	10,464	30,241	35,103	4,862	16.1
Burlington, Town	6,502	19,262	21,812	2,550	13.2
Caledonia, Village	24,705	61,068	82,877	21,809	35.7
Dover, Town	4,051	3,748	13,590	9,842	262.6
Elmwood Park, Village	497	2,775	1,667	-1,108	-39.9
Mount Pleasant, Village	26,197	24,696	87,883	63,186	255.9
North Bay, Village	241	1,664	808	-855	-51.4
Norway, Town	7,948	24,323	26,663	2,340	9.6
Racine, City	78,860	295,234	264,550	-30,684	-10.4
Raymond, Town	3,870	2,062	12,983	10,921	529.7
Rochester, Village	3,682	6,148	12,352	6,204	100.9
Sturtevant, Village	6,970	18,690	23,382	4,692	25.1
Union Grove, Village	4,915	12,655	16,488	3,833	30.3
Waterford, Town	6,344	17,807	21,282	3,475	19.5
Waterford, Village	5,368	12,294	18,008	5,714	46.5
Wind Point, Village	1,723	8,626	5,780	-2,845	-33.0
Yorkville, Town	3,071	5,501	10,302	4,801	87.3
<b>Richland</b>					
Cazenovia, Village	318	1,757	1,067	-690	-39.3
Richland County	17,230	94,005	57,801	-36,204	-38.5
<b>Rock</b>					
Avon, Town	608	1,736	2,040	304	17.5
Beloit, City	36,966	128,274	124,009	-4,265	-3.3



**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Rock continued</b>					
Beloit, Town	7,662	\$7,776	\$25,704	\$17,928	230.6%
Bradford, Town	1,121	842	3,761	2,918	346.6
Center, Town	1,066	6,168	3,576	-2,592	-42.0
Clinton, Town	930	773	3,120	2,347	303.8
Clinton, Village	2,154	7,104	7,226	122	1.7
Edgerton, City	5,461	16,330	18,320	1,990	12.2
Evansville, City	5,012	17,081	16,814	-267	-1.6
Footville, Village	808	3,267	2,711	-556	-17.0
Fulton, Town	3,252	10,853	10,909	57	0.5
Harmony, Town	2,569	8,076	8,618	542	6.7
Janesville, City	63,575	209,833	213,274	3,441	1.6
Janesville, Town	3,434	6,724	11,520	4,796	71.3
Johnstown, Town	778	2,757	2,610	-147	-5.3
La Prairie, Town	834	2,389	2,798	409	17.1
Lima, Town	1,280	4,379	4,294	-85	-1.9
Magnolia, Town	767	994	2,275	1,281	128.9
Milton, City	5,546	20,850	18,605	-2,245	-10.8
Milton, Town	2,923	9,623	9,806	183	1.9
Newark, Town	1,541	5,538	5,170	-368	-6.6
Orfordville, Village	1,442	4,447	4,837	391	8.8
Plymouth, Town	1,235	3,825	4,143	318	8.3
Porter, Town	945	4,390	3,170	-1,220	-27.8
Rock, Town	3,196	10,145	10,722	577	5.7
Spring Valley, Town	746	867	2,503	1,635	188.5
Turtle, Town	2,388	8,822	8,011	-811	-9.2
Union, Town	2,099	4,257	7,041	2,784	65.4
<b>Rusk</b>					
Dewey, Town	798	2,812	2,677	-135	-4.8
Grow, Town	427	1,357	1,432	76	5.6
Rusk County	13,292	78,629	44,590	-34,039	-43.3
Weyerhaeuser, Village	238	567	798	231	40.8
<b>Saint Croix</b>					
Cady, Town	821	1,500	2,754	1,254	83.6
Cylon, Town	683	3,065	2,291	-774	-25.3
Forest, Town	629	555	2,110	1,555	280.0
Glenwood City, City	1,242	9,522	4,167	-5,356	-56.2
Roberts, Village	1,651	5,656	5,539	-117	-2.1
St Croix County	74,389	176,454	249,551	73,098	41.4
Warren, Town	1,591	6,211	5,337	-874	-14.1
Wilson, Village	184	972	617	-354	-36.5
<b>Sauk</b>					
Baraboo, City	12,048	35,163	40,417	5,254	14.9
Baraboo, Town	1,672	2,177	5,609	3,432	157.6
Bear Creek, Town	595	1,051	1,996	945	90.0
Dellona, Town	1,552	1,439	5,206	3,767	261.7
Delton, Town	2,391	3,402	8,021	4,619	135.7
Excelsior, Town	1,575	1,864	5,284	3,420	183.5
Fairfield, Town	1,077	2,940	3,613	673	22.9
Franklin, Town	652	651	2,187	1,536	236.0
Freedom, Town	447	1,499	1,500	1	0.1

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Sauk continued</b>					
Greenfield, Town	932	\$1,232	\$3,127	\$1,894	153.7%
Honey Creek, Town	733	2,048	2,459	411	20.0
Ironton, Village	253	123	849	725	588.7
La Valle, Town	1,302	1,741	4,368	2,627	150.9
La Valle, Village	367	1,040	1,231	192	18.4
Lake Delton, Village	2,914	6,686	9,776	3,089	46.2
Loganville, Village	300	963	1,006	43	4.5
Merrimac, Town	942	3,040	3,160	120	4.0
Merrimac, Village	420	1,442	1,409	-33	-2.3
North Freedom, Village	701	1,965	2,352	387	19.7
Plain, Village	773	2,576	2,593	17	0.7
Prairie du Sac, Town	1,144	3,858	3,838	-20	-0.5
Prairie du Sac, Village	3,972	16,819	13,325	-3,495	-20.8
Reedsburg, City	9,200	10,514	30,863	20,349	193.5
Reedsburg, Town	1,293	1,834	4,338	2,503	136.5
Rock Springs, Village	362	1,102	1,214	112	10.2
Sauk City, Village	3,410	15,168	11,439	-3,729	-24.6
Spring Green, Town	1,697	1,886	5,693	3,807	201.9
Spring Green, Village	1,628	1,946	5,461	3,516	180.7
Sumpter, Town	1,191	392	3,995	3,604	919.7
Troy, Town	794	2,484	2,664	179	7.2
Washington, Town	1,007	1,955	3,378	1,423	72.8
Westfield, Town	571	1,152	1,916	764	66.3
Winfield, Town	856	1,410	2,872	1,462	103.6
Woodland, Town	790	2,548	2,650	102	4.0
<b>Sawyer</b>					
Bass Lake, Town	2,377	8,824	7,974	-850	-9.6
Couderay, Town	401	1,358	1,345	-13	-0.9
Draper, Town	204	735	684	-50	-6.8
Edgewater, Town	519	2,634	1,741	-893	-33.9
Hayward, City	2,318	7,205	7,776	571	7.9
Hayward, Town	3,567	3,206	11,966	8,760	273.2
Hunter, Town	678	3,689	2,274	-1,415	-38.4
Lac Courte Oreilles Tribe	4,037	9,338	13,543	4,205	45.0
Meadowbrook, Town	131	877	439	-437	-49.9
Meteor, Town	158	748	530	-218	-29.2
Radisson, Town	405	2,107	1,359	-748	-35.5
Radisson, Village	241	734	808	75	10.2
Round Lake, Town	977	3,733	3,278	-455	-12.2
Sand Lake, Town	813	1,581	2,727	1,146	72.5
Southern Sawyer County Waste Management Commission	1,209	7,775	4,056	-3,719	-47.8
Spider Lake, Town	351	2,648	1,177	-1,471	-55.5
Weirgor, Town	528	3,055	1,771	-1,284	-42.0
Winter, Village	313	2,942	1,050	-1,892	-64.3
<b>Shawano</b>					
Almon, Town	584	691	1,959	1,268	183.4
Angelica, Town	1,793	6,503	6,015	-488	-7.5
Aniwa, Village	260	267	872	605	226.5
Belle Plaine, Town	1,855	6,403	6,223	-180	-2.8
Birnamwood, Town	763	335	2,560	2,225	664.2

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Shawano continued</b>					
Birnamwood, Village	818	\$555	\$2,650	\$2,095	377.2%
Bonduel, Village	1,478	6,226	4,958	-1,268	-20.4
Bowler, Village	302	387	1,013	626	161.7
Cecil, Village	570	484	1,912	1,428	294.9
Eland, Village	202	258	678	419	162.3
Fairbanks, Town	616	1,644	2,066	423	25.7
Germania, Town	332	193	1,114	921	477.8
Grant, Town	991	615	3,324	2,709	440.2
Green Valley, Town	1,089	4,473	3,653	-819	-18.3
Gresham, Village	586	2,237	1,966	-271	-12.1
Hartland, Town	904	1,743	3,033	1,289	73.9
Herman, Town	776	487	2,603	2,116	434.1
Hutchins, Town	600	1,993	2,013	20	1.0
Lessor, Town	1,263	1,730	4,237	2,507	144.9
Maple Grove, Town	972	3,201	3,261	59	1.9
Mattoon, Village	438	2,135	1,469	-666	-31.2
Morris, Town	453	553	1,520	967	174.8
Navarino, Town	446	1,243	1,496	254	20.4
Pella, Town	865	823	2,902	2,079	252.5
Red Springs, Town	925	786	3,103	2,317	294.8
Richmond, Town	1,864	2,486	6,253	3,767	151.5
Seneca, Town	558	311	1,872	1,561	501.4
Shawano, City	9,305	28,441	31,215	2,775	9.8
Stockbridge-Munsee Tribe	936	2,368	3,140	772	32.6
Tigerton, Village	741	1,223	2,486	1,263	103.3
Washington, Town	1,895	2,093	6,357	4,264	203.7
Waukechon, Town	1,021	1,054	3,425	2,371	225.0
Wescott, Town	3,183	13,641	10,678	-2,963	-21.7
Wittenberg, Town	833	1,394	2,794	1,400	100.4
Wittenberg, Village	1,081	706	2,900	2,194	310.6
<b>Sheboygan</b>					
Adell, Village	516	1,899	1,731	-168	-8.9
Cascade, Village	709	1,090	2,378	1,288	118.2
Cedar Grove, Village	2,113	2,172	7,088	4,916	226.3
Elkhart Lake, Village	967	3,894	3,244	-650	-16.7
Glenbeulah, Village	463	912	1,553	642	70.4
Greenbush, Town	1,534	3,133	5,146	2,013	64.3
Herman, Town	2,151	2,489	7,216	4,727	189.9
Holland, Town	2,239	2,286	7,511	5,225	228.5
Howards Grove, Village	3,188	7,039	10,695	3,655	51.9
Kohler, Village	2,120	7,430	7,112	-319	-4.3
Lima, Town	2,982	4,249	10,004	5,754	135.4
Mitchell, Town	2,335	210	7,833	7,623	3,627.8
Mosel, Town	790	1,070	2,650	1,581	147.8
Oostburg, Village	2,887	5,957	9,685	3,728	62.6
Plymouth, City	8,445	22,138	28,330	6,192	28.0
Random Lake, Village	1,594	3,627	5,347	1,721	47.4
Rhine, Town	2,134	1,361	7,159	5,798	426.0
Russell, Town	377	834	1,265	431	51.6
Scott, Town	1,836	3,221	6,159	2,939	91.2
Sheboygan, City	49,288	180,876	165,346	-15,530	-8.6
Sheboygan, Town	7,271	18,120	24,392	6,272	34.6

