State of Misconsin 2019 - 2020 LEGISLATURE

LRB-5529/1 KP:wlj&kjf

2019 SENATE BILL 808

February 11, 2020 – Introduced by Senators Marklein, Bernier, Feyen, Lemahieu and Olsen, cosponsored by Representatives Tranel, Tauchen, Kurtz, Novak, Ballweg, Summerfield and Tusler. Referred to Committee on Agriculture, Revenue and Financial Institutions.

AN ACT to repeal 93.90 (2) (c), 93.90 (3) (a) (intro.), 93.90 (3) (a) 5., 93.90 (3) (a) 1 2 6., 93.90 (3) (a) 8., 93.90 (3) (a) 9., 93.90 (3) (ae), 93.90 (3) (am), 93.90 (3) (ar) and 3 93.90 (4); to renumber 93.90 (3) (a) 1., 93.90 (3) (a) 3., 93.90 (3) (a) 4. and 93.90 (3) (f); to renumber and amend 93.90 (3) (a) 2., 93.90 (3) (b), 93.90 (3) (c), 93.90 4 5 (3) (d) and 93.90 (3) (e); **to amend** 93.90 (1m) (b), 93.90 (2) (a), 93.90 (2) (d), 93.90 6 (2) (e) 2., 93.90 (5) (title), 93.90 (5) (a), 93.90 (5) (b), 93.90 (5) (bm), 93.90 (5) (c), 7 93.90 (5) (d) and 93.90 (5) (f); to repeal and recreate 93.90 (3) (title); and to 8 *create* 15.135 (2), 93.90 (1m) (bm), 93.90 (1m) (dr), 93.90 (1m) (eg), 93.90 (1m) 9 (er), 93.90 (1m) (g), 93.90 (1m) (h), 93.90 (1m) (i), 93.90 (2) (am), 93.90 (2) (f), 10 93.90 (2m), 93.90 (3) (g), 93.90 (3) (h) (intro.), 93.90 (3) (h) 5., 93.90 (3) (i) 1., 93.90 (3) (i) 2. (intro.), 93.90 (3) (j), 93.90 (3) (L) and 93.90 (6) of the statutes; 11

1

2

relating to: livestock facility siting and expansion and granting rule-making authority.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill makes changes concerning the siting and expansion of livestock facilities, including the following:

1. The bill requires the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection to receive and review applications for siting or expanding a livestock facility if a city, village, town, or county (political subdivision) requires approval for siting or expanding a livestock facility in the political subdivision. Within two business days of receiving an application, DATCP must provide a copy of the application to the political subdivisions in which the property that is the subject of the application is located. Within 14 days of receiving an application, DATCP must notify the applicant whether the application is complete and, if not, what supplementary information is needed to complete the application. After DATCP determines that an application is complete, DATCP must provide 30 days for receiving public comments on the application and may hold a public hearing during this period.

Generally, DATCP is required to approve or disapprove the application within 10 days after the close of the public comment period. DATCP must approve the application if the applicant complies with DATCP's livestock facility siting rules, except that if a political subdivision enacts a more stringent requirement as allowed under the bill, DATCP must approve the application if the applicant complies with the political subdivision's more stringent requirements and DATCP's livestock facility siting rules that are consistent with the political subdivision's requirements. In approving or disapproving the application, DATCP must make written findings of fact and conclusions of law and a record of its decision making. DATCP also must provide notice of its decision to the political subdivision, the applicant, and any other party that submitted written comments during the public comment period.

Under current law, an application for siting or expanding a livestock facility is submitted to a political subdivision. Within 45 days, the political subdivision must notify the applicant whether the application is complete and, if not, what information is needed to complete the application. Current law also generally requires a political subdivision to approve or disapprove an application within 90 days of determining that an application is complete.

2. The bill requires a political subdivision to notify all landowners adjacent to the proposed livestock facility siting or expansion and to approve or deny an application within 60 days of receiving notice that DATCP approved an application. Under the bill, a political subdivision may disapprove or prohibit the siting or expansion of a livestock facility only for certain reasons, including any of the following: a) the facility would violate a setback requirement established under the bill; b) the site is in a location that is not an agricultural zoning district; and c) the

proposed facility violates a building, electrical, or plumbing code consistent with the state building, electrical, or plumbing code.