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Sheboygan continued</b>					
Sheboygan Falls, City	7,775	\$15,521	\$26,083	\$10,562	68.1%
Sheboygan Falls, Town	1,718	5,106	5,763	658	12.9
Sherman, Town	1,505	2,599	5,049	2,450	94.3
Wilson, Town	3,330	11,005	11,171	166	1.5
<b>Taylor</b>					
Aurora, Town	422	1,559	1,416	-143	-9.2
Gilman, Village	410	2,629	1,375	-1,253	-47.7
Medford, Town	2,606	2,683	8,742	6,060	225.9
Molitor, Town	324	744	1,087	343	46.1
Taylor County	16,243	84,217	54,490	-29,727	-35.3
Westboro, Town	684	2,391	2,295	-97	-4.0
<b>Trempealeau</b>					
Arcadia, City	2,925	7,223	9,812	2,590	35.9
Arcadia, Town	1,779	329	2,550	2,221	674.1
Blair, City	1,366	2,522	4,582	2,060	81.7
Burnside, Town	511	115	1,613	1,498	1,308.1
Chimney Rock, Town	241	749	808	59	7.9
Eleva-Albion Garbage and Recycling	1,323	4,719	4,438	-281	-5.9
Ettrick, Town	1,237	2,001	4,150	2,148	107.3
Ettrick, Village	524	3,184	1,758	-1,426	-44.8
Hale, Town	1,037	1,770	3,479	1,709	96.6
Independence, City	1,336	3,914	4,482	568	14.5
Osseo, City	2,511	9,883	8,424	-1,459	-14.8
Pigeon Falls, Village	411	509	1,379	870	171.0
Pigeon, Town	891	790	2,679	1,889	239.1
Preston, Town	953	3,260	3,197	-63	-1.9
Southern Trempealeau County Solid Waste Commission	8,273	40,913	27,753	-13,159	-32.2
Strum, Village	1,620	7,017	5,435	-1,582	-22.5
Whitehall, City	1,558	6,598	5,227	-1,371	-20.8
<b>Vernon</b>					
Liberty, Town	252	668	845	177	26.5
Vernon County	30,106	134,203	100,996	-33,207	-24.7
<b>Vilas</b>					
Lac du Flambeau Tribe	1,274	15,565	4,274	-11,291	-72.5
Vilas County	21,430	109,597	71,891	-37,707	-34.4
<b>Walworth</b>					
Bloomfield, Town	6,278	15,561	21,061	5,500	35.3
Darien, Town	1,693	2,529	5,679	3,151	124.6
Darien, Village	1,580	6,005	5,300	-705	-11.7
Delavan, City	8,463	25,826	28,391	2,565	9.9
Delavan, Town	5,285	2,291	17,729	15,439	673.9
East Troy, Town	4,021	13,825	13,489	-336	-2.4
East Troy, Village	4,281	6,924	14,361	7,437	107.4
Elkhorn, City	10,084	13,838	33,829	19,991	144.5
Fontana, Village	1,672	16,872	5,609	-11,263	-66.8
Geneva, Town	4,993	6,475	16,750	10,275	158.7

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Walworth continued</b>					
Genoa City, Village	3,042	\$5,683	\$10,205	\$4,522	79.6%
La Fayette, Town	1,979	3,762	6,639	2,877	76.5
La Grange, Town	2,454	11,021	8,232	-2,789	-25.3
Lake Geneva, City	7,651	23,829	25,667	1,838	7.7
Linn, Town	2,383	10,640	7,994	-2,646	-24.9
Lyons, Town	3,698	6,352	12,406	6,053	95.3
Richmond, Town	1,884	5,535	6,320	786	14.2
Sharon, Town	907	980	3,043	2,063	210.6
Sharon, Village	1,605	4,773	5,384	611	12.8
Spring Prairie, Town	2,181	1,403	4,200	2,797	199.4
Sugar Creek, Town	3,943	10,442	13,228	2,786	26.7
Troy, Town	2,353	4,067	7,894	3,827	94.1
Walworth, Town	1,702	4,331	5,710	1,379	31.8
Walworth, Village	2,816	8,532	9,447	915	10.7
Whitewater, City	14,390	31,766	48,274	16,507	52.0
Whitewater, Town	1,471	5,407	4,935	-472	-8.7
Williams Bay, Village	2,564	8,153	8,601	449	5.5
<b>Washburn</b>					
Washburn County	15,911	78,997	53,376	-25,621	-32.4
<b>Washington</b>					
Addison, Town	3,495	7,648	11,725	4,077	53.3
Barton, Town	2,637	2,989	8,846	5,857	195.9
Erin, Town	3,747	6,796	8,350	1,554	22.9
Farmington, Town	4,014	6,326	13,466	7,140	112.9
Germantown, Town	254	395	852	457	115.7
Germantown, Village	19,749	23,913	66,252	42,339	177.1
Hartford, City	14,223	24,405	47,714	23,309	95.5
Hartford, Town	3,609	4,459	12,107	7,648	171.5
Jackson, Town	4,134	7,656	13,868	6,213	81.2
Jackson, Village	6,753	10,564	22,654	12,090	114.4
Kewaskum, Town	1,053	6,751	3,532	-3,219	-47.7
Kewaskum, Village	4,004	9,996	13,432	3,436	34.4
Newburg, Village	1,254	3,138	4,207	1,069	34.1
Polk, Town	3,937	4,011	13,207	9,197	229.3
Richfield, Village	11,300	8,669	37,908	29,239	337.3
Slinger, Village	5,068	12,553	17,002	4,448	35.4
Trenton, Town	4,732	15,675	15,874	200	1.3
Wayne, Town	2,169	4,904	5,966	1,062	21.7
West Bend, City	31,078	100,362	104,257	3,895	3.9
West Bend, Town	4,774	16,359	16,015	-344	-2.1
<b>Waukesha</b>					
Butler, Village	1,841	7,277	6,176	-1,101	-15.1
Eagle, Town	3,507	8,818	11,765	2,946	33.4
Genesee, Town	7,340	22,182	24,623	2,442	11.0
Lannon, Village	1,107	1,157	3,714	2,557	221.1
Menomonee Falls, Village	35,626	70,536	119,514	48,978	69.4
Mukwonago, Town	7,959	23,027	26,700	3,673	16.0
Mukwonago, Village	7,355	22,221	24,674	2,453	11.0
Muskego, City	24,135	73,055	80,965	7,910	10.8
North Prairie, Village	2,141	5,397	7,182	1,785	33.1

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Waukesha continued</b>					
Ottawa, Town	3,859	\$2,938	\$12,946	\$10,008	340.6%
Sussex, Village	10,518	30,283	35,285	5,001	16.5
Vernon, Town	7,601	21,696	25,499	3,803	17.5
Waukesha County	277,004	874,122	929,260	55,138	6.3
<b>Waupaca</b>					
Mukwa, Town	2,930	4,725	9,829	5,104	108.0
Waupaca County	41,920	162,157	140,628	-21,529	-13.3
Weyauwega, City	1,900	3,788	6,374	2,586	68.3
<b>Waushara</b>					
Waushara County	23,682	80,668	79,446	-1,222	-1.5
Wild Rose, Village	725	5,545	2,432	-3,113	-56.1
<b>Winnebago</b>					
Algoma, Town	6,822	10,748	22,886	12,138	112.9
Black Wolf, Town	2,410	4,154	8,085	3,930	94.6
Clayton, Town	3,951	6,113	13,254	7,142	116.8
Menasha, City	17,353	65,713	58,214	-7,499	-11.4
Menasha, Town	18,498	54,804	62,055	7,250	13.2
Neenah, City	25,501	195,638	85,548	-110,090	-56.3
Neenah, Town	3,237	8,521	10,859	2,338	27.4
Nekimi, Town	1,429	2,481	4,794	2,313	93.2
Nepeuskun, Town	710	722	2,382	1,660	229.9
Omro, City	3,517	11,014	11,798	785	7.1
Omro, Town	2,116	2,713	7,099	4,386	161.7
Oshkosh, City	66,083	220,328	221,687	1,360	0.6
Oshkosh, Town	2,475	9,588	8,303	-1,285	-13.4
Poygan, Town	1,301	1,820	4,364	2,544	139.8
Rushford, Town	1,561	2,881	5,237	2,356	81.8
Utica, Town	1,299	2,890	4,358	1,468	50.8
Vinland, Town	1,765	2,692	5,921	3,229	119.9
Winchester, Town	1,763	2,017	5,914	3,898	193.3
Winneconne, Town	2,350	6,590	7,884	1,294	19.6
Winneconne, Village	2,383	7,959	7,994	35	0.4
Wolf River, Town	1,189	3,132	3,989	856	27.3
<b>Wood</b>					
Arpin, Town	929	538	2,912	2,374	441.3
Arpin, Village	333	1,144	1,117	-27	-2.3
Auburndale, Town	860	634	2,885	2,251	355.4
Auburndale, Village	703	2,424	2,358	-65	-2.7
Biron, Village	839	3,309	2,815	-495	-14.9
Cranmoor, Town	168	679	564	-115	-16.9
Grand Rapids, Town	7,646	11,353	25,650	14,297	125.9
Hewitt, Village	828	1,272	2,778	1,506	118.4
Marshfield, City	19,118	62,086	64,135	2,048	3.3
Milladore, Town	690	2,029	2,315	286	14.1
Milladore, Village	276	756	926	170	22.5
Nekoosa, City	2,580	9,251	8,655	-596	-6.4
Northwest Recycling Board	7,290	16,077	24,456	8,379	52.1
Pittsville, City	874	4,004	2,932	-1,072	-26.8
Port Edwards, Town	1,427	3,947	4,787	840	21.3

**Attachment 1 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2010 Census Population</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on Per Capita Basis</u>	<u>Difference: Per Capita Award Alternative Minus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change Per Capita Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Wood continued</b>					
Port Edwards, Village	1,818	\$13,622	\$6,099	-\$7,523	-55.2%
Rudolph, Town	1,028	839	2,800	1,961	233.8
Rudolph, Village	439	1,484	1,473	-12	-0.8
Saratoga, Town	5,142	8,946	17,250	8,303	92.8
Seneca, Town	1,120	3,583	3,757	175	4.9
Sherry, Town	803	2,113	2,694	581	27.5
Sigel, Town	1,051	3,215	3,526	311	9.7
Southwest Wood County Recycling Board	2,704	7,184	9,071	1,887	26.3
Vesper, Village	584	1,455	1,959	504	34.6
Wisconsin Rapids, City	<u>18,367</u>	<u>67,382</u>	<u>61,615</u>	<u>-5,767</u>	-8.6
Total	5,675,761	\$18,954,000	\$18,954,000	\$0	0.0





## ATTACHMENT 2

### State Recycling Grants to Municipal and County Responsible Units Alternative 3.c Distribution on Percent of Net Eligible Costs Basis

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Adams</b>					
Adams, City	\$57,417	\$6,460	\$9,784	\$3,324	51.5%
Adams County	264,382	91,766	45,049	-46,717	-50.9
<b>Ashland</b>					
Agenda, Town	8,869	2,221	1,511	-710	-32.0
Ashland, City	198,500	56,432	33,823	-22,609	-40.1
Bad River Tribe	297,986	31,197	50,775	19,578	62.8
Butternut, Village	10,348	916	1,763	847	92.4
Chippewa, Town	4,880	2,168	832	-1,337	-61.7
Gingles, Town	2,100	1,537	358	-1,179	-76.7
Gordon, Town	21,156	3,325	3,605	280	8.4
Jacobs, Town	32,300	6,342	5,504	-839	-13.2
La Pointe, Town	88,991	8,817	15,164	6,346	72.0
Marengo, Town	7,929	1,555	1,351	-204	-13.1
Peeksville, Town	6,570	907	1,119	213	23.4
Sanborn, Town	6,337	2,638	1,080	-1,558	-59.1
TRI-M Sanitary Commission	70,404	11,705	11,996	291	2.5
White River, Town	11,450	3,346	1,951	-1,394	-41.7
<b>Barron</b>					
Barron County	276,407	78,997	47,098	-31,899	-40.4
Rice Lake, City	138,306	9,539	23,567	14,028	147.1
Rice Lake, Town	2,958	819	504	-315	-38.5
<b>Bayfield</b>					
Barnes, Town	31,146	3,978	5,307	1,329	33.4
Bay Area Solid Waste District	20,922	7,199	3,565	-3,634	-50.5
Bell, Town	11,641	1,703	1,984	281	16.5
Cable, Town	16,251	4,714	2,769	-1,945	-41.3
Clover, Town	4,200	1,525	716	-810	-53.1
Delta, Town	20,859	982	3,554	2,572	262.0
Grand View, Town	12,924	3,131	2,202	-929	-29.7
Hughes, Town	11,100	1,986	1,891	-94	-4.8
Iron River, Town	38,066	5,925	6,486	561	9.5
Keystone, Town	8,648	1,655	1,474	-182	-11.0
Mason Area Solid Waste District	14,315	3,425	2,439	-985	-28.8
Namakagon, Town	14,640	1,894	2,495	600	31.7
Oulu, Town	10,235	2,993	1,744	-1,249	-41.7
Port Wing, Town	12,523	3,824	2,134	-1,690	-44.2
Red Cliff Tribe	79,753	30,013	13,589	-16,424	-54.7
Russell, Town	23,825	8,867	4,060	-4,808	-54.2
Tripp, Town	7,560	1,305	1,288	-17	-1.3
Washburn, City	72,293	15,285	12,318	-2,967	-19.4

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Brown</b>					
Allouez, Village	\$643,547	\$95,118	\$109,657	\$14,538	15.3%
Ashwaubenon, Village	364,401	76,254	62,092	-14,162	-18.6
Bellevue, Village	258,871	41,999	44,110	2,111	5.0
De Pere, City	587,053	91,422	100,030	8,608	9.4
Denmark, Village	52,774	6,911	8,992	2,081	30.1
Eaton, Town	79,756	3,318	13,590	10,272	309.6
Glenmore, Town	11,950	1,733	2,036	303	17.5
Green Bay, City	3,236,120	406,923	551,416	144,493	35.5
Green Bay, Town	24,340	4,358	4,147	-211	-4.8
Hobart, Village	69,750	18,327	11,885	-6,442	-35.2
Holland, Town	14,032	3,197	2,391	-806	-25.2
Howard, Village	375,800	44,271	64,034	19,763	44.6
Humboldt, Town	28,750	2,486	4,899	2,413	97.1
Lawrence, Town	48,700	1,292	8,298	7,006	542.1
Ledgeview, Town	77,672	15,547	13,235	-2,312	-14.9
Morrison, Town	15,734	4,139	2,681	-1,458	-35.2
New Denmark, Town	23,585	4,869	4,019	-851	-17.5
Oneida Tribe	50,180	26,420	8,550	-17,869	-67.6
Pittsfield, Town	23,830	3,585	4,060	476	13.3
Pulaski, Village	204,546	9,779	34,853	25,074	256.4
Scott, Town	52,000	4,626	8,860	4,234	91.5
Suamico, Village	219,171	20,530	37,345	16,816	81.9
Wrightstown, Town	23,676	4,034	4,034	1	0.0
Wrightstown, Village	39,765	5,398	6,776	1,378	25.5
<b>Buffalo</b>					
Alma, City	10,848	4,127	1,848	-2,278	-55.2
Buffalo County	294,293	95,701	50,146	-45,555	-47.6
Waumandee, Town	5,108	2,447	870	-1,576	-64.4
<b>Burnett</b>					
Burnett County	149,933	83,340	25,548	-57,793	-69.3
St Croix Tribe	32,900	8,995	5,606	-3,389	-37.7
Webb Lake, Town	38,325	2,283	6,530	4,248	186.1
<b>Calumet</b>					
Brillion, City	104,795	10,473	17,856	7,383	70.5
Brillion, Town	15,148	4,607	2,581	-2,026	-44.0
Brothertown, Town	20,750	9,396	3,536	-5,860	-62.4
Charlestown, Town	13,200	4,572	2,249	-2,323	-50.8
Chilton, City	136,897	22,782	23,326	544	2.4
Chilton, Town	6,800	5,558	1,159	-4,399	-79.2
Harrison, Town	179,484	15,919	30,583	14,664	92.1
Hilbert, Village	28,050	8,004	4,780	-3,225	-40.3
New Holstein, City	68,406	22,136	11,656	-10,480	-47.3
New Holstein, Town	33,800	9,099	5,759	-3,339	-36.7
Potter, Village	6,995	946	1,192	246	25.9
Rantoul, Town	9,935	4,260	1,693	-2,567	-60.3
Sherwood, Village	36,651	6,958	6,245	-713	-10.2
Stockbridge, Town	26,899	9,512	4,583	-4,928	-51.8
Stockbridge, Village	20,260	2,243	3,452	1,209	53.9
Woodville, Town	13,825	788	2,356	1,567	198.8

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Chippewa</b>					
Chippewa County	\$627,600	\$202,569	\$106,939	-\$95,630	-47.2%
Cornell, City	33,405	10,206	5,692	-4,514	-44.2
Delmar, Town	4,200	1,876	716	-1,160	-61.9
New Auburn, Village	16,014	1,967	2,729	762	38.7
<b>Clark</b>					
Abbotsford, City	94,995	7,798	16,187	8,388	107.6
Beaver, Town	4,375	610	745	136	22.2
Butler, Town	1,386	337	236	-100	-29.8
Colby, City	27,362	4,616	4,662	47	1.0
Colby, Town	7,093	1,559	1,209	-351	-22.5
Curtiss, Village	5,510	630	939	308	48.9
Dewhurst, Town	8,540	939	1,455	516	54.9
Dorchester, Village	8,208	1,531	1,399	-132	-8.6
Eaton, Town	6,651	2,158	1,133	-1,025	-47.5
Foster, Town	1,403	301	239	-62	-20.6
Fremont, Town	15,840	694	2,699	2,005	289.1
Grant, Town	11,364	408	1,936	1,528	374.1
Granton, Village	7,735	1,336	1,318	-18	-1.3
Green Grove, Town	11,300	2,414	1,925	-489	-20.2
Greenwood, City	11,825	2,767	2,015	-752	-27.2
Hendren, Town	6,700	1,600	1,142	-458	-28.6
Hewett, Town	4,248	803	724	-79	-9.8
Hixon, Town	6,355	1,746	1,083	-664	-38.0
Hoard, Town	11,700	2,471	1,994	-477	-19.3
Levis, Town	7,322	2,105	1,248	-857	-40.7
Longwood, Town	13,000	516	2,215	1,699	329.4
Loyal, City	49,827	4,581	8,490	3,909	85.3
Loyal, Town	7,179	1,634	1,223	-411	-25.2
Lynn, Town	9,809	329	1,671	1,343	408.6
Mayville, Town	1,752	525	299	-226	-43.1
Mead, Town	6,019	833	1,026	193	23.2
Mentor, Town	39,767	3,646	6,776	3,130	85.8
Neillsville, City	60,934	9,347	10,383	1,036	11.1
Owen, City	38,425	2,740	6,547	3,807	138.9
Pine Valley, Town	22,620	3,767	3,854	88	2.3
Reseburg, Town	14,000	2,473	2,386	-88	-3.6
Seif, Town	4,300	705	733	27	3.9
Sherman, Town	4,000	561	682	121	21.5
Sherwood, Town	2,239	477	382	-96	-20.0
Thorp, City	29,136	10,828	4,965	-5,864	-54.2
Thorp, Town	9,350	2,787	1,593	-1,194	-42.8
Unity, Town	15,438	3,490	2,631	-859	-24.6
Warner, Town	8,076	2,288	1,376	-912	-39.8
Washburn, Town	4,608	1,050	785	-265	-25.2
Weston, Town	12,496	2,338	2,129	-209	-8.9
Withee, Town	6,837	1,363	1,165	-199	-14.6
Withee, Village	19,700	2,223	3,357	1,134	51.0
Worden, Town	8,015	1,992	1,366	-627	-31.5
York, Town	10,700	1,973	1,823	-150	-7.6

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Columbia</b>					
Columbia County	\$820,934	\$127,506	\$139,882	\$12,377	9.7%
Lodi, Town	95,775	9,048	16,319	7,271	80.4
Newport, Town	4,440	702	757	55	7.8
Portage, City	154,480	20,431	26,322	5,891	28.8
Wisconsin Dells, City	45,949	6,909	7,829	920	13.3
<b>Crawford</b>					
Bell Center, Village	2,371	103	404	301	293.4
Eastman, Town	6,633	1,532	1,130	-402	-26.2
Eastman, Village	6,535	1,230	1,114	-116	-9.5
Ferryville, Village	3,180	307	542	235	76.3
Freeman, Town	4,550	894	775	-119	-13.3
Gays Mills, Village	10,807	2,130	1,841	-288	-13.5
Lynxville, Village	5,532	619	943	323	52.2
Marietta, Town	9,030	2,318	1,539	-779	-33.6
Mount Sterling, Village	1,400	536	239	-297	-55.5
Prairie du Chien, City	75,500	18,408	12,865	-5,543	-30.1
Scott, Town	3,893	1,238	663	-575	-46.4
Seneca, Town	11,135	1,742	1,897	155	8.9
Soldiers Grove, Village	7,100	835	1,210	375	44.9
Steuben, Village	3,200	644	545	-98	-15.3
Utica, Town	3,600	611	613	3	0.5
Wauzeka, Town	3,978	309	678	369	119.4
Wauzeka, Village	7,860	2,420	1,339	-1,081	-44.7
<b>Dane</b>					
Albion, Town	13,300	4,957	2,266	-2,691	-54.3
Belleville, Village	71,507	11,941	12,184	243	2.0
Berry, Town	18,200	3,296	3,101	-195	-5.9
Black Earth, Village	37,157	4,854	6,331	1,477	30.4
Blooming Grove, Town	125,086	10,261	21,314	11,053	107.7
Blue Mounds, Town	17,925	4,023	3,054	-969	-24.1
Blue Mounds, Village	16,307	2,211	2,779	567	25.7
Bristol, Town	72,900	8,025	12,422	4,396	54.8
Burke, Town	82,472	11,075	14,053	2,977	26.9
Cambridge, Village	43,307	3,951	7,379	3,429	86.8
Christiana, Town	24,808	4,081	4,227	146	3.6
Cottage Grove, Town	72,119	7,445	12,289	4,844	65.1
Cottage Grove, Village	122,398	9,672	20,856	11,183	115.6
Cross Plains, Town	69,600	3,321	11,859	8,538	257.1
Cross Plains, Village	18,326	6,617	3,123	-3,494	-52.8
Dane, Town	5,940	365	1,012	647	177.3
Dane, Village	17,940	2,654	3,057	403	15.2
Deerfield, Town	25,333	4,680	4,317	-363	-7.8
Deerfield, Village	75,916	6,608	12,936	6,328	95.8
De Forest, Village	211,008	17,052	35,955	18,902	110.8
Dunkirk, Town	86,104	7,382	14,672	7,289	98.7
Dunn, Town	212,052	34,786	36,132	1,346	3.9
Fitchburg, City	470,797	96,507	80,221	-16,286	-16.9
Madison, City	7,126,195	740,877	1,214,262	473,385	63.9
Madison, Town	198,429	23,509	33,811	10,302	43.8
Maple Bluff, Village	179,514	31,418	30,588	-830	-2.6
Marshall, Village	84,624	10,304	14,419	4,116	39.9