3. The bill authorizes aggrieved persons to challenge DATCP's decision on a livestock facility siting or expansion application. The bill defines an "aggrieved person" as a political subdivision in which a proposed livestock facility would be located, an applicant, or a person who lives or owns land within two miles of a proposed livestock facility. Under the bill, the Livestock Facility Siting Review Board determines whether to reverse DATCP's decision on approving or disapproving an application. An aggrieved person may appeal the decision of the board to circuit court. The bill also specifies that a person may challenge the decision of a political subdivision on an application by bringing an action before the board of appeals or adjustment of the political subdivision or the appropriate circuit court.

Under current law, a challenge to a political subdivision's decision on an application is decided by the Livestock Facility Siting Review Board, and the board's decision may be appealed to circuit court.

- 4. The bill generally prohibits a political subdivision from enforcing or enacting a requirement for approving livestock facility siting or expansion applications that is more stringent than DATCP's rules. Under the bill, a political subdivision may enact a requirement that is more stringent than DATCP's rules if the requirement is based on reasonable and scientifically defensible findings of fact made by the political subdivision that satisfy certain requirements. Current law allows a political subdivision to enforce a standard that is more stringent than DATCP's rules if the political subdivision enacts an ordinance and bases the standard on reasonable and scientifically defensible findings of fact that satisfy certain requirements.
- 5. Under the bill, if a political subdivision establishes a setback requirement from public roads for livestock structures or waste storage structures, the setback requirement may not require that the structure be located more than 100 feet from public roads.
- 6. The bill also provides that a livestock structure or waste storage structure constructed after the bill takes effect may be located closer to a property line of another owner's property than a setback requirement established by DATCP's rules if the owner of the land on which the structure is located and the owner of land within the required setback distance enter into a written agreement and record the agreement with the county register of deeds.
- 7. The bill creates the Livestock Facility Technical Review Board attached to DATCP, consisting of the following members nominated by the secretary of agriculture, trade and consumer protection and confirmed by the senate for five-year terms: a) one member selected from names submitted by the Wisconsin Towns Association; b) one member selected from names submitted by the Wisconsin Counties Association; c) one member selected names submitted by the Land and Water Conservation Association; d) one member selected from names submitted by statewide, environmental-related organizations specified in the bill; and e) five members selected from names submitted by statewide, agriculture-related organizations specified in the bill. Under the bill, DATCP may promulgate rules specifying standards for siting and expanding livestock facilities only if at least

1

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

two-thirds of the members of the Livestock Facility Technical Review Board recommends that DATCP promulgate the rules. This requirement does not apply to existing rules promulgated by DATCP that specify standards for siting and expanding livestock facilities. Current law requires DATCP to promulgate rules specifying standards for siting and expanding livestock facilities.

- 8. The bill specifies that rules promulgated by DATCP that establish setback requirements or odor and air emissions standards for waste storage structures do not apply to manure digesters or structures used to collect and store waste under a livestock housing facility.
- 9. The bill eliminates the requirement under current law that DATCP review every four years its rules specifying standards for siting and expanding livestock facilities.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- **Section 1.** 15.135 (2) of the statutes is created to read:
- 15.135 (2) LIVESTOCK FACILITY TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD. (a) There is created a livestock facility technical review board which is attached to the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection under s. 15.03. The board consists of the following members:
 - 1. A member selected from names submitted by the Wisconsin Towns Association.
- 8 2. A member selected from names submitted by the Wisconsin Counties 9 Association.
 - 3. A member selected from names submitted by the Land and Water Conservation Association.
 - 4. A member selected from names submitted by Clean Wisconsin, Inc., Midwest Environmental Advocates, the Sierra Club, the Nature Conservancy, Wisconsin Environment, Inc., and other statewide, environmental-related organizations.

5. Five members selected from names submitted by the Wisconsin Farm
Bureau Federation, the Wisconsin Farmer's Union, the Dairy Business Association
the Wisconsin Dairy Alliance, the Wisconsin Pork Producers, the Wisconsin
Cattlemen's Association, and other statewide, agriculture-related organizations. At
least 3 of these members must hold a permit under s. 283.31 from the department
of natural resources or be a permittee's designee.
(b) The members under par. (a) shall be nominated by the secretary of
agriculture, trade and consumer protection, and with the advice and consent of the
senate appointed, for 5-year terms.
Section 2. 93.90 (1m) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:
93.90 (1m) (b) "Application for approval" means an application submitted to
the department for approval of a livestock facility siting or expansion based on
compliance with standards promulgated by rule under sub. (2) (a), and, if applicable
more stringent standards enacted by a political subdivision under sub. (3) (i) 1. Ar
"application for approval" includes an application for permit modification.
Section 3. 93.90 (1m) (bm) of the statutes is created to read:
93.90 (1m) (bm) "Application for permit modification" means an application
concerning a livestock facility siting or expansion that was previously approved
under sub. (2) (f) 5. or approved before the effective date of this paragraph [LRB]
inserts date], if any of the following applies:
1. The proposed livestock facility involves the construction or alteration of one
or more livestock structures without increasing the maximum number of animal