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Dane continued</b>					
Mazomanie, Town	\$25,101	\$7,866	\$4,277	-\$3,589	-45.6%
Mazomanie, Village	50,309	5,146	8,572	3,426	66.6
McFarland, Village	144,179	21,610	24,567	2,958	13.7
Medina, Town	8,650	3,686	1,474	-2,212	-60.0
Middleton, City	586,955	55,250	100,014	44,764	81.0
Middleton, Town	169,851	14,608	28,942	14,333	98.1
Monona, City	184,275	30,591	31,399	808	2.6
Montrose, Town	41,396	5,285	7,054	1,769	33.5
Mount Horeb, Village	150,668	17,806	25,673	7,867	44.2
Oregon, Town	79,621	17,800	13,567	-4,233	-23.8
Oregon, Village	269,376	33,666	45,900	12,234	36.3
Perry, Town	17,550	1,984	2,990	1,006	50.7
Pleasant Springs, Town	72,684	10,378	12,385	2,007	19.3
Primrose, Town	9,718	1,459	1,656	197	13.5
Rockdale, Village	6,426	826	1,095	269	32.6
Roxbury, Town	25,629	4,940	4,367	-573	-11.6
Rutland, Town	39,913	9,494	6,801	-2,693	-28.4
Shorewood Hills, Village	167,678	14,927	28,571	13,644	91.4
Springdale, Town	42,316	7,688	7,210	-477	-6.2
Springfield, Town	62,616	7,728	10,669	2,941	38.1
Stoughton, City	342,013	34,110	58,277	24,167	70.8
Sun Prairie, City	570,397	39,142	97,192	58,051	148.3
Sun Prairie, Town	52,370	13,627	8,924	-4,703	-34.5
Verona, City	350,475	21,928	59,719	37,791	172.3
Verona, Town	32,672	9,780	5,567	-4,213	-43.1
Vienna, Town	28,763	4,936	4,901	-35	-0.7
Waunakee, Village	317,618	28,426	54,120	25,694	90.4
Westport, Town	96,452	6,674	16,435	9,761	146.3
Windsor, Town	189,906	15,490	32,359	16,869	108.9
York, Town	7,875	1,639	1,342	-297	-18.1
<b>Dodge</b>					
Ashippun, Town	30,154	11,687	5,138	-6,549	-56.0
Beaver Dam, City	398,793	53,146	67,952	14,806	27.9
Beaver Dam, Town	35,427	4,952	6,037	1,084	21.9
Brownsville, Village	16,289	1,729	2,776	1,046	60.5
Burnett, Town	5,801	4,246	988	-3,257	-76.7
Calamus, Town	2,632	565	448	-116	-20.6
Clyman, Village	19,725	686	3,361	2,675	390.2
Emmet, Town	11,890	4,634	2,026	-2,608	-56.3
Fox Lake, City	34,300	8,063	5,845	-2,218	-27.5
Fox Lake, Town	21,898	6,097	3,731	-2,366	-38.8
Herman, Town	12,572	4,950	2,142	-2,808	-56.7
Horicon, City	94,631	25,642	16,125	-9,518	-37.1
Hubbard, Town	15,629	5,414	2,663	-2,751	-50.8
Hustisford, Village	31,635	7,071	5,390	-1,680	-23.8
Iron Ridge, Village	13,960	6,014	2,379	-3,636	-60.4
Juneau, City	51,887	8,333	8,841	508	6.1
Kekoskee, Village	3,000	845	511	-334	-39.5
Lebanon, Town	11,100	4,924	1,891	-3,033	-61.6
Leroy, Town	7,550	2,175	1,286	-889	-40.9
Lomira, Town	8,332	6,364	1,420	-4,945	-77.7
Lomira, Village	52,567	9,842	8,957	-885	-9.0

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Dodge continued</b>					
Lowell, Town	\$5,800	\$2,462	\$988	-\$1,473	-59.9%
Lowell, Village	1,701	491	290	-202	-41.0
Mayville, City	106,092	30,884	18,077	-12,807	-41.5
Neosho, Village	12,499	1,189	2,130	941	79.1
Oak Grove, Town	12,676	507	2,160	1,653	325.9
Portland, Town	8,070	2,544	1,375	-1,169	-45.9
Reeseville, Village	24,867	2,481	4,237	1,757	70.8
Rubicon, Town	27,745	8,984	4,728	-4,257	-47.4
Shields, Town	8,125	1,307	1,384	78	6.0
Theresa, Town	4,240	3,000	722	-2,278	-75.9
Theresa, Village	32,339	3,309	5,510	2,201	66.5
Trenton, Town	2,320	1,294	395	-899	-69.4
Waupun, City	191,541	50,095	32,637	-17,458	-34.8
Westford, Town	2,750	541	469	-73	-13.4
Williamstown, Town	7,367	404	1,255	852	211.0
<b>Door</b>					
Door County	373,800	78,997	63,693	-15,304	-19.4
<b>Douglas</b>					
Douglas County	203,722	51,388	34,713	-16,675	-32.4
Superior, City	559,924	93,756	95,408	1,652	1.8
<b>Dunn</b>					
Dunn County	791,201	146,100	134,816	-11,284	-7.7
Hay River, Town	7,950	1,318	1,355	37	2.8
Knapp, Village	8,914	2,912	1,519	-1,393	-47.8
Stanton, Town	13,402	2,500	2,284	-216	-8.7
<b>Eau Claire</b>					
Eau Claire County	1,118,159	459,258	190,528	-268,730	-58.5
<b>Florence</b>					
Florence County	112,385	78,997	19,150	-59,848	-75.8
<b>Fond du Lac</b>					
Alto, Town	2,570	951	438	-513	-54.0
Ashford, Town	14,440	1,295	2,460	1,165	90.0
Auburn, Town	69,350	7,745	11,817	4,072	52.6
Brandon, Village	26,694	3,154	4,549	1,395	44.2
Byron, Town	20,916	3,596	3,564	-32	-0.9
Calumet, Town	29,220	5,219	4,979	-240	-4.6
Campbellsport, Village	79,543	7,234	13,554	6,319	87.4
Eden, Town	17,709	2,879	3,018	138	4.8
Eden, Village	20,750	1,979	3,536	1,557	78.7
Eldorado, Town	40,025	1,755	6,820	5,065	288.5
Empire, Town	43,705	3,602	7,447	3,845	106.7
Fairwater, Village	5,175	1,014	882	-133	-13.1
Fond du Lac, City	895,200	145,639	152,537	6,898	4.7
Fond du Lac, Town	47,148	6,524	8,034	1,509	23.1
Forest, Town	5,692	1,447	970	-477	-33.0
Friendship, Town	62,530	7,994	10,655	2,661	33.3
Lamartine, Town	18,685	2,404	3,184	780	32.4

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Fond du Lac continued</b>					
Marshfield, Town	\$17,032	\$1,477	\$2,902	\$1,425	96.5%
Metomen, Town	10,885	680	1,855	1,175	172.7
Mount Calvary, Village	8,898	1,404	1,516	112	8.0
North Fond du Lac, Village	96,043	16,390	16,365	-25	-0.2
Oakfield, Town	6,200	2,414	1,056	-1,357	-56.2
Oakfield, Village	34,488	3,490	5,877	2,386	68.4
Osceola, Town	10,077	1,692	1,717	25	1.5
Ripon, City	185,747	26,821	31,650	4,829	18.0
Ripon, Town	15,870	3,109	2,704	-405	-13.0
Rosendale, Town	25,116	2,536	4,280	1,744	68.8
Rosendale, Village	34,024	5,848	5,797	-50	-0.9
Saint Cloud, Village	14,315	813	2,439	1,626	200.1
Springvale, Town	16,100	497	2,743	2,246	452.1
Taycheedah, Town	51,000	6,972	8,690	1,718	24.6
Waupun, Town	3,425	966	584	-383	-39.6
<b>Forest</b>					
Forest County	119,839	78,997	20,420	-58,577	-74.2
Forest County Potawatomi Tribe	152,466	10,936	25,979	15,043	137.5
Mole Lake Tribe	128,529	12,256	21,901	9,644	78.7
<b>Grant</b>					
Bagley, Village	2,850	513	486	-28	-5.4
Beetown, Town	5,469	519	932	413	79.6
Bloomington, Town	6,228	1,300	1,061	-239	-18.4
Bloomington, Village	19,651	877	3,348	2,472	281.9
Blue River, Village	5,870	1,200	1,000	-200	-16.6
Boscobel, City	80,216	9,878	13,668	3,791	38.4
Boscobel, Town	9,149	1,236	1,559	323	26.2
Cassville, Town	3,671	813	626	-187	-23.0
Cassville, Village	53,968	4,993	9,196	4,202	84.2
Castle Rock, Town	2,160	375	368	-7	-1.9
Clifton, Town	3,955	1,134	674	-460	-40.6
Cuba City, City	33,100	5,832	5,640	-192	-3.3
Dickeyville, Village	26,852	1,890	4,575	2,686	142.1
Ellenboro, Town	4,963	842	846	4	0.4
Fennimore, City	52,919	10,862	9,017	-1,845	-17.0
Fennimore, Town	10,836	1,581	1,846	266	16.8
Harrison, Town	11,407	1,603	1,944	341	21.3
Hazel Green, Town	17,863	2,132	3,044	912	42.8
Hazel Green, Village	15,174	4,269	2,586	-1,683	-39.4
Hickory Grove, Town	3,474	456	592	136	29.9
Jamestown, Town	37,629	2,064	6,412	4,348	210.6
Lancaster, City	120,700	15,171	20,567	5,395	35.6
Liberty, Town	3,290	849	561	-289	-34.0
Lima, Town	14,499	2,032	2,471	439	21.6
Little Grant, Town	3,715	705	633	-72	-10.2
Livingston, Village	32,863	2,062	5,600	3,538	171.6
Marion, Town	4,242	1,060	723	-337	-31.8
Millville, Town	513	490	87	-403	-82.2
Montfort, Village	10,050	952	1,712	761	79.9
Mount Hope, Town	3,605	404	614	210	51.9
Mount Hope, Village	3,906	978	666	-312	-31.9

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Grant continued</b>					
Mount Ida, Town	\$6,026	\$1,002	\$1,027	\$25	2.5%
Muscoda, Village	26,915	5,208	4,586	-621	-11.9
North Lancaster, Town	10,575	1,462	1,802	340	23.2
Paris, Town	14,601	2,455	2,488	33	1.3
Patch Grove, Town	2,255	619	384	-234	-37.9
Platteville, City	306,782	43,871	52,274	8,402	19.2
Platteville, Town	25,358	3,996	4,321	325	8.1
Potosi, Town	19,431	2,262	3,311	1,049	46.4
Potosi, Village	14,628	1,413	2,493	1,079	76.4
Smelser, Town	9,769	1,635	1,665	29	1.8
South Lancaster, Town	10,292	1,792	1,754	-38	-2.1
Tennyson, Village	6,922	707	1,179	472	66.8
Waterloo, Town	3,027	450	516	66	14.5
Watterstown, Town	8,001	303	1,363	1,060	349.7
Wingville, Town	4,075	739	694	-44	-6.0
Woodman, Town	1,813	362	309	-53	-14.6
Woodman, Village	3,250	114	554	440	386.8
Wyalusing, Town	6,800	468	1,159	691	147.8
<b>Green</b>					
Adams, Town	6,670	1,721	1,137	-585	-34.0
Albany, Village	39,501	9,683	6,731	-2,952	-30.5
Brodhead, City	103,209	12,303	17,586	5,283	42.9
Brooklyn, Town	20,037	5,013	3,414	-1,599	-31.9
Brooklyn, Village	34,232	3,164	5,833	2,669	84.4
Browntown, Village	36,606	1,567	6,237	4,671	298.1
Cadiz, Town	10,598	1,782	1,806	24	1.3
Clarno, Town	6,514	1,859	1,110	-749	-40.3
Decatur, Town	5,425	1,655	924	-731	-44.1
Exeter, Town	20,042	2,271	3,415	1,144	50.4
Jefferson, Town	10,970	4,832	1,869	-2,963	-61.3
Jordan, Town	17,065	2,009	2,908	899	44.7
Monroe, City	369,188	71,304	62,907	-8,397	-11.8
Monroe, Town	13,675	1,864	2,330	467	25.0
Monticello, Village	17,505	7,286	2,983	-4,303	-59.1
Mount Pleasant, Town	4,550	1,160	775	-385	-33.2
New Glarus, Town	15,685	1,002	2,673	1,671	166.8
New Glarus, Village	65,240	7,763	11,117	3,353	43.2
Spring Grove, Town	11,026	1,559	1,879	320	20.5
Sylvester, Town	8,470	1,127	1,443	317	28.1
Washington, Town	17,885	2,119	3,047	929	43.8
York, Town	22,551	883	3,843	2,959	335.1
<b>Green Lake</b>					
Berlin, City	207,500	24,588	35,357	10,769	43.8
Brooklyn, Town	19,160	2,578	3,265	687	26.7
Green Lake, City	61,192	6,836	10,427	3,591	52.5
Green Lake, Town	3,800	994	647	-346	-34.8
Kingston, Town	12,200	2,894	2,079	-816	-28.2
Kingston, Village	7,098	852	1,209	358	42.0
Mackford, Town	2,175	746	371	-375	-50.3
Manchester, Town	1,934	617	330	-287	-46.6
Markesan, City	31,928	5,878	5,440	-438	-7.4



**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Green Lake continued</b>					
Marquette, Village	\$1,880	\$122	\$320	\$198	161.6%
Princeton, City	23,400	4,327	3,987	-339	-7.8
Princeton, Town	9,230	1,580	1,573	-7	-0.5
Saint Marie, Town	1,534	438	261	-177	-40.4
Seneca, Town	4,620	589	787	198	33.6
<b>Iowa</b>					
Arena, Town	7,350	1,407	1,252	-155	-11.0
Arena, Village	12,250	1,052	2,087	1,035	98.4
Avoca, Village	41,145	2,436	7,011	4,575	187.8
Barneveld, Village	16,000	1,900	2,726	826	43.5
Brigham, Town	42,319	4,339	7,211	2,872	66.2
Clyde, Town	16,155	807	2,753	1,946	241.3
Cobb, Village	12,960	1,810	2,208	398	22.0
Dodgeville, City	136,870	15,136	23,322	8,186	54.1
Dodgeville, Town	8,800	2,518	1,499	-1,019	-40.5
Eden, Town	10,049	833	1,712	879	105.5
Highland, Town	8,289	1,046	1,412	366	35.0
Highland, Village	28,396	2,417	4,839	2,422	100.2
Hollandale, Village	7,378	950	1,257	308	32.4
Linden, Village	12,958	1,688	2,208	520	30.8
Mifflin, Town	5,700	1,124	971	-153	-13.6
Mineral Point, City	75,568	9,134	12,876	3,742	41.0
Mineral Point, Town	10,500	3,459	1,789	-1,669	-48.3
Moscow, Town	6,121	811	1,043	232	28.6
Pulaski, Town	5,655	1,300	964	-336	-25.9
Rewey, Village	6,179	918	1,053	135	14.7
Ridgeway, Town	26,450	3,776	4,507	731	19.4
Ridgeway, Village	23,314	2,636	3,973	1,336	50.7
Waldwick, Town	2,213	444	377	-67	-15.1
Wyoming, Town	12,681	1,229	2,161	932	75.8
<b>Iron</b>					
Iron County	127,438	86,514	21,715	-64,799	-74.9
<b>Jackson</b>					
Jackson County	169,128	79,290	28,818	-50,471	-63.7
<b>Jefferson</b>					
Aztalan, Town	85,683	5,071	14,600	9,529	187.9
Cold Spring, Town	14,127	2,639	2,407	-232	-8.8
Fort Atkinson, City	257,095	35,135	43,807	8,673	24.7
Hebron, Town	21,578	3,660	3,677	17	0.5
Ixonia, Town	13,185	7,716	2,247	-5,469	-70.9
Jefferson, City	210,677	23,867	35,898	12,031	50.4
Jefferson, Town	43,950	5,373	7,489	2,116	39.4
Johnson Creek, Village	52,316	5,633	8,914	3,281	58.2
Koshkonong, Town	64,700	8,087	11,024	2,938	36.3
Lake Mills, City	166,072	19,727	28,298	8,570	43.4
Lake Mills, Town	45,600	2,296	7,770	5,474	238.5
Milford, Town	36,292	2,853	6,184	3,331	116.7
Oakland, Town	68,190	7,611	11,619	4,009	52.7

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Jefferson continued</b>					
Palmyra, Town	\$33,178	\$4,485	\$5,653	\$1,168	26.0%
Palmyra, Village	38,185	6,009	6,506	497	8.3
Sullivan, Town	47,974	7,398	8,174	776	10.5
Sullivan, Village	15,849	2,176	2,701	525	24.1
Sumner, Town	27,332	2,923	4,657	1,734	59.3
Waterloo, City	90,265	12,392	15,381	2,988	24.1
Waterloo, Town	17,372	888	2,960	2,072	233.4
Watertown, City	772,772	99,446	131,676	32,230	32.4
Watertown, Town	65,835	5,549	11,218	5,669	102.2
<b>Juneau</b>					
Armenia, Town	7,597	1,661	1,294	-367	-22.1
Camp Douglas, Village	6,200	6,200	1,056	-5,144	-83.0
County Recycling Agency for Municipalities	37,250	4,747	6,347	1,600	33.7
Elroy, City	48,662	10,343	8,292	-2,051	-19.8
Hustler, Village	4,000	563	682	118	21.0
Kildare, Town	5,625	844	958	115	13.6
Lyndon, Town	7,786	2,334	1,327	-1,007	-43.1
Lyndon Station, Village	64,225	914	10,944	10,030	1097.3
Mauston, City	91,870	13,324	15,654	2,330	17.5
Necedah, Town	3,870	846	659	-187	-22.1
Necedah, Village	10,325	1,828	1,759	-69	-3.8
New Lisbon, City	50,332	5,325	8,576	3,251	61.1
Seven Mile Creek, Town	1,225	169	209	40	23.5
Summit, Town	12,640	2,141	2,154	13	0.6
Union Center, Village	2,856	268	487	219	81.7
Wonewoc, Town	13,983	2,792	2,383	-409	-14.7
Wonewoc, Village	31,085	4,103	5,297	1,193	29.1
<b>Kenosha</b>					
Brighton, Town	6,256	606	1,066	460	75.9
Bristol, Village	107,344	15,622	18,291	2,669	17.1
Kenosha, City	1,356,742	362,418	231,181	-131,237	-36.2
Paddock Lake, Village	162,356	14,604	27,665	13,060	89.4
Pleasant Prairie, Village	596,498	45,964	101,640	55,676	121.1
Randall, Town	69,624	7,632	11,864	4,232	55.4
Salem, Town	318,461	12,035	54,264	42,229	350.9
Silver Lake, Village	60,169	7,295	10,252	2,957	40.5
Somers, Town	295,980	19,199	50,433	31,235	162.7
Twin Lakes, Village	244,183	17,403	41,607	24,204	139.1
Wheatland, Town	43,750	6,009	7,455	1,446	24.1
<b>Kewaunee</b>					
Ahnapee, Town	24,300	1,736	4,141	2,404	138.5
Algoma, City	95,800	24,392	16,324	-8,068	-33.1
Carlton, Town	5,600	1,661	954	-707	-42.6
Casco, Town	6,150	1,966	1,048	-918	-46.7
Casco, Village	22,687	3,541	3,866	324	9.2
Franklin, Town	345	345	59	-286	-83.0
Kewaunee, City	66,275	19,341	11,293	-8,048	-41.6
Lincoln, Town	8,150	1,127	1,389	262	23.3
Luxemburg, Town	9,362	1,219	1,595	376	30.9

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Kewaunee continued</b>					
Luxemburg, Village	\$41,381	\$8,972	\$7,051	-\$1,921	-21.4%
Montpelier, Town	41,150	1,332	7,012	5,680	426.4
Pierce, Town	4,180	1,271	712	-559	-44.0
Red River, Town	41,375	1,897	7,050	5,153	271.7
West Kewaunee, Town	4,504	2,212	767	-1,444	-65.3
<b>La Crosse</b>					
Bangor, Village	13,300	2,612	2,266	-345	-13.2
Barre, Town	12,525	1,446	2,134	688	47.5
Burns, Town	7,124	739	1,214	474	64.2
Campbell, Town	61,461	9,580	10,473	893	9.3
Farmington, Town	16,300	4,133	2,777	-1,356	-32.8
Greenfield, Town	20,347	1,523	3,467	1,944	127.6
Hamilton, Town	19,295	2,704	3,288	584	21.6
Holland, Town	24,950	2,753	4,251	1,498	54.4
Holmen, Village	97,761	15,241	16,658	1,417	9.3
La Crosse, City	699,774	167,719	119,237	-48,481	-28.9
Medary, Town	12,183	1,916	2,076	159	8.3
Onalaska, City	281,902	35,764	48,034	12,271	34.3
Onalaska, Town	68,817	29,522	11,726	-17,796	-60.3
Rockland, Village	12,100	2,437	2,062	-375	-15.4
Shelby, Town	88,420	7,401	15,066	7,665	103.6
Washington, Town	3,100	1,765	528	-1,236	-70.1
West Salem, Village	38,843	4,692	6,619	1,927	41.1
<b>Lafayette</b>					
Argyle, Village	16,291	4,567	2,776	-1,791	-39.2
Belmont, Town	7,795	2,081	1,328	-753	-36.2
Belmont, Village	34,261	3,118	5,838	2,720	87.2
Benton, Town	6,024	228	1,026	798	349.6
Benton, Village	31,123	3,238	5,303	2,065	63.8
Blanchard, Town	3,094	726	527	-199	-27.4
Blanchardville, Village	21,498	3,030	3,663	634	20.9
Darlington, City	102,438	8,103	17,455	9,352	115.4
Darlington, Town	10,650	684	1,815	1,131	165.3
Elk Grove, Town	4,329	568	738	170	29.9
Fayette, Town	4,899	536	835	299	55.9
Gratiot, Town	13,720	3,154	2,338	-816	-25.9
Kendall, Town	8,185	743	1,395	651	87.6
Lamont, Town	2,868	982	489	-493	-50.2
Monticello, Town	6,100	411	1,039	629	153.0
New Diggings, Town	8,461	541	1,442	901	166.4
Seymour, Town	5,275	2,032	899	-1,134	-55.8
Shullsburg, City	26,395	2,963	4,498	1,534	51.8
Shullsburg, Town	9,054	1,279	1,543	264	20.6
Wayne, Town	33,322	5,769	5,678	-91	-1.6
White Oak Springs, Town	5,250	393	895	501	127.4
Willow Springs, Town	9,160	1,815	1,561	-254	-14.0
Wiota, Town	12,956	3,742	2,208	-1,534	-41.0
<b>Langlade</b>					
Ackley, Town	840	840	143	-697	-83.0
Ainsworth, Town	13,151	1,549	2,241	692	44.7

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Langlade continued</b>					
Antigo, City	\$141,561	\$56,971	\$24,121	-\$32,849	-57.7%
Antigo, Town	8,890	5,039	1,515	-3,524	-69.9
Elcho, Town	8,363	4,082	1,425	-2,657	-65.1
Evergreen, Town	6,315	1,648	1,076	-572	-34.7
Langlade, Town	38,260	1,637	6,519	4,882	298.3
Neva, Town	12,050	3,214	2,053	-1,160	-36.1
Norwood, Town	1,598	1,598	272	-1,326	-83.0
Peck, Town	5,467	961	932	-29	-3.0
Polar, Town	15,975	3,337	2,722	-615	-18.4
Price, Town	6,147	868	1,047	179	20.6
Rolling, Town	3,000	863	511	-352	-40.8
Summit, Town	2,210	577	377	-200	-34.7
Upham, Town	17,502	2,351	2,982	631	26.9
Vilas, Town	4,224	223	720	497	222.9
White Lake, Village	20,048	762	3,416	2,654	348.1
Wolf River, Town	17,341	2,163	2,955	792	36.6
<b>Lincoln</b>					
Birch, Town	1,275	961	217	-744	-77.4
Bradley, Town	33,800	6,296	5,759	-537	-8.5
Corning, Town	3,600	1,736	613	-1,122	-64.7
Harding, Town	2,989	1,025	509	-516	-50.3
King, Town	6,650	2,486	1,133	-1,353	-54.4
Merrill, City	232,151	32,614	39,557	6,943	21.3
Merrill, Town	11,863	4,122	2,021	-2,101	-51.0
Pine River, Town	8,163	2,517	1,391	-1,126	-44.7
Rock Falls, Town	3,559	1,099	606	-492	-44.8
Russell, Town	4,465	1,285	761	-524	-40.8
Schley, Town	8,775	2,316	1,495	-821	-35.4
Scott, Town	10,000	2,192	1,704	-488	-22.3
Skanawan, Town	3,590	724	612	-112	-15.5
Tomahawk, City	63,472	12,320	10,815	-1,504	-12.2
Tomahawk, Town	10,325	2,152	1,759	-392	-18.2
Wilson, Town	11,679	3,192	1,990	-1,202	-37.7
<b>Manitowoc</b>					
Cato, Town	18,650	5,942	3,178	-2,764	-46.5
Centerville, Town	9,300	3,644	1,585	-2,059	-56.5
Cleveland, Village	29,350	2,680	5,001	2,321	86.6
Cooperstown, Town	22,050	6,745	3,757	-2,988	-44.3
Eaton, Town	14,639	4,004	2,494	-1,510	-37.7
Francis Creek, Village	7,048	739	1,201	462	62.6
Gibson, Town	6,265	3,265	1,068	-2,197	-67.3
Kellnersville, Village	4,811	2,375	820	-1,555	-65.5
Kiel, City	59,657	21,527	10,165	-11,361	-52.8
Kossuth, Town	22,540	1,542	3,841	2,299	149.1
Liberty, Town	26,600	6,255	4,532	-1,723	-27.5
Manitowoc, City	497,300	207,066	84,737	-122,329	-59.1
Manitowoc Rapids, Town	30,007	8,013	5,113	-2,900	-36.2
Manitowoc, Town	67,723	2,093	11,540	9,447	451.4
Maple Grove, Town	3,561	2,246	607	-1,639	-73.0
Maribel, Village	3,903	1,580	665	-915	-57.9
Meeme, Town	42,177	5,956	7,187	1,230	20.7