units authorized in the most recent approval granted under sub. (2) (f) 5. or granted

before the effective date of this subdivision [LRB inserts date].

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2. The proposed livestock facility involves increasing the maximum number of animal units held at the livestock facility without the construction or alteration of any livestock structures and all of the following apply:
- a. The applicant has not previously increased the number of animal units above the maximum number of animal units authorized in the most recent local approval granted under sub. (2) (f) 5. or granted before the effective date of this subd. 2. a. [LRB inserts date].
- b. The proposed increase in animal units does not exceed 20 percent of the maximum number of animal units authorized in the most recent local approval granted under sub. (2) (f) 5. or granted before the effective date of this subd. 2. b. [LRB inserts date].
 - **SECTION 4.** 93.90 (1m) (dr) of the statutes is created to read:
- 13 93.90 (1m) (dr) "Highway" has the meaning given in s. 340.01 (22).
- **SECTION 5.** 93.90 (1m) (eg) of the statutes is created to read:
 - 93.90 (1m) (eg) "Livestock structure" means a building or other structure used to house or feed livestock, to confine livestock for milking, to confine livestock for feeding other than grazing, to store livestock feed, or to collect or store waste generated at a livestock facility. "Livestock structure" includes a barn, milking parlor, feed storage facility, feeding facility, animal lot, or waste storage structure. "Livestock structure" does not include a pasture or winter grazing area, a fence surrounding a pasture or winter grazing area, a livestock watering or feeding facility in a pasture or winter grazing area, or a machine shed or like facility that is not used for livestock.
 - **Section 6.** 93.90 (1m) (er) of the statutes is created to read:
- 25 93.90 (1m) (er) "Local approval" has the meaning given in s. ATCP 51.01 (21).

23

1	SECTION 7. 93.90 (1m) (g) of the statutes is created to read:
2	93.90 (1m) (g) "Property line" means the boundary of a property that is owned
3	by someone other than the owner of the livestock structure for which a setback
4	requirement is being measured.
5	Section 8. 93.90 (1m) (h) of the statutes is created to read:
6	93.90 (1m) (h) "Waste" means manure, milking center waste, and other organic
7	waste generated by a livestock facility.
8	Section 9. 93.90 (1m) (i) of the statutes is created to read:
9	93.90 (1m) (i) "Waste storage structure" means a waste storage impoundment
10	made by constructing embankments, excavating a pit or dugout, or fabricating a
11	structure. "Waste storage structure" does not include equipment used to apply waste
12	to land.
13	Section 10. 93.90 (2) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:
14	93.90 (2) (a) For the purposes of this section, the department shall promulgate
15	rules specifying standards for siting and expanding livestock facilities. In
16	promulgating the rules, the department may incorporate by cross-reference
17	provisions contained in rules promulgated under ss. 92.05 (3) (c) and (k), 92.14 (8)
18	92.16, and 281.16 (3) and ch. 283 . The department may not promulgate rules under
19	this paragraph that conflict with rules promulgated under s. $92.05\ (3)\ (c)$ or (k) , 92.14
20	(8), 92.16, or 281.16 (3) or ch. 283. The department may not promulgate rules under
21	this paragraph unless at least two-thirds of the members of the livestock facility
22	technical review board first approves the rules.