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Manitowoc continued</b>					
Mishicot, Town	\$8,074	\$938	\$1,376	\$438	46.7%
Mishicot, Village	500	500	85	-415	-83.0
Newton, Town	52,752	12,420	8,989	-3,431	-27.6
Reedsville, Village	22,301	2,120	3,800	1,680	79.2
Rockland, Town	28,138	4,039	4,795	756	18.7
Saint Nazianz, Village	21,240	2,646	3,619	973	36.8
Schleswig, Town	16,200	4,100	2,760	-1,339	-32.7
Two Creeks, Town	5,988	700	1,020	321	45.8
Two Rivers, City	377,659	79,838	64,351	-15,488	-19.4
Two Rivers, Town	19,373	7,485	3,301	-4,184	-55.9
Valders, Village	22,942	3,405	3,909	504	14.8
Whitelaw, Village	10,548	3,420	1,797	-1,622	-47.4
<b>Marathon</b>					
Athens, Village	19,900	3,603	3,391	-212	-5.9
Bergen, Town	9,900	817	1,687	870	106.5
Bern, Town	5,819	1,482	992	-491	-33.1
Bevent, Town	2,344	1,600	399	-1,201	-75.0
Brighton, Town	7,950	1,164	1,355	190	16.3
Brokaw, Village	3,000	316	511	195	61.6
Cassel, Town	5,226	2,218	890	-1,328	-59.9
Cleveland, Town	4,010	1,442	683	-758	-52.6
Day, Town	9,250	2,603	1,576	-1,027	-39.4
Easton, Town	12,000	652	2,045	1,393	213.7
Eau Pleine, Town	2,930	1,141	499	-642	-56.3
Edgar, Village	19,422	5,106	3,309	-1,796	-35.2
Elderon, Town	8,400	1,127	1,431	304	27.0
Elderon, Village	3,875	652	660	9	1.3
Emmet, Town	3,755	840	640	-200	-23.8
Fenwood, Village	2,534	283	432	149	52.7
Frankfort, Town	7,488	1,874	1,276	-598	-31.9
Franzen, Town	50	6	9	3	54.1
Green Valley, Town	3,420	782	583	-199	-25.5
Halsey, Town	5,060	1,178	862	-316	-26.8
Hamburg, Town	3,187	738	543	-195	-26.4
Hatley, Village	6,700	648	1,142	494	76.2
Hewitt, Town	9,000	950	1,534	583	61.4
Holton, Town	7,852	1,838	1,338	-500	-27.2
Hull, Town	8,439	1,914	1,438	-476	-24.9
Johnson, Town	11,000	2,245	1,874	-370	-16.5
Knowlton, Town	26,530	9,060	4,521	-4,539	-50.1
Kronenwetter, Village	97,548	26,126	16,622	-9,504	-36.4
Maine, Town	10,260	2,002	1,748	-254	-12.7
Marathon, Town	11,227	1,845	1,913	68	3.7
Marathon, Village	27,350	10,510	4,660	-5,849	-55.7
Mosinee, City	128,144	14,587	21,835	7,248	49.7
Mosinee, Town	29,485	4,583	5,024	441	9.6
Norrie, Town	7,300	1,379	1,244	-135	-9.8
Plover, Town	10,735	1,867	1,829	-38	-2.0
Rib Falls, Town	12,050	2,562	2,053	-509	-19.9
Rib Mountain, Town	125,030	45,515	21,304	-24,210	-53.2
Rietbrock, Town	9,093	1,443	1,549	106	7.4
Ringle, Town	24,709	4,655	4,210	-444	-9.5

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Marathon continued</b>					
Rothschild, Village	\$99,171	\$10,335	\$16,898	\$6,563	63.5%
Schofield, City	75,550	9,006	12,873	3,867	42.9
Spencer, Town	9,700	3,037	1,653	-1,384	-45.6
Spencer, Village	34,975	6,721	5,960	-762	-11.3
Stettin, Town	21,490	2,123	3,662	1,538	72.4
Stratford, Village	35,300	8,826	6,015	-2,811	-31.8
Texas, Town	24,854	629	4,235	3,606	573.5
Unity, Village	21,135	1,612	3,601	1,989	123.4
Wausau, City	664,475	137,391	113,223	-24,168	-17.6
Wausau, Town	25,348	758	4,319	3,561	469.5
Weston, Village	253,441	74,388	43,185	-31,203	-41.9
Wien, Town	4,155	1,424	708	-716	-50.3
<b>Marinette</b>					
Amberg, Town	16,125	3,288	2,748	-540	-16.4
Beaver, Town	16,100	6,230	2,743	-3,487	-56.0
Beecher, Town	20,500	2,462	3,493	1,032	41.9
Coleman, Village	9,448	1,663	1,610	-53	-3.2
Dunbar, Town	11,730	3,783	1,999	-1,784	-47.2
Goodman, Town	17,980	976	3,064	2,088	214.0
Grover, Town	20,200	9,112	3,442	-5,670	-62.2
Marinette, City	484,806	42,742	82,608	39,867	93.3
Middle Inlet, Town	2,700	982	460	-522	-53.1
Niagara, Town	1,350	1,350	230	-1,120	-83.0
Northwoods Recycling Coalition	129,303	11,765	22,032	10,267	87.3
Pembine, Town	14,338	4,297	2,443	-1,854	-43.1
Peshtigo, City	134,551	16,458	22,927	6,468	39.3
Peshtigo, Town	16,600	8,367	2,829	-5,539	-66.2
Porterfield, Town	18,850	6,966	3,212	-3,754	-53.9
Pound, Town	13,400	3,081	2,283	-798	-25.9
Wagner, Town	18,005	1,360	3,068	1,708	125.7
Wausaukee, Village	7,955	1,561	1,355	-206	-13.2
<b>Marquette</b>					
Endeavor, Village	5,680	1,085	968	-118	-10.8
Marquette County	110,465	75,058	18,823	-56,235	-74.9
Oxford, Village	13,067	1,875	2,227	352	18.8
<b>Menominee</b>					
Menominee County	123,400	78,997	21,027	-57,971	-73.4
<b>Milwaukee</b>					
Bayside, Village	154,009	25,706	26,242	536	2.1
Brown Deer, Village	336,769	43,725	57,383	13,658	31.2
Cudahy, City	587,429	67,017	100,094	33,077	49.4
Fox Point, Village	484,490	58,515	82,554	24,039	41.1
Franklin, City	403,418	59,818	68,740	8,922	14.9
Glendale, City	420,100	61,590	71,583	9,992	16.2
Greendale, Village	336,262	54,567	57,297	2,730	5.0
Greenfield, City	631,337	114,835	107,576	-7,259	-6.3
Hales Corners, Village	159,860	19,989	27,239	7,250	36.3
Milwaukee, City	10,334,843	2,164,861	1,760,996	-403,864	-18.7

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Milwaukee continued</b>					
Oak Creek, City	\$730,851	\$85,229	\$124,533	\$39,304	46.1%
River Hills, Village	151,712	10,837	25,851	15,014	138.5
Saint Francis, City	234,100	33,394	39,889	6,496	19.5
Shorewood, Village	410,419	52,762	69,933	17,171	32.5
South Milwaukee, City	538,933	81,456	91,831	10,375	12.7
Wauwatosa, City	1,340,043	173,838	228,335	54,497	31.3
West Allis, City	1,206,392	238,266	205,562	-32,704	-13.7
West Milwaukee, Village	104,326	15,030	17,777	2,746	18.3
Whitefish Bay, Village	495,663	63,900	84,458	20,558	32.2
<b>Monroe</b>					
Glendale, Town	9,588	1,130	1,634	504	44.6
Grant, Town	26,825	765	4,571	3,805	497.1
Ho Chunk Nation -- Tribe	33,350	6,671	5,683	-988	-14.8
Monroe County	571,423	132,833	97,367	-35,466	-26.7
<b>Oconto</b>					
Oconto County	491,818	197,307	83,803	-113,504	-57.5
<b>Oneida</b>					
Little Rice, Town	7,180	790	1,223	433	54.9
Oneida County	365,680	63,967	62,310	-1,658	-2.6
Rhineland, City	142,812	22,597	24,334	1,737	7.7
Three Lakes, Town	60,917	8,050	10,380	2,330	28.9
<b>Outagamie</b>					
Outagamie County	1,378,975	517,907	234,969	-282,938	-54.6
<b>Ozaukee</b>					
Belgium, Town	12,475	1,165	2,126	960	82.4
Belgium, Village	85,663	5,373	14,596	9,223	171.6
Cedarburg, City	476,905	37,946	81,262	43,315	114.1
Cedarburg, Town	41,865	5,088	7,134	2,045	40.2
Fredonia, Town	11,940	4,687	2,035	-2,652	-56.6
Fredonia, Village	65,167	6,682	11,104	4,422	66.2
Grafton, Town	52,237	10,038	8,901	-1,137	-11.3
Grafton, Village	284,740	24,119	48,518	24,399	101.2
Mequon, City	54,200	15,418	9,235	-6,183	-40.1
Port Washington, City	262,816	19,224	44,782	25,558	132.9
Saukville, Town	19,750	3,267	3,365	98	3.0
Saukville, Village	111,161	2,526	18,941	16,415	649.7
Thiensville, Village	165,339	9,512	28,173	18,661	196.2
<b>Pepin</b>					
Pepin County	104,238	65,072	17,762	-47,310	-72.7
<b>Pierce</b>					
Pierce County	866,664	190,107	147,674	-42,433	-22.3
River Falls, Town	30,657	6,289	5,224	-1,065	-16.9
<b>Polk</b>					
Polk County	392,291	147,747	66,844	-80,903	-54.8

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Portage</b>					
Carson, Town	\$9,761	\$2,857	\$1,663	-\$1,193	-41.8%
Eau Pleine, Town	34,416	1,210	5,864	4,654	384.6
Grant, Town	20,797	1,840	3,544	1,704	92.6
Junction City, Village	4,500	1,796	767	-1,030	-57.3
New Hope, Town	2,700	929	460	-469	-50.5
Portage County	1,112,677	204,168	189,594	-14,574	-7.1
Stockton, Town	26,582	5,269	4,529	-740	-14.0
<b>Price</b>					
Catawba, Village	2,020	1,225	344	-881	-71.9
Eisenstein, Town	5,650	3,436	963	-2,473	-72.0
Elk, Town	11,731	4,180	1,999	-2,181	-52.2
Emery, Town	5,911	1,366	1,007	-359	-26.3
Fifield, Town	12,619	2,365	2,150	-215	-9.1
Flambeau, Town	7,141	2,394	1,217	-1,178	-49.2
Harmony, Town	3,002	881	512	-370	-41.9
Kennan-Georgetown Disposal Unit	5,002	1,959	852	-1,106	-56.5
Knox, Town	9,660	2,562	1,646	-916	-35.8
Lake, Town	10,600	1,394	1,806	413	29.6
Ogema, Town	12,800	2,437	2,181	-256	-10.5
Park Falls, City	97,950	8,922	16,690	7,768	87.1
Phillips, City	71,495	8,866	12,182	3,316	37.4
Prentice, Village	17,194	1,294	2,930	1,636	126.4
Spirit, Town	2,975	1,262	507	-755	-59.8
Worcester, Town	17,609	5,946	3,000	-2,946	-49.5
<b>Racine</b>					
Burlington, City	355,229	30,241	60,529	30,288	100.2
Burlington, Town	173,016	19,262	29,481	10,219	53.1
Caledonia, Village	388,515	61,068	66,201	5,133	8.4
Dover, Town	69,024	3,748	11,761	8,014	213.8
Elmwood Park, Village	14,298	2,775	2,436	-339	-12.2
Mount Pleasant, Village	270,635	24,696	46,115	21,418	86.7
North Bay, Village	5,200	1,664	886	-778	-46.7
Norway, Town	113,875	24,323	19,404	-4,920	-20.2
Racine, City	1,844,379	295,234	314,271	19,037	6.4
Raymond, Town	35,991	2,062	6,133	4,071	197.4
Rochester, Village	64,180	6,148	10,936	4,788	77.9
Sturtevant, Village	130,141	18,690	22,175	3,485	18.6
Union Grove, Village	112,350	12,655	19,144	6,488	51.3
Waterford, Town	140,728	17,807	23,979	6,172	34.7
Waterford, Village	89,184	12,294	15,196	2,902	23.6
Wind Point, Village	39,350	8,626	6,705	-1,921	-22.3
Yorkville, Town	24,084	5,501	4,104	-1,398	-25.4
<b>Richland</b>					
Cazenovia, Village	21,835	1,757	3,721	1,964	111.8
Richland County	211,109	94,005	35,972	-58,033	-61.7
<b>Rock</b>					
Avon, Town	13,750	1,736	2,343	607	35.0
Beloit, City	934,637	128,274	159,257	30,982	24.2



**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Rock continued</b>					
Beloit, Town	\$32,203	\$7,776	\$5,487	-\$2,289	-29.4%
Bradford, Town	4,836	842	824	-18	-2.1
Center, Town	61,879	6,168	10,544	4,376	71.0
Clinton, Town	11,700	773	1,994	1,221	158.0
Clinton, Village	42,411	7,104	7,227	122	1.7
Edgerton, City	152,190	16,330	25,932	9,602	58.8
Evansville, City	118,252	17,081	20,149	3,069	18.0
Footville, Village	39,205	3,267	6,680	3,414	104.5
Fulton, Town	59,058	10,853	10,063	-790	-7.3
Harmony, Town	28,296	8,076	4,821	-3,254	-40.3
Janesville, City	854,176	209,833	145,547	-64,286	-30.6
Janesville, Town	42,099	6,724	7,173	449	6.7
Johnstown, Town	18,132	2,757	3,090	333	12.1
La Prairie, Town	15,067	2,389	2,567	178	7.5
Lima, Town	21,050	4,379	3,587	-792	-18.1
Magnolia, Town	2,275	994	388	-606	-61.0
Milton, City	258,356	20,850	44,022	23,172	111.1
Milton, Town	52,768	9,623	8,991	-631	-6.6
Newark, Town	24,863	5,538	4,237	-1,301	-23.5
Orfordville, Village	60,613	4,447	10,328	5,881	132.3
Plymouth, Town	28,626	3,825	4,878	1,053	27.5
Porter, Town	19,690	4,390	3,355	-1,035	-23.6
Rock, Town	64,475	10,145	10,986	841	8.3
Spring Valley, Town	4,482	867	764	-104	-12.0
Turtle, Town	59,969	8,822	10,218	1,396	15.8
Union, Town	9,395	4,257	1,601	-2,657	-62.4
<b>Rusk</b>					
Dewey, Town	8,401	2,812	1,431	-1,381	-49.1
Grow, Town	4,242	1,357	723	-634	-46.7
Rusk County	101,607	78,629	17,313	-61,316	-78.0
Weyerhaeuser, Village	2,700	567	460	-107	-18.9
<b>Saint Croix</b>					
Cady, Town	7,919	1,500	1,349	-151	-10.0
Cylon, Town	5,410	3,065	922	-2,144	-69.9
Forest, Town	2,700	555	460	-95	-17.2
Glenwood City, City	16,960	9,522	2,890	-6,632	-69.7
Roberts, Village	17,632	5,656	3,004	-2,651	-46.9
St Croix County	446,371	176,454	76,059	-100,395	-56.9
Warren, Town	18,840	6,211	3,210	-3,001	-48.3
Wilson, Village	3,482	972	593	-378	-38.9
<b>Sauk</b>					
Baraboo, City	418,081	35,163	71,239	36,075	102.6
Baraboo, Town	23,744	2,177	4,046	1,869	85.8
Bear Creek, Town	28,891	1,051	4,923	3,872	368.5
Dellona, Town	8,800	1,439	1,499	60	4.2
Delton, Town	30,310	3,402	5,165	1,762	51.8
Excelsior, Town	21,470	1,864	3,658	1,795	96.3
Fairfield, Town	14,900	2,940	2,539	-401	-13.6
Franklin, Town	8,580	651	1,462	811	124.6
Freedom, Town	12,105	1,499	2,063	564	37.6

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Sauk continued</b>					
Greenfield, Town	\$17,939	\$1,232	\$3,057	\$1,824	148.0%
Honey Creek, Town	12,940	2,048	2,205	156	7.6
Ironton, Village	1,560	123	266	143	115.7
La Valle, Town	12,016	1,741	2,047	306	17.6
La Valle, Village	7,785	1,040	1,327	287	27.6
Lake Delton, Village	78,275	6,686	13,338	6,651	99.5
Loganville, Village	5,663	963	965	2	0.2
Merrimac, Town	18,155	3,040	3,094	54	1.8
Merrimac, Village	12,610	1,442	2,149	707	49.0
North Freedom, Village	10,211	1,965	1,740	-225	-11.4
Plain, Village	16,702	2,576	2,846	270	10.5
Prairie du Sac, Town	13,200	3,858	2,249	-1,609	-41.7
Prairie du Sac, Village	203,804	16,819	34,727	17,908	106.5
Reedsburg, City	123,000	10,514	20,958	10,445	99.3
Reedsburg, Town	13,500	1,834	2,300	466	25.4
Rock Springs, Village	15,436	1,102	2,630	1,528	138.7
Sauk City, Village	195,564	15,168	33,323	18,155	119.7
Spring Green, Town	96,200	1,886	16,392	14,506	769.3
Spring Green, Village	25,762	1,946	4,390	2,444	125.6
Sumpter, Town	5,356	392	913	521	132.9
Troy, Town	14,725	2,484	2,509	25	1.0
Washington, Town	10,039	1,955	1,711	-245	-12.5
Westfield, Town	9,370	1,152	1,597	445	38.6
Winfield, Town	13,030	1,410	2,220	810	57.5
Woodland, Town	12,929	2,548	2,203	-345	-13.6
<b>Sawyer</b>					
Bass Lake, Town	25,644	8,824	4,370	-4,455	-50.5
Couderay, Town	3,951	1,358	673	-685	-50.4
Draper, Town	4,994	735	851	116	15.8
Edgewater, Town	15,450	2,634	2,633	-2	-0.1
Hayward, City	27,426	7,205	4,673	-2,532	-35.1
Hayward, Town	15,899	3,206	2,709	-497	-15.5
Hunter, Town	7,269	3,689	1,239	-2,451	-66.4
Lac Courte Oreilles Tribe	46,700	9,338	7,957	-1,380	-14.8
Meadowbrook, Town	3,779	877	644	-233	-26.6
Meteor, Town	3,131	748	534	-215	-28.7
Radisson, Town	5,201	2,107	886	-1,221	-57.9
Radisson, Village	3,750	734	639	-95	-12.9
Round Lake, Town	15,869	3,733	2,704	-1,029	-27.6
Sand Lake, Town	11,186	1,581	1,906	325	20.5
Southern Sawyer County Waste Management Commission	48,575	7,775	8,277	502	6.5
Spider Lake, Town	8,793	2,648	1,498	-1,150	-43.4
Weirgor, Town	8,261	3,055	1,408	-1,647	-53.9
Winter, Village	18,200	2,942	3,101	159	5.4
<b>Shawano</b>					
Almon, Town	17,761	691	3,026	2,335	337.8
Angelica, Town	35,900	6,503	6,117	-385	-5.9
Aniwa, Village	1,300	267	222	-46	-17.1
Belle Plaine, Town	63,021	6,403	10,738	4,336	67.7
Birnamwood, Town	14,400	335	2,454	2,119	632.5

<b>Attachment 2 continued</b>					
<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Shawano continued</b>					
Birnamwood, Village	\$2,650	\$555	\$452	-\$104	-18.7%
Bonduel, Village	90,405	6,226	15,404	9,179	147.4
Bowler, Village	1,500	387	256	-131	-34.0
Cecil, Village	16,653	484	2,838	2,353	486.0
Eland, Village	13,036	258	2,221	1,963	759.9
Fairbanks, Town	9,534	1,644	1,625	-19	-1.2
Germania, Town	9,079	193	1,547	1,354	702.6
Grant, Town	20,825	615	3,548	2,933	476.6
Green Valley, Town	13,100	4,473	2,232	-2,240	-50.1
Gresham, Village	16,792	2,237	2,861	624	27.9
Hartland, Town	9,196	1,743	1,567	-177	-10.1
Herman, Town	5,200	487	886	399	81.8
Hutchins, Town	8,573	1,993	1,461	-532	-26.7
Lessor, Town	17,600	1,730	2,999	1,269	73.3
Maple Grove, Town	9,760	3,201	1,663	-1,538	-48.1
Mattoon, Village	8,241	2,135	1,404	-731	-34.2
Morris, Town	11,217	553	1,911	1,358	245.6
Navarino, Town	12,004	1,243	2,045	803	64.6
Pella, Town	18,219	823	3,104	2,281	277.1
Red Springs, Town	21,514	786	3,666	2,880	366.4
Richmond, Town	48,572	2,486	8,276	5,790	232.9
Seneca, Town	12,500	311	2,130	1,819	584.3
Shawano, City	199,526	28,441	33,998	5,557	19.5
Stockbridge-Munsee Tribe	47,950	2,368	8,170	5,802	245.0
Tigerton, Village	11,989	1,223	2,043	820	67.1
Washington, Town	17,680	2,093	3,013	919	43.9
Waukechon, Town	25,288	1,054	4,309	3,255	308.9
Wescott, Town	121,712	13,641	20,739	7,098	52.0
Wittenberg, Town	14,736	1,394	2,511	1,117	80.1
Wittenberg, Village	2,900	706	494	-212	-30.0
<b>Sheboygan</b>					
Adell, Village	32,556	1,899	5,547	3,648	192.1
Cascade, Village	9,593	1,090	1,635	544	49.9
Cedar Grove, Village	16,227	2,172	2,765	593	27.3
Elkhart Lake, Village	22,044	3,894	3,756	-138	-3.5
Glenbeulah, Village	5,622	912	958	46	5.1
Greenbush, Town	14,000	3,133	2,386	-748	-23.9
Herman, Town	41,970	2,489	7,151	4,662	187.3
Holland, Town	15,632	2,286	2,664	377	16.5
Howards Grove, Village	58,926	7,039	10,041	3,001	42.6
Kohler, Village	79,468	7,430	13,541	6,110	82.2
Lima, Town	21,880	4,249	3,728	-521	-12.3
Mitchell, Town	12,420	210	2,116	1,906	907.1
Mosel, Town	5,781	1,070	985	-85	-7.9
Oostburg, Village	28,552	5,957	4,865	-1,092	-18.3
Plymouth, City	123,164	22,138	20,986	-1,152	-5.2
Random Lake, Village	22,833	3,627	3,891	264	7.3
Rhine, Town	69,080	1,361	11,771	10,410	764.8
Russell, Town	5,749	834	980	145	17.4
Scott, Town	11,542	3,221	1,967	-1,254	-38.9
Sheboygan, City	1,101,824	180,876	187,744	6,869	3.8
Sheboygan, Town	280,970	18,120	47,876	29,756	164.2