SECTION 11. 93.90 (2) (am) of the statutes is created to read:

93.90 (2) (am) Any rules promulgated by the department under par. (a) that
establish setback requirements or odor and air emissions standards for waste
storage structures do not apply to any of the following:
1. A structure used to collect and store waste under a livestock housing facility
2. A manure digester consisting of a sealed structure in which manure is
subjected to managed biological decomposition.
SECTION 12. 93.90 (2) (c) of the statutes is repealed.
SECTION 13. 93.90 (2) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:
93.90 (2) (d) The secretary shall appoint a committee of experts to livestock
facility technical review board shall advise the department on the promulgation of
the rules under par. (a) and on the review of rules under par. (c).
Section 14. 93.90 (2) (e) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:
93.90 (2) (e) 2. Specify the information and documentation that must be
included in a record of decision making, written findings, and conclusions under sub
(4) (b). par. (f) 7.
Section 15. 93.90 (2) (f) of the statutes is created to read:
93.90 (2) (f) 1. If a local approval under sub. (3) (g) is required for a new or
expanded livestock facility, the operator of the facility shall submit an application for
approval to the department. The department may establish a fee not exceeding \$750
for reviewing applications under this paragraph.
2. No later than 2 business days after the department receives an application
for approval, the department shall provide notification and a copy of the application

for approval to the political subdivisions in which the property that is the subject of

the application for approval is located.

- 3. No later than 14 days after the department receives an application for approval, the department shall notify the applicant whether the application for approval is complete and, if it is not complete, what supplementary information is needed to complete the application for approval. Upon receipt of any supplementary information from the applicant, the department shall have 7 days to review the information and notify the applicant whether the application for approval is complete and, if it is not complete, what additional supplementary information is needed to complete the application for approval. As soon as the department deems the application for approval complete, the department shall notify the applicant and the political subdivisions in which the property that is the subject of the application is located.
- 4. Beginning on the first day after the department deems an application for approval complete, the department shall provide 30 days for receiving public comments on the application for approval. The department may hold a public hearing during the public comment period.
- 5. a. The department shall approve or disapprove an application for approval no more than 10 days after the close of the public comment period under subd. 4.
- b. Except as provided in subd. 5. c., the department shall approve an application for approval if the proposed livestock facility siting or expansion satisfies the standards promulgated by rule under sub. (2) (a).
- c. If an application for approval involves a proposed livestock facility siting or expansion located in a political subdivision that enacts a requirement under sub. (3) (i) 1., the department shall approve the facility's application for approval if the facility satisfies the requirements enacted under sub. (3) (i) 1. and standards

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

promulgated by rule under sub. (2) (a) that are consistent with the requirements enacted under sub. (3) (i) 1.

6. Notwithstanding subd. 5. a., if the department of natural resources notifies the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection that the facility that is proposed for expansion by the applicant has been the subject of 2 or more notices of violation within the preceding 18 months that allege violations concerning actual discharges of manure or process wastewaters from the facility in violation of ch. 283, any rule promulgated under ch. 283, or any permit issued under ch. 283, the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection is not required to approve or disapprove the application for approval within 10 days of the close of the public comment period under subd. 4., unless the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection concludes that the application is consistent with resolving the notices of violation. The department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection shall consult with the department of natural resources after receiving notification under this subdivision. The department of natural resources shall make a written determination of whether the facility remains in significant noncompliance as alleged in the notices of violation and shall provide a copy of the written determination to the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection and the applicant within 30 days of the close of the public comment period under subd. 4. If the written determination of the department of natural resources is that the facility remains in significant noncompliance, not later than every 30 days thereafter the department of natural resources shall make and submit to the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection an additional written determination of whether the facility remains in significant noncompliance until the department of natural resources makes and submits a written determination that the facility is no

- longer in significant noncompliance. Notwithstanding subd. 5. a., if this subdivision applies, the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection shall approve or disapprove an application for approval no more than 10 days after the department of natural resources provides the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection with its written determination that the facility does not remain in significant noncompliance as alleged in the notices of violation. This subdivision does not apply to an application for approval if the department of natural resources determines that the applicant will address all actual or alleged violations.
- 7. The department shall make written findings of fact and conclusions of law in support of its decision on an application for approval and shall make a record of its decision making on an application for approval, including a recording of any public hearing, copies of documents submitted at any public hearing or during the public comment period under subd. 4., and copies of any other documents provided to the department in connection with the application for approval.
- 8. The department shall provide notice of its decision to the applicant, the political subdivisions in which the property that is the subject of the application for approval is located, and any other party that submitted written comments during the public comment period under subd. 4.

Section 16. 93.90 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

93.90 (2m) Restriction on setbacks for livestock structures and waste storage structures. (a) If a political subdivision establishes a setback requirement measured from the nearest point of a highway right-of-way that applies to a livestock structure, the setback requirement may not require that the livestock structure be located more than 100 feet from the nearest point of any highway right-of-way.