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Sheboygan</b>					
Sheboygan Falls, City	\$124,140	\$15,521	\$21,153	\$5,632	36.3%
Sheboygan Falls, Town	26,865	5,106	4,578	-528	-10.3
Sherman, Town	13,895	2,599	2,368	-231	-8.9
Wilson, Town	96,092	11,005	16,374	5,369	48.8
<b>Taylor</b>					
Aurora, Town	7,800	1,559	1,329	-230	-14.7
Gilman, Village	8,475	2,629	1,444	-1,185	-45.1
Medford, Town	13,121	2,683	2,236	-447	-16.7
Molitor, Town	5,285	744	901	156	21.0
Taylor County	263,571	84,217	44,911	-39,306	-46.7
Westboro, Town	7,965	2,391	1,357	-1,034	-43.2
<b>Trempealeau</b>					
Arcadia, City	13,500	7,223	2,300	-4,922	-68.2
Arcadia, Town	2,550	329	435	105	31.9
Blair, City	19,181	2,522	3,268	746	29.6
Burnside, Town	1,613	115	275	160	139.9
Chimney Rock, Town	1,780	749	303	-446	-59.5
Eleva-Albion Garbage and Recycling	8,542	4,719	1,456	-3,263	-69.2
Ettrick, Town	5,360	2,001	913	-1,088	-54.4
Ettrick, Village	13,325	3,184	2,271	-913	-28.7
Hale, Town	3,850	1,770	656	-1,114	-62.9
Independence, City	7,610	3,914	1,297	-2,617	-66.9
Osseo, City	23,538	9,883	4,011	-5,872	-59.4
Pigeon Falls, Village	2,817	509	480	-29	-5.6
Pigeon, Town	2,679	790	456	-333	-42.2
Preston, Town	10,920	3,260	1,861	-1,399	-42.9
Southern Trempealeau County Solid Waste Commission	145,400	40,913	24,775	-16,137	-39.4
Strum, Village	38,798	7,017	6,611	-406	-5.8
Whitehall, City	16,950	6,598	2,888	-3,709	-56.2
<b>Vernon</b>					
Liberty, Town	2,671	668	455	-213	-31.9
Vernon County	844,350	134,203	143,872	9,670	7.2
<b>Vilas</b>					
Lac du Flambeau Tribe	115,296	15,565	19,646	4,081	26.2
Vilas County	469,758	109,597	80,044	-29,553	-27.0
<b>Walworth</b>					
Bloomfield, Town	125,734	15,561	21,424	5,863	37.7
Darien, Town	11,800	2,529	2,011	-518	-20.5
Darien, Village	63,155	6,005	10,761	4,756	79.2
Delavan, City	115,202	25,826	19,630	-6,196	-24.0
Delavan, Town	39,606	2,291	6,749	4,458	194.6
East Troy, Town	105,335	13,825	17,948	4,123	29.8
East Troy, Village	50,200	6,924	8,554	1,630	23.5
Elkhorn, City	185,382	13,838	31,588	17,750	128.3
Fontana, Village	194,160	16,872	33,084	16,211	96.1
Geneva, Town	92,562	6,475	15,772	9,297	143.6

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Walworth continued</b>					
Genoa City, Village	\$44,956	\$5,683	\$7,660	\$1,977	34.8%
La Fayette, Town	23,774	3,762	4,051	289	7.7
La Grange, Town	136,708	11,021	23,294	12,273	111.4
Lake Geneva, City	307,478	23,829	52,392	28,564	119.9
Linn, Town	82,060	10,640	13,983	3,342	31.4
Lyons, Town	34,191	6,352	5,826	-526	-8.3
Richmond, Town	42,378	5,535	7,221	1,686	30.5
Sharon, Town	4,110	980	700	-279	-28.5
Sharon, Village	62,853	4,773	10,710	5,937	124.4
Spring Prairie, Town	4,200	1,403	716	-687	-49.0
Sugar Creek, Town	78,205	10,442	13,326	2,884	27.6
Troy, Town	36,200	4,067	6,168	2,101	51.7
Walworth, Town	27,368	4,331	4,663	332	7.7
Walworth, Village	71,788	8,532	12,232	3,701	43.4
Whitewater, City	184,967	31,766	31,517	-249	-0.8
Whitewater, Town	49,279	5,407	8,397	2,990	55.3
Williams Bay, Village	70,233	8,153	11,967	3,815	46.8
<b>Washburn</b>					
Washburn County	157,989	78,997	26,920	-52,077	-65.9
<b>Washington</b>					
Addison, Town	38,432	7,648	6,549	-1,099	-14.4
Barton, Town	27,416	2,989	4,672	1,682	56.3
Erin, Town	8,350	6,796	1,423	-5,373	-79.1
Farmington, Town	27,782	6,326	4,734	-1,592	-25.2
Germantown, Town	3,050	395	520	125	31.6
Germantown, Village	457,716	23,913	77,992	54,080	226.2
Hartford, City	290,795	24,405	49,550	25,145	103.0
Hartford, Town	30,105	4,459	5,130	670	15.0
Jackson, Town	47,696	7,656	8,127	471	6.2
Jackson, Village	246,920	10,564	42,074	31,509	298.3
Kewaskum, Town	16,350	6,751	2,786	-3,965	-58.7
Kewaskum, Village	98,628	9,996	16,806	6,809	68.1
Newburg, Village	20,800	3,138	3,544	406	13.0
Polk, Town	23,770	4,011	4,050	40	1.0
Richfield, Village	43,885	8,669	7,478	-1,191	-13.7
Slinger, Village	82,368	12,553	14,035	1,482	11.8
Trenton, Town	104,000	15,675	17,721	2,046	13.1
Wayne, Town	5,966	4,904	1,017	-3,887	-79.3
West Bend, City	725,678	100,362	123,651	23,289	23.2
West Bend, Town	40,304	16,359	6,868	-9,491	-58.0
<b>Waukesha</b>					
Butler, Village	70,600	7,277	12,030	4,753	65.3
Eagle, Town	65,821	8,818	11,216	2,397	27.2
Genesee, Town	126,852	22,182	21,615	-567	-2.6
Lannon, Village	10,315	1,157	1,758	601	52.0
Menomonee Falls, Village	388,000	70,536	66,113	-4,423	-6.3
Mukwonago, Town	128,640	23,027	21,919	-1,107	-4.8
Mukwonago, Village	270,160	22,221	46,034	23,813	107.2
Muskego, City	499,692	73,055	85,145	12,089	16.5
North Prairie, Village	33,478	5,397	5,704	307	5.7

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Waukesha continued</b>					
Ottawa, Town	\$20,203	\$2,938	\$3,442	\$505	17.2%
Sussex, Village	136,307	30,283	23,226	-7,058	-23.3
Vernon, Town	190,573	21,696	32,473	10,777	49.7
Waukesha County	7,040,273	874,122	1,199,621	325,499	37.2
<b>Waupaca</b>					
Mukwa, Town	45,630	4,725	7,775	3,050	64.6
Waupaca County	708,858	162,157	120,785	-41,372	-25.5
Weyauwega, City	17,804	3,788	3,034	-754	-19.9
<b>Waushara</b>					
Waushara County	208,172	80,668	35,471	-45,196	-56.0
Wild Rose, Village	49,581	5,545	8,448	2,903	52.4
<b>Winnebago</b>					
Algoma, Town	151,784	10,748	25,863	15,116	140.6
Black Wolf, Town	71,099	4,154	12,115	7,960	191.6
Clayton, Town	121,500	6,113	20,703	14,590	238.7
Menasha, City	582,220	65,713	99,207	33,494	51.0
Menasha, Town	425,855	54,804	72,563	17,759	32.4
Neenah, City	1,229,988	195,638	209,583	13,945	7.1
Neenah, Town	52,679	8,521	8,976	456	5.3
Nekimi, Town	29,950	2,481	5,103	2,622	105.7
Nepeuskun, Town	5,365	722	914	192	26.6
Omro, City	127,598	11,014	21,742	10,728	97.4
Omro, Town	20,749	2,713	3,536	823	30.3
Oshkosh, City	859,066	220,328	146,380	-73,948	-33.6
Oshkosh, Town	40,350	9,588	6,875	-2,713	-28.3
Poygan, Town	24,427	1,820	4,162	2,342	128.7
Rushford, Town	50,448	2,881	8,596	5,715	198.4
Utica, Town	38,811	2,890	6,613	3,723	128.9
Vinland, Town	48,774	2,692	8,311	5,619	208.7
Winchester, Town	17,268	2,017	2,942	926	45.9
Winneconne, Town	66,400	6,590	11,314	4,724	71.7
Winneconne, Village	81,320	7,959	13,856	5,897	74.1
Wolf River, Town	24,600	3,132	4,192	1,059	33.8
<b>Wood</b>					
Arpin, Town	2,912	538	496	-42	-7.8
Arpin, Village	6,355	1,144	1,083	-61	-5.3
Auburndale, Town	3,100	634	528	-105	-16.6
Auburndale, Village	12,040	2,424	2,052	-372	-15.4
Biron, Village	53,473	3,309	9,111	5,802	175.3
Cranmoor, Town	5,332	679	909	230	33.9
Grand Rapids, Town	90,162	11,353	15,363	4,010	35.3
Hewitt, Village	10,100	1,272	1,721	449	35.3
Marshfield, City	340,380	62,086	57,999	-4,088	-6.6
Milladore, Town	10,470	2,029	1,784	-245	-12.1
Milladore, Village	2,978	756	507	-249	-32.9
Nekoosa, City	120,708	9,251	20,568	11,317	122.3
Northwest Recycling Board	69,500	16,077	11,842	-4,234	-26.3
Pittsville, City	12,810	4,004	2,183	-1,821	-45.5
Port Edwards, Town	22,917	3,947	3,905	-42	-1.1

**Attachment 2 continued**

<u>County/Municipality</u>	<u>2011 Estimated Net Eligible Recycling Costs</u>	<u>Preliminary 2011 Award - Current Law</u>	<u>Estimated 2011 Grant if Distributed on a Net Eligible Cost Basis</u>	<u>Difference: % of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>	<u>Percent Change of Net Eligible Costs Versus Current Law</u>
<b>Wood continued</b>					
Port Edwards, Village	\$186,808	\$13,622	\$31,831	\$18,209	133.7%
Rudolph, Town	2,800	839	477	-362	-43.1
Rudolph, Village	4,490	1,484	765	-719	-48.5
Saratoga, Town	67,617	8,946	11,522	2,575	28.8
Seneca, Town	11,900	3,583	2,028	-1,555	-43.4
Sherry, Town	10,470	2,113	1,784	-329	-15.6
Sigel, Town	19,561	3,215	3,333	118	3.7
Southwest Wood County Recycling Board	39,290	7,184	6,695	-489	-6.8
Vesper, Village	4,418	1,455	753	-702	-48.3
Wisconsin Rapids, City	<u>375,558</u>	<u>67,382</u>	<u>63,993</u>	<u>-3,389</u>	-5.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$111,236,227.56</b>	<b>\$18,954,000</b>	<b>\$18,954,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>