(b) A livestock structure constructed on or after the effective date of this
paragraph [LRB inserts date], may be located closer to a property line than any
setback requirement established by rule by the department or by a political
subdivision if all of the following apply:
1. The owner of the land on which the livestock structure is located and the
owner of land with a property line within the required setback distance enter into
a written agreement and record the agreement with the office of the register of deeds
for the county in which the land is located.
2. The setback requirement is satisfied with respect to all land, other than the
land subject to the agreement described in subd. 1., with a property line within the
required setback distance.
Section 17. 93.90 (3) (title) of the statutes is repealed and recreated to read:
93.90 (3) (title) Local approvals.
SECTION 18. 93.90 (3) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is repealed.
Section 19. 93.90 (3) (a) 1. of the statutes is renumbered 93.90 (3) (h) 1.
Section 20. 93.90 (3) (a) 2. of the statutes is renumbered 93.90 (3) (h) 2. and
amended to read:
93.90 (3) (h) 2. The site is located in an agricultural zoning district in which
the proposed new or expanded livestock facility is prohibited, subject to pars. (b) and
(c). par. (i) 2. a. or b.
Section 21. 93.90 (3) (a) 3. of the statutes is renumbered 93.90 (3) (h) 3.
Section 22. 93.90 (3) (a) 4. of the statutes is renumbered 93.90 (3) (h) 4.
Section 23. 93.90 (3) (a) 5. of the statutes is repealed.
SECTION 24. 93.90 (3) (a) 6. of the statutes is repealed.

Section 25. 93.90(3)(a) 8. of the statutes is repealed.

1	SECTION 26. 93.90 (3) (a) 9. of the statutes is repealed.
2	Section 27. 93.90 (3) (ae) of the statutes is repealed.
3	Section 28. 93.90 (3) (am) of the statutes is repealed.
4	Section 29. 93.90 (3) (ar) of the statutes is repealed.
5	Section 30. 93.90 (3) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 93.90 (3) (i) 2. a. and
6	amended to read:
7	93.90 (3) (i) 2. a. Notwithstanding ss. 59.69, 60.61, 60.62, 61.35, and 62.23, a
8	political subdivision may not prohibit Prohibit a type of livestock facility in an
9	agricultural zoning district based on number of animal units if livestock facilities of
10	that type with fewer animal units are allowed in that zoning district, unless the
11	political subdivision also has an agricultural zoning district in which livestock
12	facilities of that type are permitted or conditional uses without respect to number of
13	animal units.
14	Section 31. 93.90 (3) (c) of the statutes is renumbered 93.90 (3) (i) 2. b. and
15	amended to read:
16	93.90 (3) (i) 2. b. Notwithstanding ss. 59.69, 60.61, 60.62, 61.35, and 62.23, a
17	political subdivision may not enact Enact or enforce a zoning ordinance with a
18	category of agricultural district in which livestock facilities are prohibited unless the
19	political subdivision bases that prohibition on reasonable and scientifically
20	defensible findings of fact, adopted by the political subdivision, that are based on
21	specific, but not necessarily unique, circumstances in that political subdivision that
22	clearly show that the prohibition is necessary to protect public health or safety in
23	that political subdivision.
24	Section 32. 93.90 (3) (d) of the statutes is renumbered 93.90 (3) (i) 3. and
25	amended to read:

or more animal units.

93.90 (3) (i) 3. Notwithstanding ss. 92.15 (4) and 281.16 (3) (e), a political subdivision that requires compliance with state standards under sub. (2) (a) as a condition of issuing a special exception or conditional use permit granting a local approval for an expanded livestock facility is not required to determine that cost-sharing is available to the operator of the livestock facility for facilities or practices needed to comply with those standards if the livestock facility will have 500

SECTION 33. 93.90 (3) (e) of the statutes is renumbered 93.90 (3) (i) 2. c. and amended to read:

93.90 (3) (i) 2. c. Notwithstanding ss. 59.69, 60.61, 60.62, 61.35, and 62.23, a political subdivision may not enact a requirement Require that a person obtain -a special exception or conditional use permit local approval for the expansion of a livestock facility that exists when the requirement takes effect, except that a political subdivision may enact a requirement that a person obtain -a special exception or conditional use permit local approval for the expansion of a livestock facility that exists when the requirement takes effect if the requirement applies only when the number of animal units that the livestock facility will have after expansion will exceed by more than 20 percent the largest number of animal units that were at the livestock facility for at least 90 days in the 12-month period before the requirement takes effect.

Section 34. 93.90 (3) (f) of the statutes is renumbered 93.90 (3) (k).

Section 35. 93.90 (3) (g) of the statutes is created to read:

93.90 (3) (g) Subject to par. (i) 2. c., a political subdivision may require a local approval for a livestock facility siting or expansion if any of the following applies:

24

25

1	1. The proposed new or expanded livestock facility will have 500 or more animal
2	units.
3	2. The proposed new or expanded livestock facility will have fewer than 500
4	animal units but will exceed a size threshold for requiring a special exception or
5	conditional use permit that was incorporated into the political subdivision's
6	ordinances before July 19, 2003.
7	Section 36. 93.90 (3) (h) (intro.) of the statutes is created to read:
8	93.90 (3) (h) (intro.) Subject to par. (i) 2., a political subdivision may not prohibit
9	or disapprove a livestock facility siting or expansion unless at least one of the
10	following applies:
11	Section 37. 93.90 (3) (h) 5. of the statutes is created to read:
12	93.90 (3) (h) 5. The department disapproves the application for approval for the
13	livestock facility siting or expansion under sub. (2) (f) 5.
14	Section 38. 93.90 (3) (i) 1. of the statutes is created to read:
15	93.90 (3) (i) 1. If a political subdivision requires a local approval for a new or
16	expanded livestock facility, the political subdivision may do any of the following:
17	a. Enact a requirement that is more stringent than the state standards under
18	sub. (2) (a) if the requirement is based on reasonable and scientifically defensible
19	findings of fact, adopted by the political subdivision, that are based on specific, but
20	not necessarily unique, circumstances in that political subdivision and that clearly
21	show that the requirement is necessary to protect public health or safety in that
22	political subdivision.
23	b. Enact a setback requirement that is less stringent than a setback

requirement under sub. (2) (a) if the setback requirement is incorporated in the

political subdivision's ordinance as a numerical standard.

1	Section 39. 93.90 (3) (i) 2. (intro.) of the statutes is created to read:
2	93.90 (3) (i) 2. (intro.) Notwithstanding ss. 59.69, 60.61, 60.62, 61.35, and 62.23,
3	a political subdivision may not do any of the following:
4	Section 40. 93.90 (3) (j) of the statutes is created to read:
5	93.90 (3) (j) A political subdivision shall prohibit a livestock facility siting or
6	expansion if the department disapproves the application for approval for the
7	livestock facility siting or expansion under sub. (2) (f) 5.
8	Section 41. 93.90 (3) (L) of the statutes is created to read:
9	93.90 (3) (L) 1. Except as provided in subd. 2., no political subdivision may
10	require a person to pay a fee or post bond or security with the political subdivision
11	in connection with making a decision under sub. (3) (h) concerning a livestock facility
12	siting or expansion proposed by the person.
13	2. a. A political subdivision may enact an ordinance that establishes a fee of not
14	more than \$1,000 for processing and reviewing an application concerning a proposed
15	livestock facility siting or expansion under sub. (3) (h) and not more than \$500 for
16	processing an application for permit modification.
17	b. For calendar years beginning after December 31, 2020, the dollar amounts
18	for the fee limits under subd. 2. a. shall be adjusted each year by a percentage equal
19	to the percentage change between the U.S. consumer price index for all urban
20	consumers, U.S. city average, for the month of August of the previous year and the
21	U.S. consumer price index for all urban consumers, U.S. city average, for the month
22	of August 2019, as determined by the federal department of labor.
23	Section 42. 93.90 (4) of the statutes is repealed.
24	Section 43. 93.90 (5) (title) of the statutes is amended to read:
25	93.90 (5) (title) REVIEW OF <u>DEPARTMENT</u> SITING DECISIONS.

Section 44. 93.90 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

93.90 (5) (a) In this subsection "aggrieved person" means a political subdivision in which a proposed new or expanded livestock facility would be located, a person who applied to <u>a political subdivision the department</u> for approval of a livestock facility siting or expansion, a person who lives within 2 miles of a livestock facility that is proposed to be sited or expanded, or a person who owns land within 2 miles of a livestock facility that is proposed to be sited or expanded.

Section 45. 93.90 (5) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

93.90 (5) (b) An aggrieved person may challenge the decision of -a political subdivision the department on an application for approval on the grounds that the political subdivision department incorrectly applied the state standards under sub. (2) (a) or requirements under sub. (3) (i) 1. that are applicable to the livestock facility siting or expansion or violated sub. (3), by requesting the board to review the decision. An aggrieved person is not required to exhaust the political subdivision's department's administrative remedies before requesting review by the board. An aggrieved person shall request a review under this paragraph within 30 days after the political subdivision department approves or disapproves the application for approval or, if the aggrieved person chooses to exhaust the political subdivision's administrative remedies, within 30 days after the final decision in the political subdivision's administrative review process.

Section 46. 93.90 (5) (bm) of the statutes is amended to read:

93.90 (5) (bm) Upon receiving a request under par. (b), the board shall notify the political subdivision department of the request. The political subdivision department shall provide a certified copy of the record and its written findings and

conclusions under sub. (4) (2) (f) 7. to the board within 30 days after the day on which it receives the notice.

SECTION 47. 93.90 (5) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

93.90 (5) (c) Upon receiving the certified copy of the record under par. (bm), the board shall determine whether the challenge is valid. The board shall make its decision without deference to the decision of the political subdivision department and shall base its decision only on the evidence in the record and its written findings and conclusions under sub. (4) (b) (2) (f) 7. In a case that involves the application of requirements related to water quality, the board shall consult with the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection or with the department of natural resources concerning the application of the requirements related to water quality. The board shall make its decision within 60 days after the day on which it receives the certified copy of the record under par. (bm), except that the board may extend this time limit for good cause specified in writing by the board.

SECTION 48. 93.90 (5) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

93.90 (5) (d) If the board determines that a challenge is valid, the board shall reverse the decision of the political subdivision department. The decision of the board is binding on the political subdivision department, subject to par. (e). If -a political subdivision the department fails to comply with a decision of the board that has not been appealed under par. (e), an aggrieved person may bring an action to enforce the decision.

Section 49. 93.90 (5) (f) of the statutes is amended to read:

93.90 **(5)** (f) A circuit court to which a decision of the board is appealed under par. (e) shall review the decision of the board based on the evidence in the record <u>and written findings and conclusions</u> under sub. (4) (b) (2) (f) 7.

SECTION 50.	93.90	(6)	of the	statutes	is	created	to	reac

- 93.90 **(6)** POLITICAL SUBDIVISION PROCEDURE. (a) After receiving notice under sub. (2) (f) 2. of an application for approval, a political subdivision shall provide notice of the proposed livestock facility siting or expansion to all landowners adjacent to the property that is the subject of the application for approval.
- (b) No later than 60 days after receiving notification under sub. (2) (f) 8. that the department has approved an application for approval, a political subdivision shall approve or disapprove under sub. (3) (h) the proposed livestock facility siting or expansion that is the subject of the application for approval.
- (c) A person may challenge the decision of a political subdivision under par. (b) by bringing an action within 30 days of the political subdivision's decision before the board of appeals or board of adjustment of the political subdivision, or by review by certiorari in the circuit court for the county in which the property that is the subject of the application for approval is located.

SECTION 51. Nonstatutory provisions.

(1) Livestock facility technical review board; staggering of terms. Notwithstanding the length of terms specified for members of the livestock facility technical review board under s. 15.135 (2) (b), two of the initial members shall be appointed for a term expiring on July 1, 2021; two of the initial members shall be appointed for a term expiring on July 1, 2022; two of the initial members shall be appointed for a term expiring on July 1, 2023; two of the initial members shall be appointed for a term expiring on July 1, 2024; and the remaining member shall be appointed for a term expiring on July 1, 2025.

SECTION 52. Initial applicability.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

(1) APPLICATIONS. The treatment of s. 93.90 (2) (e) 2. and (f), (2m), (3) (title) and
(a) (intro.), 1., 2., 3., 4., 5., 6., 8., and 9., (ae), (am), (ar), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h)
(intro.) and 5., (i) 1. and 2. (intro.), (j), and (L), (4), (5) (title), (a), (b), (bm), (c), (d), and
(f), and (6) first applies to an application for approval, as defined in s. 93.90 (1m) (b),
received by the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection on the
effective date of this subsection.

(2) Existing rules. The treatment of s. 93.90 (2) (a) first applies to rules promulgated by the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection under s. 93.90 (2) (a) on the effective date of this subsection.

10 (END)